



Common Pitfalls in Handling Surgical Instruments and Endoscopes and How to Prevent Them

¹Dr. Talaat Abdulhameed Mohammed,²Dr. Alanoud Hussni Sheshah,³Naif Eid Alzahrani,⁴Ismail Sadakah Kirallah,⁵Hamed Mohammad Ali Fattah,⁶Hussain Ali Alqurashi,⁷Adnan Ali Alsulami,⁸Khalid Abdullah Alosaimi,⁹Badr DakheeluallahAlthgafi,¹⁰Alaa Abdullah Khotani,¹¹Hani Mohammad Konkar,¹²Nabeel Marzoog Al Majnoni

¹(Surgery Consultant) King Faisal Hospital

²(General Surgery Consultant) King Faisal Hospital

^{3,4,5,6,7,8,9,12}(Operation Room Technician) King Faisal Hospital

¹⁰(Operation Room Nurse) King Faisal Hospital

¹¹(Operation Room Nurse) King Faisal Hospital

Abstract

The handling of surgical instruments and endoscopes is a complex and critical aspect of healthcare that is often overlooked. Many concerns arise from the lack of education and awareness regarding common pitfalls related to these essential tools. While many issues can be prevented with proper knowledge and care, concerns about instruments are often only raised after serious complications occur. This essay aims to address the most important and common pitfalls relevant to the handling of surgical instruments and endoscopes, emphasizing the importance of understanding these concerns. It is crucial for everyone involved in surgical procedures, not just the operating room staff, to comprehend the significance of these issues. Explanations will also be provided on how to prevent problems that may arise from improper handling practices.

Instrument care is often taken for granted, but it is perhaps one of the most crucial aspects of surgery. Without proper care and understanding, endoscopes may become damaged, rendering them useless for further examinations. Similarly, mismanagement of other surgical instruments may lead to critical complications during surgeries. Unfortunately, many of these issues are only fully understood and appreciated after serious problems arise. Therefore, it is necessary to raise awareness and educate individuals, not just those working directly in the operating room, but also nurses and medical staff who play crucial roles in pre- and post-surgery tasks (F. Nichol et al., 2024). Taking surgery and the associated instruments into consideration, common pitfalls will be discussed along with methods on how to avoid these problems altogether.

Keywords:endoscopes, concerns, emphasizing, improper, Complications, consideration

Received:15 Oct 2024

Revised: 18 Nov 2024

Accepted:14 Dec 2024

1. Introduction

Surgical instruments and endoscopes are integral to the performance of surgical procedures, and their respective design and functionality can greatly impact patient safety and surgical outcome. A general overview of the common pitfalls in handling a selection of surgical instruments and endoscopes is discussed with techniques on how to avoid them. Although the technology and design behind surgical instruments and endoscopes vary widely, this chapter aims to discuss specific examples within a

particular category of instruments and the techniques to go along with them. Some examples of common pitfalls with surgical instruments include handling monofilament sutures-use needles, picking specimens for histopathological examination, and preventing damage to semi-rigid instruments. For endoscopes, common pitfalls with the handling of flexible endoscopes and how to prevent them are discussed. It is pertinent to note that many of the pitfalls in handling surgical instruments and endoscopes are easy to prevent and are often due to inattention, recklessness, or lack of knowledge (Ansah Owusu et al., 2023). With this in mind, education and training on instruments and endoscopes should be prioritized. Surgical technology is constantly changing, and new instruments and evolved surgical techniques will always be introduced. As this evolution takes place, education and training on the handling of these new instruments will be paramount to prevent errors. However, even as new technologies and techniques are developed, there will always be well-established instruments and techniques in use. For these instruments and techniques, it is essential to prevent the neglect of education and training on their use and to keep the discussion of common pitfalls and how to avoid them alive (E. George et al., 2024). It is the hope of this chapter that in the discussion of some common pitfalls and techniques on how to prevent them, attention will be drawn to some instruments and techniques that are critical to the performance of many surgical procedures.



2. Chapter 1: Importance of Proper Handling of Surgical Instruments

Within the vast landscape of surgical practices, the successful execution of procedures hinges upon a multitude of factors. Paramount among these is the adherence to effective practices concerning the management of surgical instruments. Throughout history, surgical instruments have formed an integral part of operations, facilitating the basic functions necessary for performing surgeries. However, simply placing a set of instruments at a surgeon's disposal is not sufficient for success. The meticulous consideration of instrument handling stands as one of the critical aspects shaping patient results (E. George et al., 2024). It is essential to foster a culture where every member, regardless of hierarchy, feels empowered to address improper handling, as even the most refined procedures are rendered futile in a setting devoid of safety and precision.

Despite the overall effectiveness of current handling practices, there remain instances where adequacy falters. It becomes imperative to delve into potential pitfalls in handling instruments and endoscopes during surgeries, providing insight into their avoidance. A fundamental understanding of the roles instruments undertake in surgeries, both simple and complex, is crucial. Typically, instruments implement one of four functions throughout the course of an operation: access, visualization, tissue interaction, or temporary stasis (Ansah Owusu et al., 2023). As handling concerns primarily arise from the interactions between instruments and tissue, an exploration of different tissue interactions and their handling implications is warranted. It is worth noting that an intentional interaction between tissue and instrument will be referred to as a “function,” while any inadvertent interaction resulting from improper handling will be classified as a “complication.” Although surgical proficiency significantly reduces the likelihood of complications, their complete eradication is impossible. Inadequate handling may result complications such as miscommunication, inadvertently switching the function of an instrument, and

draping failure; thus, it is critical to address how such inadequacies can be prevented. Furthermore, endoscopes, possessing intricate imaging systems at their tips, uniquely differ from standard instruments, leading to specific pitfalls in their handling that necessitate consideration.

The surgical landscape has witnessed monumental advancements, with surgical practice viewed as an art and science continually evolving towards excellence. An appreciation for how basic instrument handling evolves into skilled practice is essential. While trained at a surgical approach's commencement, the art of handling instruments is primarily honed through apprenticeship, necessitating ongoing effort even beyond the transition to independence. Thus, complications arising from handling are not indicative of incompetence but rather an unavoidable facet of surgical practice. To cultivate a comprehensive understanding of handling intricacies, the focus initially centers on procedures involving direct visualization. Consideration is then given to how tissue interaction and handling are modified in scenarios where direct visualization is unfeasible, followed by a discussion on endoscope handling. Ultimately, addressing the topic's intricacies necessitates a specific focus on the pitfalls and their prevention in handling surgical instruments, with an exploration of the broader context shaping these practices.

2.1. 1.1 Role of Proper Instrument Handling in Surgical Success

Procedures executed during surgery necessitate direct interaction with a variety of instruments. As a result, a surgical procedure's success hinges on the flawless performance of instrument handling tasks by surgical team members. The management of surgical instruments during operations is a crucial procedure that directly affects a patient's outcome. Meticulous handling of instruments whilst being aware of the possibilities for procedural errors contributes to achieving surgical success. Hence, being cognizant of the pitfalls associated with instrument handling throughout surgery can ameliorate errors and increase success rates (F. Nichol et al., 2024).

The significance of the precise use of instruments during surgery is highlighted through two examples. In the first situation, a vascular clamp is misapplied in an inappropriate location, resulting in significant blood loss as a vessel is cut without the necessary precautions. This highlights how a procedural error can severely damage a patient. In contrast, a successful cardiac surgery example demonstrates how a bypass machine's deft manipulation by a perfusionist during vessel clipping prevents cardiac arrest and ensures the patient's safe continuance without heart activity. This illustrates that by following the procedure's framework and attentively managing instruments, the risk of damaging the patient is negligible.

Regardless of the procedure's nature and complexity, all surgical teams must be trained to handle instruments optimally. Each team member has specific roles and accompanying instruments, and handling techniques must be learned and mastered to meet the performance requirements of the role. A surgical procedure's framework comprises fixed steps that team members must adhere to; thus, instrument handling technique training is just as essential as training to perform surgical actions (E. George et al., 2024). Healthcare institutions and their operating rooms are responsible for providing environments conducive to learning and practicing handling techniques. Failure to uphold the space may lead to inadequately trained personnel conducting procedures, jeopardizing patient care.

Neglecting care for instruments used during a procedure can lead to disastrous outcomes. Drawing on personal experience as a surgical trainee, one instance illustrates how a heart was inadvertently cut owing to negligence in tracking a scalpel. Such negligence can have fatal ramifications for patients, regardless of the procedure. Similarly, practitioners can also bear the consequences of neglecting instrument care. For instance, misplacing a critical tempo loop during cardiac rhythm treatment can culminate in a patient's death, leading to malpractice charges against the responsible physician. Therefore, vigilance and attention to detail are as vital during instrument management as they are during surgical actions. With proper care and monitoring, instruments minimize the risk of damaging the patient. In summary, everything discussed within this subsection contributes to the overarching message that instrument handling during surgery is a critical task that requires careful attention.

2.2. 1.2 Impact of Improper Handling on Patient Safety

Surgical instruments are complex, high-technology devices that require proper care and handling to ensure performance, efficacy, and safety. Precise procedures for the handling, cleaning, and storage of these instruments are essential to their functionality and longevity. Surgical staff, including nurses and technicians, are frequently stressed due to the growing complexity of instruments, changing protocols, and time pressures, leading to careless practices. Although mistakes may seem trivial, they can severely impact patient safety. Several case studies are explored to illustrate some potential mistakes with adverse effects. These mistakes are often not the result of a single step going wrong but rather a chain of errors (F. Nichol et al., 2024). Therefore, awareness of the possible risks is crucial for everyone involved in surgeries.

On March 2, 2023, a 52-year-old male underwent a laparoscopic cholecystectomy. After removal of the gallbladder, it was sent for pathological examination and discovered to contain “a foreign body.” An x-ray showed the presence of a metallic clip in the gallbladder, leading to an incident report and investigation, which concluded the fixation clip being used had not been documented as removed at procedure’s end. A similar case occurred on December 12, 2022, in a different department. On February 26, 2020, a seven-month-old infant died following laparoscopic fundoplication. A dot-suture device was found missing, having fallen and become lost in the peritoneal cavity. Despite a detailed surgical count procedure, the device was not adequately flagged. These examples illustrate surgical complications that have arisen due to careless handling of instruments, with patient safety being the greatest concern.

The causes behind the improper handling of instruments are often systemic rather than individual. Instruments are generally perceived as simple objects, and as such, the processes and accountability concerning them are lax. Some processes even require removal of instruments from individual control, which invites mistakes. The importance of training is often underestimated, and many personnel fall into positions devoid of education. Protocols that ensure safety are often viewed as cumbersome, and in the rush to complete tasks, they may be neglected. It is crucial that institutions be held accountable and continually pressured to maintain protocols that safeguard against patients coming to harm. As for the personnel in question, awareness and training on the possible dangers is vital. Possible solutions include improved training programs, including simple visual aids and annual assessments of personnel to ensure education is maintained. If personnel do not understand the basics behind a process, mistakes will occur regardless of the strictness of the process. Ultimately, the importance of instruments must be emphasized, as without thorough consideration and rigorous standards of how they are handled, patient care cannot be guaranteed.

3. Chapter 2: Types of Surgical Instruments and Endoscopes

Chapter 2 presents an account of the myriad types of surgical instruments and endoscopes found in everyday use within current medical practice. To assist the reader in appreciating the vast array of available instruments, the initial section enumerates broad categories based on the instruments’ intended functions. Each category is then explored in turn, illustrating the complexity involved in achieving proper use of the instruments. While by no means exhaustive, this classification is intended to enhance understanding of how each type of instrument contributes to the success of surgical procedures. The latter half of the chapter considers a more specialized group of instruments, endoscopes, that have become invaluable in certain types of surgery. After a brief overview of the design variations found in different endoscopes, specific types are described along with their particular applications in surgery. The aim here is to familiarize the reader with the general operational mechanisms of endoscopes and their auxiliary instruments, as well as the specific considerations that must be taken into account when caring for these tools. Additionally, attention is focused on the particular design features that enhance the functionality of endoscopes in surgical procedures, thereby emphasizing the importance of selectivity in instrument choice tailored to specific tasks. Finally, in a discussion that builds upon the classification presented earlier, handling practices that are especially relevant to each type of instrument are described. It is hoped that this chapter will convince the reader that knowledge of instruments is an essential

foundation on which expertise in surgery can be built (E. George et al., 2024). In the last 30 years, many new endoscopic and endoscope-assisted neurosurgical approaches and procedures have been developed, facilitated by a rise in complimentary innovation in endoscopic instruments and surgical techniques. Endoscopic approaches have been adopted in paediatric neurosurgery, facilitated by the development of smaller endoscopic equipment. The development of endoscopic and endoscope-assisted approaches has played a key role in treating and improving the outcomes of brain tumours. The endoscopic endonasal transsphenoidal approach may decrease the incidence of surgical complications when compared with traditional microsurgical cases in treating pituitary adenomas. Utilisation of endoscopic approaches in treating patients with sinonasal and ventral skull base cancers has also significantly improved patient quality of life scores within the first postoperative year. In contrast, the adoption of new endoscopic and endoscope-assisted procedures within the neurosurgical community has been slow. The use of surgical instruments in endoscopic approaches has remained the greatest technical barrier to their adoption. Many of the instruments used in endoscopic and endoscope-assisted neurosurgical approaches have been adopted from neighbouring specialties such as rhinology and urology. Instruments that grasp, such as forceps, and instruments that cut and divide, such as scissors, knives and punches, are included. Alongside these traditional instruments, special classes have been developed, including microdebriders for tissue removal and ultrasonic devices for firm tumours (Aylmore et al., 2022).

3.1. 2.1 Classification of Surgical Instruments

Surgical instruments play an essential role in surgical procedures, and familiarity with their categorization, classification, composition, and design features is necessary for proper usage and handling (E. George et al., 2024). Such knowledge can prevent the misuse and mishandling of instruments by addressing common misconceptions. Therefore, surgical personnel should be well-versed in this information, ensuring that best practices are upheld and educating others where necessary. The classification system for surgical instruments is as follows: (i) Operational Use, (ii) Material Composition, (iii) Method of Design/Manufacture, (iv) Design Features, and (v) Ergonomics. Each category has several groups, with the first group containing the most broadly relevant classifications to surgical procedures. Instruments are primarily grouped based on their operational uses, detailing the various cutting, grasping, holding, measuring, retracting, suturing, and other instruments used during surgery.

Understanding the various classifications is critical for surgical personnel, as it ensures that the correct instrument is utilized for the intended purpose at the appropriate moment during the procedure. Several key classifications within the operational use category are discussed, including the instrument types and examples that fall under each classification. Instrument actions are also discussed, which address what the instruments do and the corresponding actions taken by the user (i.e., the surgeon or assistant). Each category's definition is discussed, along with the complications that could arise from improper handling of an instrument type. Familiarity with the various classifications can assist personnel in recognizing the potential misuse of an instrument and prevent mishandling (F. Nichol et al., 2024).

3.2. 2.2 Common Types of Endoscopes

The discussion now turns to common types of endoscopes employed in modern medicine. Endoscopes are classified as rigid, flexible, or capsule types. Rigid endoscopes are tubular instruments that contain optical devices and light sources. They are usually inserted transcutaneously to explore body cavities. They can be used in surgery to examine joints, the abdominal cavity, and the thoracic cavity. Rigid endoscopes could be augmented with cameras for remote viewing and image capture. Rigid endoscopes offer a stable platform with high-quality images. However, their use may require incisions or punctures to introduce the instruments, and they are limited to examining only the site of insertion. Rigid endoscopes could be used to treat ailments, such as tissue biopsy or excision, by incorporating specialized tooling. Some rigid endoscopes offer electrothermal and laser techniques to vaporize tissues.

Unlike rigid endoscopes, flexible endoscopes are designed to navigate within the anatomy's curves and bends. They consist of a long, flexible insertion tube with optical bundles, illumination fibers, channel tubing, and one or more actuators for tip bending. A typical configuration would have one to four

channels for distal tooling access, suction, irrigation, and drug delivery. The insertion tube could be outfitted with mechanical graspers, scissors, cautery, or irrigation nozzles. Flexible endoscopes are mostly used in examining the gastrointestinal tract, respiratory tract, and female reproductive tract. The flexible design could alleviate patient discomfort by avoiding large incisions and enable direct viewing of other organs connected to the digestive or respiratory tract. Endoscopic tooling could also carry out biopsies or cauterize bleeding vessels during diagnosis.

Capsule endoscopes comprise imaging systems and illumination sources embedded in an ingestible capsule. They incorporate a wireless transceiver to stream images of the gastrointestinal tract. The capsule is propelled by peristalsis, allowing it to examine the upper and lower GI tracts without sedation. Patients can ingest the capsule in an outpatient setting. Capsule endoscopes reduce the risk of bleeding compared to gastroscopy and colonoscopy. However, they cannot be steered to specific locations and have lower image resolutions than fiberoptic endoscopes. They also require post-examination monitoring to detect obstruction in patients with strictures. In general, endoscopes offer a wide range of diagnostic and therapeutic capabilities. However, their use in complex procedures often requires great dexterity and good spatial awareness of the operator. Improper handling may result in damage to delicate organs or inadvertent tool disengagement. Even without visible damage, the remaining biofilm could harbor pathogens, highlighting the importance of disinfecting and sterilizing endoscopes between uses (Kurniawan & Keuchel, 2017).

4. Chapter 3: Common Pitfalls in Handling Surgical Instruments

Handling surgical instruments and endoscopes is a responsibility often left to junior or inexperienced staff. It is essential to understand that the potential mistakes highlighted in this section could arise from a lack of training or simple oversight. However, many pitfalls occur despite knowledge of rules and protocols due to comfort and overconfidence in the ability to handle instruments. Every practitioner should consider the questions posed in the beginning of each pitfall. Pitfalls one, two, four, and five are modest examples of issues that commonly arise, are often disregarded, and jeopardize surgical outcomes. In contrast, pitfalls three and six are less common but infinitely disastrous when they occur.

Awareness surrounding these frequent mistakes should be created. Most pitfalls can easily be avoided with proper training, maintenance of focus, and appropriate checks and controls. Good practices are provided for each pitfall, which hopefully helps to minimize the occurrence of these mistakes in the future. However, it is crucial to acknowledge that the listed solutions may not eliminate all occurrences of these pitfalls. As with any procedure, there is always a chance that an error may occur, so it is vital to constantly assess the situation throughout the handling of instruments and remain vigilant. Finally, it should be noted that while this analysis focuses on endoscopic instruments, many of the pitfalls and practices discussed apply to general surgical instruments (F. Nichol et al., 2024).

4.1. 3.1 Lack of Proper Cleaning and Sterilization

Transmission of Healthcare Associated Infections The most basic yet critical aspect of handling surgical instruments and endoscopes is cleaning and sterilizing them after each procedure. Failure to sufficiently comply with these duties can have grave consequences, such as transmitting infections from one patient to another. Several reports of transmission of healthcare-associated infections due to improper cleaning and sterilization of surgical instruments have emerged . Even by merely attending to a few of the highlighted pitfalls, one can vastly reduce the risk of such severe complications from ever occurring. After each surgery, several procedures must be performed on surgical instruments before storing them until the next use. Adherence to the following cleaning and sterilization standards will ensure that instruments are adequately disinfected. All cleaning and sterilization actions should occur in a dedicated room solely meant for this purpose with no other equipment or activities present. Cleaning instruments should have clear, defined procedures for how each instrument is to be cleaned and disinfected. All healthcare staff involved in cleaning instruments should be instructed on these procedures at hiring and then routinely trained on them. Compliance checks should also be routinely done to ensure all staff is following procedures correctly. All surgical instruments should be disinfected immediately after use, before any

blood or tissue has a chance to dry on the instruments. Before sterilization, all instruments must be meticulously visually inspected to ensure no blood or tissue remains on them and that they have no broken parts that might impede sterilization. A few examples where serious harm came to patients due to negligence in these practices are as follows.

Pitfalls in Handling Endoscopes Endoscopes are intricate instruments with delicate optical and mechanical components that necessitate specialized handling, cleaning, and sterilization procedures. Failure to adequately clean and sterilize endoscopes has resulted in numerous outbreaks of difficult-to-treat infections. One of the most publicized outbreaks occurred when 72 patients undergoing endoscopic retrograde cholangiopancreatography (ERCP) procedures were exposed to a contaminated duodenoscope, resulting in 7 infected patients, 2 of whom died. Inadequate cleaning and maintenance of duodenoscopes by the hospital and the manufacturer's failure to provide clear instructions on the necessary cleaning steps to remove debris from internal components led to this tragedy. After the outbreak was made public, the U.S. Food and Drug Administration directed all healthcare facilities to review and ensure compliance with duodenoscope manufacturers' reprocessing instructions. Detailed instructions for care, cleaning, and sterilization must always be provided by manufacturers and must be followed exactly. Endoscopes must undergo a series of meticulous steps immediately after each use, including thorough cleaning, high-level disinfection, and drying and storage in a manner that prevents recontamination. The manufacturer's cleaning protocol must always be strictly followed.

4.2. 3.2 Incorrect Assembly and Disassembly

Instrument assembly and disassembly are critical processes for the safe and effective use of surgical instruments. Failure to assemble or disassemble instruments correctly can compromise patient safety, result in instrument failure, cause procedural delays, and increase patient risk (F. Nichol et al., 2024). Most surgical instruments are re-usable and must be assembled by the users prior to the procedure. Assembling some instruments involves complicated mechanisms and the assembly process should be performed exactly as per the specified steps outlined in the manufacturers' handling guidelines (K. Riggs, 2017). There is a need to train surgical staff in the correct assembly procedure and undertake periodic competency assessments. The correct procedure for disassembling an instrument is usually provided by the manufacturer as a handling guideline. However, disassembly is often neglected and done outside the specified guidelines. Improper disassembly can lead to build-up of unwanted stress on the components, which can result in failure of the instrument mechanism affecting procedure and patient safety. This discussion aims to raise awareness regarding the operational challenges associated with the instrument management system focusing on common pitfalls with some examples from own experience.

Both assembly and disassembly should ideally be performed based on standardized protocols. Currently, in the absence of manufacturer protocols for most instruments, the surgical staff use own assembled design for clipping and chain engagement, which varies from one personnel to another. This leads to variability of assembly results. Furthermore, some sophisticated instruments require more than one staff for assembly which complicates the process. Where possible, assembly should be performed by a single operator. Documentation of assembly results is vital to track irregularities and audit procedural risks which in current practice is not done. Safety concerns can arise if assembly is dependent on verbal communication between personnel. Where necessary, a simple visual aid can reduce the risk of miscommunication. A basic understanding of mechanism design by the surgical team can assist in conducting a preliminary risk assessment when adopting new instruments.

5. Chapter 4: Common Pitfalls in Handling Endoscopes

Chapter 4 delves into pitfalls associated with the handling of endoscopes. These intricate instruments have possible challenges that professionals face within the medical field. The delicate functional parts make these instruments very sensitive and need close attention from the users. The maintenance and inspection of these endoscopes play key roles in saving time and ensuring effective performance. Checking the working end of an endoscope before use is a very crucial routine check that can severely affect the functionality of the endoscope. A preventative maintenance schedule is also an important thing

to have endoscopes perform at their optimal levels (Eugenio McCafferty et al., 2018). Common issues that arise from improper storage and transportation of endoscopes are also discussed in this chapter. These medical instruments are often rigidly stored or carelessly tossed after use, which drives damage to endoscopes most of the time. Designers must be very aware of the storage and transport systems to avoid these complications. The goal of this chapter is to make the reader aware of how these pitfalls mainly compromise the effectiveness of endoscopes in achieving the desired surgical outcome. Some recommendations on best practice handling of an endoscope and storage and transport systems are also suggested as solutions. Overall, this chapter provides an overview of the handling pitfalls that are unique to endoscopes.

5.1. 4.1 Inadequate Inspection and Maintenance

Inadequate Inspection and Maintenance Although it may seem straightforward, the inspection and maintenance needs for the endoscopes are absolutely crucial to be able to perform safe and efficient procedures. Endoscopes are sophisticated pieces of equipment that when compromised can lead to catastrophic outcomes. Even with the best intentions, neglecting the inspection and maintenance protocols can cause anything from a minor annoyance to very severe complications, including the transmission of infection, or difficulty performing a procedure due to equipment malfunction. There have been well documented cases of outbreaks and mass infections caused by negligence in the inspection and maintenance of endoscopes (Kook Lee & Bae Park, 2013). Unfortunately, during most routine procedure sessions, the staff is so busy that there is little time to dedicate to looking after the equipment. The important thing to remember is that every endoscope should undergo a full routine inspection after each day of use. This inspection should identify any wear, damage, or contamination, and if necessary the endoscope should either be put on hold for servicing, or directly sent to a service technician. If the routine inspections are being conducted in-house, it is vital that the person performing the inspection has been properly trained and that their competency is regularly assessed (Hee Hong & Jeong Lim, 2013). At least one individual on each team should be responsible for the maintenance and inspection of the equipment. It is worth also having a second individual trained in case the first is away sick, or left the institute. All the maintenance protocols plus additional training documentation should be kept together and easily accessible. For endoscopes it is essential that the manufacturers guidelines are followed precisely, as they are expensive to repair, and errors in care can lead to permanent damage. Every manufacturer will have recommended inspection protocols, often including specialized equipment that is required to assess the functionality of the endoscope. It is highly recommended that these inspection procedures are conducted routinely in-house by trained staff. However, even with the best training, mistakes can still happen. Careful consideration should be given to who is responsible for inspection and maintenance. As a general rule, everyone should be adequately trained in inspection and maintenance, but if an individual cannot be trusted to follow the protocols meticulously, consideration should be given to not allowing them to operate equipment that requires complex maintenance protocols. This also applies to endoscopes; care should be taken that anyone responsible for keeping them running smoothly is given thorough training, and that the training is always repeated if new staff are starting. If possible it can be helpful to get someone from the manufacturer to demonstrate the procedures. There have been numerous outbreaks and mass infections that have occurred due to negligence in the inspection and maintenance practices of endoscopes. It is one thing to have procedures in place, but if they are not followed properly, the risks to patients are greatly elevated. There have also been incidents in which a reprocessing method has been conducted incorrectly and no patients infected due to the compromise of equipment, but still, an outbreak would have occurred had the inspection protocols not highlighted the mistake. It is advisable to hold meetings and training refreshers regularly, and also conduct audits to ensure inspection and maintenance procedures are being followed properly. A comprehensive and detailed maintenance schedule should be drawn up, considering equipment, times, and who is responsible for each task. Some activities might have to be done more regularly than what is recommended by the manufacturer to identify wear early. Occasionally consideration should be given to sending equipment away for an independent inspection. This can help highlight if in-house procedures are not being followed properly, or things are being overlooked. The most important thing to stress is that diligence in inspection and maintenance is

absolutely vital when it comes to avoiding problems with endoscopes. If the safety of patients and preventing the transmission of infection is to be taken seriously, endoscopes should never go uninspected for more than a single day of use. If multiple sets of the same endoscope type are available, it is advisable to try and rotate the endoscopes so that the maximum number of procedures does not exceed what is recommended by the manufacturer before needing a full inspection.

5.2. 4.2 Improper Storage and Transportation

Endoscopes are often fragile and complex diagnostic and therapeutic tools equipped with delicate optical systems. During storage and transportation, endoscopes should be considered critical medical devices, just as when they are in use. Improper storage and transportation can result in damage or contamination of the endoscope (Hee Hong & Jeong Lim, 2013). Therefore, it is important to maintain proper conditions for storage and transportation. Storage and transportation of endoscopes can be affected by many challenges. Without compromising endoscope integrity, it is important to provide optimum conditions for storage and transportation in controlled environments. Endoscopes should be stored and transported in temperature-controlled areas that restrict sunlight exposure. Furthermore, transportation should use protective casings or containers that minimize bump or shock exposure. When stored in cabinets, endoscopes should also be kept in an upright position. Improper storage and transportation can cause damage to an endoscope and compromise the integrity of the instrument. Compromised endoscopes can cause patient safety concerns (E. George et al., 2024). It also becomes necessary to repair endoscopes rather than maintain them. Damage can be catastrophic to the optical system of an endoscope. Therefore, endoscopes should be handled carefully during storage and transportation. In addition to damage, endoscopes can be contaminated if the storage environment is not controlled. Because endoscopes are complex devices, when they are maintained in a dirty environment, contamination can build up in areas that are difficult to clean. Contamination poses a concern to infection risk. Endoscopes should be handled to minimize contamination risk during storage and transportation. The storage and transport protocols should be strictly adhered to in order to maintain endoscopes safely. It is important to ensure that all surgical staff are trained in the correct procedures for storage and transport of endoscopes. Common hazards and risks relating to endoscope storage and transport are also explained, as well as how these issues can be resolved with strict attention to detail. Some considerations to avoid complications are also presented. Until endoscopes are reprocessed, they should be handled with the utmost care in order to minimize the risk of damage and contamination. Compliance with the protocols for safe storage and transport of endoscopes is crucial in order to preserve the functionality of this critical equipment. Proper endoscope reprocessing is imperative following gastrointestinal (GI) endoscopy in order to prevent transmission of infections. Improper storage and transportation of endoscopes can pose a risk for infection transmission during GI endoscopy, highlighting the importance of pre-storage and post-transportation procedure compliance.

6. Chapter 5: Best Practices for Preventing Pitfalls

Chapter 5 identifies best practices that aim to prevent these common pitfalls, protecting the safety of patients and the effectiveness of surgical procedures. It begins with an overview of the foundation of any proper handling approach: comprehensive training and education for all surgical staff involved in instrument and endoscope management and handling. Training should cover all aspects of instrument handling, from cleaning and disinfection to inspection, maintenance, and assembly. Training programs should be structured and standardized. Consideration should be given to how each aspect of instrument handling should be taught and who should be responsible for conducting training sessions, such as senior staff, nursing, or third-party service providers. Training should be regularly updated and included as part of induction training for new staff members.

The development and implementation of standard operating procedures (SOPs) is one of the most effective strategies to promote consistency and safety throughout instrument handling. Each surgical center should develop its own SOPs tailored to its specific instruments, equipment, and procedures. SOPs should be easy to understand and readily accessible for all staff. As a practical tool to ensure consistency

and minimize the risk of errors, checklists can guide the surgical team throughout a surgical procedure and easily communicate potential concerns regarding instrument handling to other team members. Checklists can improve communication and create a structured process by prompting users to think critically about the specifics outlined in the checklist (Kumar Bali, 2020). For example, a checklist can be used to confirm that all required instruments are present prior to the procedure. Checklists should also be included for the cleaning process of reusable instruments prior to their disinfection or sterilization.

In addition to clearly defined and communicated procedures and guidelines, it is equally important to monitor adherence to these best practices—creating a culture of safety. This involves ongoing feedback systems to address issues and reinforce correct actions. Regular audits on safety practices are critical tools in promoting adherence to best practices. Collaborating and communicating with other surgical teams is also critical for avoiding the repetition of errors that have happened elsewhere. Thorough documentation of instrument-related incidents should be standard practice to enable analysis and the implementation of preventive measures (E. George et al., 2024). It is essential that all staff involved in instrument handling are encouraged and expected to report incidents and concerns, regardless of their seniority.

6.1. 5.1 Training and Education on Instrument Handling

Proper handling of surgical instruments is critical to patient safety and the efficiency of the operating room. Unfortunately, errors related to surgical instruments are too common; many studies find a yearly rate of missing or mismanaged instruments in the range of tens to hundreds per surgeon. Such errors can lead to increased patient risk and wasted operating room time and expense. It is imperative that surgeons and their teams become more aware of how instruments are handled at all stages and of the potential complications that may arise. This outlines the most common pitfalls in handling instruments based on a review of current literature and personal experience in both performing surgeries and observing how surgical instruments are handled off-stage. Prevention strategies for these pitfalls are also suggested (F. Nichol et al., 2024).

One of the most vital aspects of surgical instruments is that they must remain sterile throughout the entirety of their lifecycle on stage. This requires a diligent understanding of cleaning and sterilization processes, which are often left to non-surgeons. However, a basic understanding of these processes is essential since surgical instruments encounter a number of situations that could compromise sterility. Additionally, all instruments come pre-sterilized from an external sterile processing unit before arriving in the operating theater, and it is helpful to be aware of the steps applied to ensure an instrument's sterility (E. George et al., 2024). At the conclusion of a surgical procedure, instruments must be carefully packed and transported back to the sterile processing unit, where they are decontaminated, sterilized, and inspected before being returned to use. Knowing the potential failure points along this cycle is crucial to avoiding issues with instrument sterility.



6.2. 5.2 Implementing Standard Operating Procedures

Standard operating procedures (SOPs) govern a variety of tasks in the health service, including those associated with the handling of surgical instruments and endoscopes. During routine tasks, the necessary steps are so well practiced and become ingrained that they are often “forgotten,” leading to a false confidence in compliance (Kumar Bali, 2020). This familiarity creates a dangerous situation in that it is easy to grow complacent or misinterpret the SOP. Having detailed documentation of a protocol in place that is readily available reinforces the importance of the task and allows for recourse to best practice when required.

SOPs for handling surgical instruments and endoscopes should be established and included as part of training on safer handling. Well-defined protocols reduce risks by ensuring that everyone involved is aware of the steps necessary to manage the risks associated with a specific job task. In the case of surgical instruments and endoscopes, it is essential that staff responsible for their handling from procurement through to disposal are trained in the appropriate SOPs, and that compliance with them is monitored (E. George et al., 2024). For compliance with SOPs to be ensured, training is essential, but it must also be acknowledged that training is not the end of the process. Staff engagement in the development of SOPs will improve the likelihood that they will be adhered to. However, developing SOPs alone is not enough; they have to be enacted. An important aspect of enacting SOPs is ensuring a feedback loop is established, allowing them to be regularly updated in light of new technology and practices. SOPs can quickly become out of date if they are not reviewed on a regular basis. In addition to enacting SOPs, there needs to be a system for monitoring compliance and auditing adherence to procedures. Monitoring compliance will be aided by providing a record of all instrument and endoscope handling procedures, and documenting any breaches of SOPs. While breaches are inevitable, documenting them will allow for the identification of trends that may require a review of relevant SOPs. With audits, adherence to SOPs needs to be actively tested, with measures in place that require managers to conduct audits regularly. It is crucial to foster a culture in which conformity to established protocols is valued, often best achieved by having a separate team responsible for monitoring compliance. Ultimately, failure to adhere to SOPs should result in disciplinary procedures. When a culture of compliance is established, confidence can be gained that processes are being conducted correctly and that patient safety is paramount. Having SOPs that are robust and implemented is the cornerstone practice in effective management to prevent accidents and incidents associated with the handling of surgical instruments and endoscopes.

7. Chapter 6: Quality Assurance and Monitoring

Monitoring and quality assurance are key to preventing avoidable adverse events. Without proactive oversight of handling procedures, it is difficult to identify where improvements are needed. This is vital for enhancing overall safety. Surgical instruments and endoscopes are expensive and critical components of the surgical environment, and unintentional damage or loss of these items can impact patient safety. Therefore, embedded quality assurance and monitoring practices should be established with this concern in mind. Performing routine audits directly helps specification compliance, procedures, and training needs. In the absence of monitoring, it is easy for things to slip. By identifying minor issues now, they can be prevented from becoming major problems later. Regular feedback to staff on performance is a powerful tool for improvement. Without feedback, some procedures may not be performed as intended, irrespective of training. At a minimum, performance should be reviewed and commented on for each staff induction/periodic refresher training session. Consideration should also be given to scheduling regular performance feedback sessions for established staff. It can be helpful to keep a record of all adverse incidents related to handling surgical instruments and endoscopes. By tracking these occurrences, themes can be identified that may indicate a need for additional training for particular procedures or staff. Furthermore, incident records can highlight where current procedures may need revision. Overall, the monitoring approach should be as simple and practical as possible, but an emphasis should still be placed on continuously maintaining high standards of care and protecting patient safety.

It is hoped that some of these procedures will be useful for others. If compliance with established protocols is to be evaluated, the monitoring system must be able to quantify performance against a defined metric standard. Items needing repair/new purchase and training needs can be identified through ratio metric scores, whilst compliance with procedures can be assessed using binary metrics. The former reflects an overall continuous quality improvement process, and the latter is a simple pass/fail approach to ensure essentials are being performed correctly. The aim should be not just to comply with a particular standard, but to cultivate a culture of accountability and exemplary practice. Procedures found to be at risk of non-compliance should be reviewed and reinforced, and consideration given to training or clearer specification amendments. Otherwise, a culture can develop where compliance is viewed as box-ticking, undermining quality control efforts. The system should as far as practicable be built into everyday processes, so monitoring does not solely rest on one person or small group. Ideally, monitoring-auditing and procedure-oversight roles should be separated to avoid conflicts of interest and ensure robust standards are maintained. New strategies should be embraced to strengthen quality assurance approaches and ensure the surgical environment is constantly evolving best practice (Clarke et al., 2021).

8. Chapter 7: Conclusion and Future Directions

The conclusion encapsulates the key findings and themes discussed throughout the essay regarding the handling of surgical instruments and endoscopes. It reaffirms the critical importance of proper management practices in promoting surgical success and patient safety. The section reflects on the common pitfalls identified and the best practices suggested to mitigate these issues. Additionally, it emphasizes the need for ongoing education and the adoption of quality assurance mechanisms in surgical teams.

Recognizing that most pitfalls arise from ignorance or inattention, the conclusion urges surgical teams to implement the suggested best practices as a starting point for improvement. While surgical instrument and endoscope handling may currently be taken for granted, the rapid evolution of new technologies, configurations, and materials necessitates continuous vigilance to avoid pitfalls. Hence, future directions for research and practice focus on addressing the challenges posed by advancements in surgical instruments and endoscopes.

The conclusion calls for collective responsibility among healthcare professionals to maintain safety standards and avoid potential catastrophic consequences. Instruments and endoscopes, being extensions of the surgeon's capabilities, should be prized possessions rather than disregarded equipment. Institutions are challenged to commit to continuous improvement through adaptive strategies, quality assurance mechanisms, auditing, and creating a culture of critical appraisal. At the same time, manufacturers and developers are encouraged to ensure that innovations conform to safety standards.

Finally, this chapter serves as a motivational closing, urging the surgical community to prioritize instrument handling at all levels, from education to everyday practice. The message is clear: to prevent missed opportunities, mishaps, and disasters, carefully consider how instruments and endoscopes are handled. Emphasizing this focus, the motto serves as both a reminder and a challenge.

References:

1. F. Nichol, P., J. Saari, M., Navas, N., Aguilar, D., K. Bliesner, R., J. Brunner, P., C. Caceres, J., Chen, M., R. VanDommelen, A., Fischer, M., Garcha, S., A. Ghawas, E., R. Hackinson, G., Hitzeman, A., Jabbour, M., M. Jentsch, A., M. Kurth, M., Leyden, M., Luo, Q., C. McGrain, A., Nytes, G., R. O'Brien, O., K. Philavong, J., Villegas, N., R. Walsh, S., & S. Wisdorf, S. (2024). Observed rates of surgical instrument errors point to visualization tasks as being a critically vulnerable point in sterile processing and a significant cause of lost chargeable OR minutes. ncbi.nlm.nih.gov
2. Thomson, S., Hair, C., & Oyeleke, G. K. (2024). Outside the training paradigm: challenges and solutions for endoscopy provision in resource-limited settings. Techniques and Innovations in Gastrointestinal Endoscopy. [sciencedirect.com](https://www.sciencedirect.com)

3. McCrory, B., A. LaGrange, C., & S. Hallbeck, M. (2014). Quality and Safety of Minimally Invasive Surgery: Past, Present, and Future. [\[PDF\]](#)
4. Nemitz, R. (2022). *Surgical Instrumentation-E-Book: Surgical Instrumentation-E-Book*. Elsevier Health Sciences.<https://nz.sa/SUdqf>
5. Rodriguez, J. H., & Ponsky, J. (Eds.). (2020). *Endoscopy, An Issue of Surgical Clinics, E-Book: Endoscopy, An Issue of Surgical Clinics, E-Book* (Vol. 100, No. 6). Elsevier Health Sciences.<https://nz.sa/wbuoN>
6. Kirk, R. M. (2010). *Basic Surgical Techniques E-Book*. Elsevier Health Sciences.<https://nz.sa/aKHvu>
7. E. George, R., C. Bay, C., C. Shaffrey, E., J. Wirth, P., & K. Rao, V. (2024). A Day in the Life of a Surgical Instrument: The Cycle of Sterilization. ncbi.nlm.nih.gov
8. Health Organization, W. (2021). Global patient safety action plan 2021-2030: towards eliminating avoidable harm in health care. [google.com](https://www.google.com)
9. Henry, M. M., & Thompson, J. N. (Eds.). (2012). *Clinical Surgery E-Book: With STUDENT CONSULT Online Access*. Elsevier Health Sciences.<https://nz.sa/AZBaI>
10. Delbridge, M. S., & Al-Jundi, W. (2024). *Pocketbook of Surgery-E-Book: Pocketbook of Surgery-E-Book*. Elsevier Health Sciences.<https://nz.sa/SPlhy>
11. Fuller, J. K. (2012). *Surgical Technology-E-Book: Surgical Technology-E-Book*. Elsevier Health Sciences.<https://nz.sa/KMrCt>
12. ANDIGEMA, A. & Cyrielle, N. N. T. (2024). Advancing Adherence and Compliance to Personal Protective Equipment Policies among Health Care Workers–Insights from a Groundbreaking Case Study in [preprints.org](https://www.preprints.org)
13. Pandey, P. K., Agrawal, S., Singh, D., Satish, K., & Kumari, P. (2021). Sterilization and Disinfection of Dental Operatory. [\[HTML\]](#)
14. Bharti, B., Li, H., Ren, Z., Zhu, R., & Zhu, Z. (2022). Recent advances in sterilization and disinfection technology: A review. *Chemosphere*. [\[HTML\]](#)
15. Kumar, R., & Rana, A. (2021). Basic concepts of sterilization techniques. *Research Journal of Pharmacology and Pharmacodynamics*, 13(4), 155-161. [rjppd.org](https://www.rjppd.org)
16. Marshall, D. (2024). Sanitation, Sterilization, and Disinfection. *Mosby's Comprehensive Review for Veterinary Technicians E-Book*, 222. [\[HTML\]](#)
17. Khan, D. Z., Luengo, I., Barbarisi, S., Addis, C., Culshaw, L., Dorward, N. L., ... & Marcus, H. J. (2021). Automated operative workflow analysis of endoscopic pituitary surgery using machine learning: development and preclinical evaluation (IDEAL stage 0). *Journal of Neurosurgery*, 137(1), 51-58. [thejns.org](https://www.thejns.org)
18. Delouche, J. C., Matthes, R. K., Dougherty, G. M., & Boyd, A. H. (2021). Storage of seed in sub-tropical and tropical regions. [msstate.edu](https://www.msstate.edu)
19. Fossum, T. W. (2018). *Small Animal Surgery-Inkling Enhanced E-Book: Small Animal Surgery E-Book*. Elsevier Health Sciences.<https://nz.sa/Esoxl>
20. Kotcher, J. (2014). *Workbook for Surgical Technology-E-Book: Principles and Practice*. Elsevier Health Sciences.<https://nz.sa/EZWBU>
21. Garden, O. J., & Parks, R. W. (Eds.). (2017). *Principles and Practice of Surgery E-Book*. Elsevier Health Sciences.<https://nz.sa/rqFPx>
22. Ames, H. & Strong, J. (2023). The 5 “W” s of point-of-use treatment. [hpnonline.com](https://www.hpnonline.com)
23. Kook Lee, Y. & Bae Park, J. (2013). Steps of Reprocessing and Equipments. ncbi.nlm.nih.gov
24. Kremer, T., Rowan, N. J., & McDonnell, G. (2024). A proposed cleaning classification system for reusable medical devices to complement the Spaulding classification. *Journal of Hospital Infection*. [journalofhospitalinfection.com](https://www.journalofhospitalinfection.com)
25. Ferorelli, D., Benevento, M., Vimercati, L., Spagnolo, L., De Maria, L., Caputi, A., Zotti, F., Mandarelli, G., Dell'Erba, A., & Solarino, B. (2022). Improving Healthcare Workers' Adherence to Surgical Safety Checklist: The Impact of a Short Training. ncbi.nlm.nih.gov

26. James, J., Irace, A. L., Gudis, D. A., &Overdevest, J. B. (2022). Simulation training in endoscopic skull base surgery: A scoping review. *World Journal of Otorhinolaryngology-Head and Neck Surgery*, 8(01), 73-81. mednexus.org
27. Hartman, C. J., & Kavoussi, L. R. (2017). *Handbook of Surgical Technique: Handbook of Surgical Technique E-Book*. Elsevier Health Sciences.<https://nz.sa/nTpUj>
28. Soetikno, R., & Kaltenbach, T. (Eds.). (2019). *Endoscopic Closures, An Issue of Gastrointestinal Endoscopy Clinics E-Book: Endoscopic Closures, An Issue of Gastrointestinal Endoscopy Clinics E-Book* (Vol. 30, No. 1). Elsevier Health Sciences.<https://nz.sa/FTzLT>
29. Kulkov, I., Kulkova, J., Rohrbeck, R., Menvielle, L., Kaartemo, V., & Makkonen, H. (2024). Artificial intelligence-driven sustainable development: Examining organizational, technical, and processing approaches to achieving global goals. *Sustainable Development*, 32(3), 2253-2267. wiley.com
30. N. Anderson, T., N. Hasty, B., S. Schmiederer, I., E. Miller, S., Shi, R., R. Aalami, L., M. Huffman, E., N. Choi, J., & N. Lau, J. (2021). A Generalizable Multimodal Scrub Training Curriculum in Surgical Sterile Technique. ncbi.nlm.nih.gov
31. Sindwani, R. (2020). *Endoscopic surgery of the orbit E-book*. Elsevier Health Sciences.<https://nz.sa/qYpZH>
32. Moore, W. S. (2012). *Vascular and endovascular surgery e-book: a comprehensive review*.<https://nz.sa/lPSNs>
33. Cameron, J. L. (Ed.). (2021). *Advances in Surgery, E-Book 2021: Advances in Surgery, E-Book 2021* (Vol. 55, No. 1). Elsevier Health Sciences.<https://nz.sa/yKaPG>
34. Mahawongkajit, P., Techagumpuch, A., &Auksornchat, K. (2022). Effects of basic endoscopic handling and care training on gastrointestinal endoscopy logistics. *Endoscopy International Open*, 10(01), E56-E61. thieme-connect.com
35. Echemendia, R. J., Burma, J. S., Bruce, J. M., Davis, G. A., Giza, C. C., Guskiewicz, K. M., ... & Schneider, K. J. (2023). Acute evaluation of sport-related concussion and implications for the Sport Concussion Assessment Tool (SCAT6) for adults, adolescents and children: a systematic review. *British journal of sports medicine*, 57(11), 722-735. arizona.edu
36. Guo, W., Du, Y., Shen, X., Lepetit, V., Alameda-Pineda, X., & Moreno-Noguer, F. (2023). Back to mlp: A simple baseline for human motion prediction. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF winter conference on applications of computer vision* (pp. 4809-4819). thecvf.com
37. Hill, I., Oliver, L., Helmkamp, J., Le, E., Hill, W., Wahlstedt, J., ... & Codd, P. J. (2022). Measuring intraoperative surgical instrument use with radio-frequency identification. *JAMIA open*, 5(1), ooac003. oup.com