Review of Contemporary Philosophy
ISSN: 1841-5261, e-ISSN: 2471-089X
Vol 22 (1), 2023
pp. 6345–6361

Measuring Success in Health Care: Key Indicators of Quality and Performance

[1]Mossab Mustafa A Basri, [2]Abdiah Yousef Ebrahim Alsaid, [3]Fatimah Hani Almashor, [4]Sahar Rmadan Bafna, [5]Noorh Hassan Alasmary, [6]Salman Fraih Salih Alharbi, [7]Hamed Owayesh M. Alharbi, [8]Bushra Yousif Almarzouqi, [9]Nawal Abdullah Al Harthi, [10]Bushra Hameed Almagrabi, [11]Hanin Hamzah Alabdulqader , [12]Adwaa Mohammed Bkr Brnwi, [13]Ohoud Khaled Almutiri, [14]Sami Ahmed Saud Alotaibi, [15]Mohammad Awwadh Althobaiti

[1]Anak General Hospital, General Practitioner

[2] (ICU) Nursing Speciaiist, Muhail Aseer Generai Hospital

[3]Pharmacy Technician, Dammam Medical Complex

[4]Laboratoy Technician, Jeddah First Health Cluster- Jeddah Regional Lab

[5]Health Assistant, Thalouth Almondher

[6]Faniy Maelumatih Sihih, Qassim Health Cluster Patient Experience

[7]Technician Pharmacist, Althager General Hospital

[8]Dentist, North Of Riyadh Dental Clinic

[9]General Nursing, Infectious Disease,Directorate

[10]Dental Assistant Specialist, Jeddah Specialty Dental Center

[11]Dental Assistant, Jeddah Specialty Dental Center

Jeddah Second Health Cluster

[12]Nursing Technician, All Azizia Hospital

[13]Senior Speciality Nursing. Hospital : Maternity Children Speciality Hospital .

[14]Taif Health Cluster, Medical Records Technician

Bilad Rabie Health Center

[15]Taif Health Cluster, Mental Health Hospital

Received: 04 October 2023 **Revised:** 17 November 2023 **Accepted:** 04 December 2023

Chapter 1: Introduction to Quality in Health Care

1.1 Overview of Quality in Health Care

Quality in health care refers to the consistent delivery of safe, effective, patient-centered, timely, and equitable services. It is a cornerstone of modern health systems, aiming to optimize patient outcomes while ensuring efficient resource use. High-quality care is characterized by adherence to evidence-based practices and continuous improvement strategies. Patient safety culture (PSC), a critical component, emphasizes shared values and norms that prioritize minimizing harm and fostering a supportive environment for healthcare providers (Macedo et al., 2020). A strong focus on quality underpins all aspects of health care, from clinical decision-making to organizational processes, ensuring that patients receive the best possible outcomes. By integrating robust quality frameworks into everyday practice, health systems can address inefficiencies, reduce errors, and build trust with patients, creating a sustainable and resilient healthcare environment.

1.2 Historical Context and Evolution

The concept of quality in health care gained prominence in the late 20th century with publications like the Institute of Medicine's **To Err is Human**, which highlighted the alarming prevalence of medical errors (Shin & Shin, 2020). This report marked a paradigm shift from attributing errors to individual failures to recognizing systemic issues as the root cause. The subsequent emphasis on patient safety and quality led to significant advancements in health care policies, protocols, and organizational cultures. Over the years, health systems have moved from punitive approaches to fostering environments of learning and accountability. Models like the Swiss Cheese Model have been instrumental in visualizing error prevention as a system-wide effort, demonstrating the importance of resilience and robust processes in achieving quality outcomes (Tajalli et al., 2021). This evolution underscores the need for a holistic approach to integrating quality into health care systems.

1.3 Importance of Patient Safety Culture (PSC)

PSC is a fundamental principle for delivering high-quality health care. It prioritizes transparency, accountability, and teamwork, fostering a non-punitive environment where errors are viewed as opportunities for learning (Macedo et al., 2020). PSC ensures that safety is embedded in every aspect of care delivery, from leadership practices to frontline operations. Institutions with a strong PSC often experience better patient outcomes, reduced adverse events, and improved staff morale. By empowering healthcare workers to report errors without fear of retribution, organizations can address systemic weaknesses and implement corrective measures. Research shows that robust PSC is directly linked to fewer complications, shorter hospital stays, and enhanced patient satisfaction, making it an indispensable aspect of quality health care (Eliyana et al., 2020).

1.4 Leadership's Role in Quality Health Care

Effective leadership is pivotal in cultivating and sustaining quality in health care. Leaders set the tone by prioritizing safety and quality alongside operational objectives, allocating resources, and fostering a supportive environment (Kim & Sim, 2020). They implement practices such as regular safety briefings, open feedback forums, and active participation in quality initiatives. By modeling safety-oriented behaviors, leaders inspire teams to embrace continuous improvement. Leadership-driven strategies not only enhance safety culture but also improve overall organizational performance, demonstrating the interconnectedness of leadership and quality outcomes in health care.

1.5 Frameworks and Models Guiding Quality

Frameworks like the High-Reliability Organization (HRO) principles and the Swiss Cheese Model have been instrumental in embedding quality into health care systems. These models emphasize resilience, error detection, and accountability, moving away from the blame culture of the past (Tajalli et al., 2021). By adopting these frameworks, organizations can systematically identify vulnerabilities and address them proactively. Such structured approaches enable healthcare providers to maintain consistency, adapt to challenges, and enhance patient safety, ensuring high standards of care delivery.

1.6 Global Standards and Regulatory Influence

Regulatory bodies and accreditation organizations have played a significant role in standardizing quality practices. Institutions like The Joint Commission and WHO have developed tools and guidelines to assess and strengthen PSC, highlighting its centrality to health care delivery (Xing, Sun & Jepsen, 2021). These efforts have led to widespread adoption of error reporting systems, safety checklists, and quality improvement initiatives. By embedding safety culture principles into policies, these organizations ensure consistency, accountability, and sustained quality improvements across diverse healthcare settings (Spagnoli et al., 2020).

1.7 Challenges in Ensuring Quality

Despite advancements, achieving consistent quality in health care remains challenging. Barriers such as resource limitations, resistance to change, and communication gaps hinder progress. Cultural factors, including varying attitudes toward safety and accountability, also impact implementation. Addressing these challenges requires sustained efforts, including workforce training, investment in technology, and fostering inclusive safety cultures. Continuous research and innovation are key to overcoming these obstacles and driving progress in quality improvement (Zarrin, Gracia & Paixão, 2020).

1.8 Technology's Role in Enhancing Quality

The integration of technology has revolutionized quality measurement and improvement in health care. Tools like real-time monitoring systems and predictive analytics offer new opportunities to identify risks, enhance decision-making, and prevent errors. Technology also enables better data collection and analysis, supporting evidence-based interventions. As digital innovations evolve, their role in strengthening quality frameworks will continue to expand, driving further advancements in patient outcomes and system efficiency.

1.9 Impact of Quality on Patient Outcomes

High-quality care directly improves patient outcomes by reducing complications, shortening hospital stays, and enhancing satisfaction. Institutions that prioritize quality often see ripple effects, such as cost savings, improved trust, and better staff retention. By fostering environments where evidence-based practices are consistently applied, health systems can achieve sustained improvements in care delivery and patient well-being (Darling-Hammond et al., 2020).

1.10 Role of Continuous Quality Improvement

Continuous Quality Improvement (CQI) is a dynamic approach that focuses on iterative enhancements in health care processes. By regularly evaluating performance metrics and implementing data-driven strategies, CQI ensures that health systems adapt to changing needs and challenges. This proactive approach is critical for maintaining high standards of care and addressing emerging risks effectively (Zarrin, Gracia & Paixão, 2020).

1.11 Patient-Centered Approaches in Quality Care

Patient-centered care is integral to quality health care, emphasizing individualized treatment and active patient involvement. This approach aligns care delivery with patients' values, preferences, and needs, fostering trust and improving satisfaction. By integrating patient perspectives into quality frameworks, health systems can achieve more holistic and effective outcomes (Macedo et al., 2020).

1.12 Economic Benefits of Quality Health Care

Investing in quality health care leads to significant economic benefits, including cost savings from reduced errors, shorter hospital stays, and improved efficiency. High-quality systems attract more patients and staff, enhancing financial sustainability. Policymakers and organizations must recognize these economic advantages when prioritizing quality initiatives (Kim & Sim, 2020).

1.13 Ethical Dimensions of Quality Health Care

Quality health care is not only a professional obligation but also an ethical imperative. Ensuring safe, effective, and equitable care reflects a commitment to human dignity and social justice. By upholding ethical standards, health systems can build trust and accountability, reinforcing their role as pillars of societal well-being. The pursuit of quality in health care is a multifaceted and ongoing journey. By embedding principles of safety, leadership, technology, and patient-centeredness into their frameworks, health systems can achieve sustained improvements in outcomes. The integration of PSC and other quality measures ensures that care delivery remains safe, effective, and responsive to the needs of diverse populations (Kim & Sim, 2020).

Chapter 2: Defining Quality Metrics in Health Care

1. Introduction to Quality Metrics
Quality metrics in health care serve as essential tools to measure performance and ensure the delivery of high-quality care. These metrics provide insights into patient outcomes, safety, and overall system efficiency, making them critical for assessing success. Leadership is instrumental in establishing these metrics, aligning them with safety priorities and fostering a culture that emphasizes measurable improvement (Siyal et al., 2020). By integrating evidence-based practices with defined metrics, organizations can better monitor and enhance their services.

2. Types of Quality Metrics
Quality metrics are typically categorized into three groups: structure, process, and outcome measures. Structural metrics evaluate the resources and systems available for care, while process metrics focus on how care is delivered. Outcome measures assess the impact of care on patient health. Each category offers unique insights into the quality of health care services, enabling comprehensive evaluations and targeted improvements (World Alliance for Patient Safety, 2021).

3. Global Standards and Guidelines
International organizations like the WHO and IOM provide frameworks for quality metrics, emphasizing safety, efficiency, and patient-centeredness. These standards serve as benchmarks for health care systems worldwide, promoting consistency and accountability. Effective communication tools, such as SBAR, further support adherence to these guidelines by ensuring clarity in care transitions (Yuniati & Sitinjak, 2022).

4. The Role of Leadership in Defining Metrics
Leadership's commitment to safety culture significantly influences the development and implementation of quality metrics. By allocating resources, setting clear policies, and actively engaging in safety initiatives, leaders create an environment conducive to measurable success (Siyal et al., 2020). Transformational leadership, which emphasizes empowerment and support, is particularly effective in motivating teams to prioritize and achieve quality goals.

5. Patient Outcomes as a Metric
Patient outcomes, such as mortality rates, readmission rates, and recovery times, are among the most direct indicators of health care quality. Transparency in reporting adverse events and near misses enables teams to identify patterns and implement preventative measures, fostering trust and accountability (Khosravi, Ghiasi & Ganjali, 2021). Outcome metrics provide actionable insights, guiding organizations toward improved care delivery.

6. Safety as a Core Quality Metric
Patient safety metrics, including infection control rates and medication error reports, are fundamental to quality assessment. A non-punitive reporting culture encourages transparency, leading to better identification and resolution of safety issues (Lee et al., 2020). Leaders play a pivotal role in embedding safety into organizational values, ensuring that staff feel supported in reporting and addressing concerns.

7. Efficiency and Accessibility
Efficiency and accessibility metrics, such as waiting times and resource utilization, assess how effectively health care services meet patient needs. Poor communication and hierarchical barriers can hinder these efforts, emphasizing the importance of promoting open, assertive dialogue among teams (Adel et al., 2021). Organizations that prioritize efficiency and equitable access achieve higher patient satisfaction and better outcomes.

8. Patient-Centered Care
Patient-centered metrics, including satisfaction surveys and engagement levels, reflect the extent to which care aligns with patients' needs and preferences. Open communication about treatment plans and adverse

events builds trust and strengthens the patient-provider relationship (Syahrina & Mutya, 2023). These metrics highlight the importance of empathy and transparency in delivering high-quality care.

9. Technology's Role in Metrics
Technological advancements, such as electronic health records (EHRs) and data analytics, have revolutionized the measurement of quality metrics. Real-time monitoring tools and AI enhance the accuracy and efficiency of data collection, enabling proactive risk management (Kim, Jillapali & Boyd, 2021). These innovations support continuous quality improvement by providing actionable insights.

10. Challenges in Standardization
Despite the benefits, standardizing quality metrics across diverse health care systems remains challenging. Cultural differences, resource constraints, and varying regulatory environments create disparities in metric implementation (Ramos et al., 2020). Addressing these challenges requires collaboration among stakeholders to develop adaptable and inclusive frameworks.

11. Teamwork and Metrics
Interdisciplinary teamwork is essential for achieving quality metrics, as health care delivery is inherently collaborative. Simulation-based training and team-building activities enhance coordination and role clarity, reducing errors and improving safety outcomes (Brown, Kraimer & Bratton, 2019). Effective teamwork aligns with organizational goals, supporting the successful implementation of quality initiatives.

12. Learning Environment and Metrics
A strong learning culture drives improvements in quality metrics by encouraging the analysis of errors and near misses. Regular training and workshops equip staff with the skills needed to address emerging risks (Zwedberg, Alnervik & Barimani, 2021). Leadership's support for learning initiatives reinforces the importance of continuous education in maintaining high standards.

13. Blame vs. Learning Culture
Transitioning from a blame culture to a learning culture is crucial for accurate metric reporting. In a blame culture, fear of punishment discourages error reporting, hindering improvements. A learning culture, on the other hand, fosters transparency and accountability, enabling proactive solutions (Holland, 2019). This shift enhances the reliability of quality metrics and promotes safer care.

14. Future Directions for Quality Metrics
The future of quality metrics lies in integrating leadership, communication, teamwork, and learning culture. These elements are interconnected, with each reinforcing the other to create a cohesive safety framework (Chang et al., 2020). By leveraging technology, fostering transparency, and addressing standardization challenges, health care systems can achieve sustainable improvements in quality and performance.

Chapter 3: Key Indicators of Quality and Performance in Health Care

Patient Outcomes: The Core of Quality

Patient outcomes are a central measure of quality in health care, encompassing metrics such as mortality rates, readmission rates, and recovery times. These outcomes provide a direct indicator of the effectiveness of care provided. For example, a reduction in readmission rates often signals improvements in discharge planning and follow-up care. Patient outcomes are not only critical for assessing the success of interventions but also for guiding policy decisions. Evidence suggests that a focus on systemic improvements, such as enhancing patient safety culture (PSC), directly improves outcomes (Afota, Robert & Vandenberghe, 2021). By addressing systemic weaknesses, such as miscommunication and workflow inefficiencies, health care organizations can achieve better patient outcomes (Dedahanov, Bozorov & Sung, 2019).

Patient Safety as a Foundation

Patient safety is a cornerstone of health care quality, with medical errors being a significant concern. A robust PSC encourages a proactive approach to error reporting and fosters an environment of transparency and learning. Non-punitive reporting systems, for instance, enable staff to report errors and near-misses without fear of reprisal (Moghadari-Koosha et al., 2020). These systems prioritize learning over punishment, facilitating the identification of root causes and the implementation of preventive measures. Effective PSC initiatives have been shown to reduce preventable incidents, creating a safer environment for both patients and health care providers (Chang et al., 2020).

The Importance of Near-Miss Reporting

Reporting near-misses is an often-overlooked but invaluable practice in health care. Near-misses reveal system vulnerabilities that could lead to errors if not addressed. For instance, issues with medication labeling or storage may surface through near-miss reporting (Liu et al., 2019). Organizations that emphasize near-miss reporting can proactively identify and mitigate risks, reinforcing a culture of vigilance. This practice not only prevents future errors but also fosters continuous improvement, a key element of PSC that significantly contributes to error prevention.

Effective Communication: A Central Tenet

Communication is vital for ensuring safety and quality in health care. Miscommunication among health care teams is a leading cause of errors, particularly in high-pressure environments. PSC initiatives, such as standardized handoff protocols and multidisciplinary safety huddles, improve information sharing (Dedahanov, Bozorov & Sung, 2019). Structured tools like SBAR (Situation, Background, Assessment, Recommendation) enhance clarity during patient transitions, reducing misunderstandings and improving outcomes. Strengthening communication among health care teams is thus a critical indicator of performance (Cherkasov et al .,2019).

Leadership Commitment to Safety

Leadership plays a pivotal role in fostering PSC and reducing medical errors. Leaders set the tone for organizational culture by prioritizing safety, providing resources for error reporting systems, and addressing reported issues promptly (Ghafouri et al., 2022). Visible leadership commitment, such as participating in safety rounds and acknowledging staff contributions, builds trust and encourages open dialogue. Organizations with strong leadership in safety often experience higher rates of staff engagement and error reporting, driving sustained improvements in quality (Ismail, 2021).

Patient-Centered Care and Satisfaction

Patient-centered care is a crucial metric for evaluating health care quality. This approach focuses on individual patient needs, preferences, and values, ensuring that care decisions respect these factors. Surveys measuring patient satisfaction provide insights into the quality of care and areas for improvement. Patient-centered care has been linked to better outcomes and higher levels of trust in the health care system. By integrating patient feedback into quality improvement initiatives, organizations can enhance their service delivery and patient experience (Baris, Intepeler & Unal, 2023).

Efficiency and Accessibility

Efficiency and accessibility are key indicators of health care quality that directly impact patient satisfaction and outcomes. Metrics such as waiting times, resource utilization, and equitable access to services are essential for evaluating system performance. Efficient systems ensure timely care delivery, while accessibility ensures that health care is available to all, regardless of socioeconomic status. Strategies such as streamlining workflows and leveraging technology can significantly improve these indicators (Gupta, Shaheen& Das, 2019).

Integration of Technology

Technology plays an increasingly significant role in enhancing health care quality and safety. Digital tools like Electronic Health Records (EHRs) enable better data management and coordination among providers. Artificial Intelligence (AI) and machine learning further facilitate predictive analytics, improving decision-making and patient outcomes. Health care organizations that leverage technology effectively can streamline processes, enhance communication, and improve overall quality (Dedahanov, Bozorov& Sung, 2019).

Continuous Quality Improvement (CQI)

CQI focuses on iterative improvements in health care processes to enhance outcomes and safety. Using data from error reports, near-misses, and patient feedback, organizations can identify areas for improvement and implement targeted interventions. The integration of CQI with PSC creates a robust framework for ongoing learning and system enhancement, ensuring sustained quality in health care delivery (Gupta, Shaheen& Das, 2019).

Standardizing Care Protocols

Standardization of care protocols ensures consistency in treatment delivery and reduces variability that can lead to errors. Evidence-based guidelines and checklists are essential tools for achieving standardization. Organizations with well-defined protocols often report fewer errors and better outcomes, reflecting the importance of this indicator in measuring quality.

Role of Training and Education

Training and education are fundamental for maintaining high-quality care. Continuous professional development ensures that health care providers stay updated on the latest practices and technologies. Training programs focusing on communication, teamwork, and error prevention enhance both individual and system-level performance, reinforcing a culture of safety and quality (Cinar, 2019).

Equity in Health Care

Equity is a vital dimension of quality, emphasizing the importance of providing care that does not vary based on personal characteristics such as race, gender, or income. Monitoring disparities in health outcomes and access helps identify inequities and guide policy reforms. Health care systems that prioritize equity ensure better population health outcomes and improved trust in the system (Jiang et al., 2019).

Transparency and Accountability

Transparency in reporting and accountability for outcomes are essential for driving improvements in quality. Publicly available performance data allows patients to make informed choices and encourages health care providers to maintain high standards. Accountability mechanisms, such as performance evaluations and peer reviews, further reinforce quality (Even, 2020).

Future Directions in Quality Measurement

As health care evolves, new metrics and methods for quality measurement are emerging. Advances in technology, such as wearable health monitors and real-time data analytics, offer opportunities for more dynamic and precise evaluation of quality indicators. The future of quality measurement lies in integrating these innovations with traditional metrics to create a comprehensive framework for assessing and improving health care delivery Afota, Robert& Vandenberghe, 2021).

Chapter 4: Methods for Evaluating Health Care Quality

Evaluating health care quality is critical for improving patient outcomes and ensuring efficient resource use. A robust evaluation framework enables organizations to identify gaps, implement corrective actions, and maintain high standards of care. Patient safety culture (PSC) plays a foundational role in these efforts, influencing key metrics such as hospital-acquired infections (HAIs), mortality rates, and patient satisfaction (Abd El Rahman et al., 2022). By leveraging structured methodologies, health care providers can make data-driven decisions that prioritize safety and accountability.

Quantitative Methods in Quality Assessment

Quantitative methods, such as statistical analysis and clinical audits, provide measurable insights into health care quality. Metrics like readmission rates, infection rates, and mortality rates are essential for evaluating outcomes. For instance, hospitals with strong PSC have been shown to achieve lower readmission rates by addressing root causes of patient deterioration post-discharge (Khalid et al., 2021). These methods help identify patterns and trends, enabling targeted interventions that improve overall care delivery.

Role of Surveys and Patient Feedback

Patient surveys and feedback mechanisms are vital tools for assessing health care quality. They offer direct insights into patient satisfaction, a key indicator of safety and trust. Transparent communication and involving patients in decision-making are strongly associated with higher satisfaction scores (Raeissi et al., 2019). Hospitals with robust PSC often receive positive feedback, as patients perceive their care as safe, coordinated, and responsive to their needs (Hiver & Al-Hoorie, 2020).

Clinical Audits and Benchmarking

Clinical audits and benchmarking are essential for comparing health care performance against established standards. These methods involve evaluating processes and outcomes to ensure alignment with best practices. Hospitals with high PSC scores consistently outperform others in reducing 30-day readmission rates for chronic conditions such as heart failure (Kim & Gatling, 2019). Benchmarking fosters a culture of continuous improvement, enabling organizations to set achievable goals and monitor progress effectively.

Qualitative Approaches in Evaluation

Qualitative approaches, including focus groups, interviews, and case studies, provide nuanced insights into health care quality. These methods capture the experiences and perspectives of patients and staff, highlighting areas for improvement. For example, interviews with care teams in safety-focused hospitals reveal how open communication and non-punitive responses to errors contribute to better patient outcomes (Al-Turfi & Al-Jubouri, 2022). These insights are invaluable for developing targeted strategies to enhance care delivery.

Leveraging Technology for Quality Evaluation

Technological advancements have revolutionized health care quality evaluation. Tools such as electronic health records (EHRs), dashboards, and big data analytics enable real-time monitoring of key metrics. Early warning systems, for instance, detect deteriorating patient conditions and facilitate timely interventions, reducing preventable deaths (Yoon et al., 2020). By integrating technology, organizations can streamline evaluation processes and achieve more accurate, actionable insights.

Safety-Focused Checklists

The use of safety-focused checklists is an effective strategy for improving adherence to quality standards. These tools standardize procedures, ensuring that critical safety measures, such as proper hand hygiene and medication reconciliation, are consistently followed (Abd El Rahman et al., 2022). By fostering accountability, checklists reduce errors and enhance the overall reliability of care delivery.

Continuous Quality Improvement (CQI)

Continuous Quality Improvement (CQI) emphasizes iterative enhancements in care delivery through regular evaluation and feedback. CQI initiatives rely on analyzing performance data to identify areas for improvement. For example, hospitals with strong PSC implement training programs and corrective actions based on audit findings, leading to sustained improvements in patient safety (Mauro, 2022). CQI creates a culture where learning and adaptation are integral to quality assurance.

Ethical Considerations in Quality Evaluation

Ethical considerations are paramount in evaluating health care quality. Transparency, fairness, and respect for patient autonomy must guide all evaluation efforts. In PSC-driven organizations, ethical practices, such as involving patients in decision-making and addressing concerns promptly, foster trust and collaboration (Ko & Kang, 2019). Ensuring ethical evaluation processes enhances credibility and reinforces the commitment to high-quality care.

Interdisciplinary Collaboration

Interdisciplinary collaboration is critical for effective quality evaluation. Seamless coordination among departments ensures comprehensive assessments and prevents gaps in care transitions. For example, team collaboration in discharge planning significantly reduces readmission rates for chronic conditions (Aklil et al., 2021). By integrating diverse perspectives, organizations can develop holistic strategies to improve health care quality.

Training and Capacity Building

Training programs and capacity-building initiatives are integral to quality evaluation. Regular training sessions enhance staff competencies and reinforce adherence to safety protocols. In PSC-focused environments, training programs include modules on error reporting, infection prevention, and patient engagement (Faisal, 2022). These efforts empower staff to contribute actively to quality improvement processes.

Addressing Barriers to Evaluation

Barriers such as data availability, bias, and resource constraints often hinder quality evaluation efforts. Addressing these challenges requires strategic investments in technology and infrastructure. PSC-driven organizations mitigate barriers by fostering a culture of openness and collaboration, ensuring that staff feel supported in reporting and addressing issues (Spilg et al., 2022). Overcoming these barriers is essential for achieving accurate and meaningful evaluations.

Policy Recommendations

Effective policies are crucial for supporting quality evaluation efforts. Regulatory frameworks should incentivize adherence to safety protocols and facilitate data sharing across health care settings. Hospitals with strong PSC advocate for policies that promote transparency, accountability, and continuous learning (Talebian et al., 2022). Implementing such policies strengthens evaluation mechanisms and enhances overall care delivery.

Future Directions in Quality Evaluation

The future of health care quality evaluation lies in leveraging emerging technologies such as artificial intelligence (AI) and machine learning. These tools offer predictive analytics capabilities, enabling organizations to anticipate risks and implement proactive measures (Abe & Chikoko, 2020). Integrating these innovations with traditional evaluation methods can transform health care quality and set new benchmarks for success.

Chapter 5: Challenges and Future Directions

Introduction to Challenges in Measuring Quality
The pursuit of quality health care faces numerous challenges, ranging from cultural resistance to resource constraints. Despite advancements in patient safety frameworks, achieving consistent quality across diverse healthcare systems remains a complex endeavor. Resistance to change, punitive approaches, and inadequate communication are some of the barriers that impede progress. Addressing these issues requires a multi-layered approach to foster a culture of safety and continuous improvement (Durrah, Chaudhary & Gharib, 2019). By identifying these challenges and exploring innovative solutions, healthcare systems can lay the groundwork for future advancements in quality measurement and implementation.

Resistance to Change
Resistance to adopting new practices and systems is a major hurdle in health care. Many professionals are hesitant to embrace change due to skepticism about its benefits or fear of disruption to established workflows. This resistance often stems from a lack of trust in leadership and inadequate involvement in decision-making processes (Durrah, Chaudhary & Gharib, 2019). Creating an environment that values psychological safety and fosters open dialogue is essential. Healthcare leaders must engage staff early in the change process, providing clear evidence of benefits and aligning initiatives with organizational goals (Olatunji, Idemudia & Owoseni, 2020).

Punitive Approaches to Errors
In many organizations, a punitive approach to managing errors discourages reporting and learning. This culture of blame perpetuates fear among healthcare workers, preventing them from acknowledging mistakes that could inform improvements (Çingöl et al., 2020). Transitioning to a non-punitive, learning-oriented culture is critical. Organizations should emphasize system-level changes rather than individual accountability and promote the adoption of just culture principles through training programs and workshops (Pålsson et al., 2022).

Cultural Barriers
Long-standing cultural norms within healthcare organizations often hinder the adoption of safety initiatives. Many staff members are reluctant to abandon familiar processes, even if they are outdated or less effective (Jansen et al., 2020). Educational campaigns and pilot programs can demonstrate the advantages of change and build momentum for broader adoption. Leadership support and continuous education are essential to overcoming these cultural barriers and fostering a mindset of continuous improvement (Molazem, Bagheri & Najafi Kalyani, 2022).

Communication Gaps

Effective communication is vital for ensuring quality health care, yet hierarchical structures and differing professional perspectives can lead to misunderstandings. Nurses, for example, may hesitate to voice concerns in the presence of senior physicians due to perceived power dynamics (Mostafa et al., 2021). Implementing structured communication tools like SBAR and conducting regular team training can mitigate these issues. A culture of mutual respect and open dialogue is critical to bridging communication gaps and improving patient outcomes (Razmerita et al., 2020).

Teamwork Challenges

Teamwork in multidisciplinary settings often faces conflicts due to differing priorities and expertise. For example, a physician may prioritize efficiency, while a nurse emphasizes comprehensive patient education, leading to tension (Echebiri, Amundsen & Engen, 2020). Team-building activities, regular interprofessional meetings, and conflict resolution training can align objectives and enhance collaboration. Shared decision-making and clear role definitions are essential for fostering teamwork (Yun, 2019).

Staffing Shortages

Insufficient staffing is a pervasive issue that impacts patient safety and quality. Overburdened workers face fatigue and burnout, increasing the likelihood of errors (Ferri et al., 2020). Addressing this requires long-term workforce planning, improved recruitment strategies, and optimized task delegation. Temporary measures, such as hiring agency staff, provide immediate relief, but sustainable solutions are critical for maintaining high-quality care (Abd El-Salam, Metwally & Abdeen, 2022).

Time Constraints

Time limitations often prevent healthcare workers from engaging fully in safety initiatives. Competing demands, such as patient care and administrative tasks, leave little room for error reporting or training (Akinbadewa & Sofowora, 2020). Solutions include leveraging technology, such as electronic health records, and delegating administrative tasks to support staff. Incorporating brief safety briefings into daily routines can also maintain focus on safety without adding significant burden (Sheta & Hammouda, 2022).

Financial Barriers

Financial constraints, especially in resource-limited settings, impede investments in quality initiatives. For example, smaller hospitals may struggle to adopt EHR systems or conduct safety training (Mahmoud, 2019). Policymakers and organizations must prioritize funding for patient safety programs and explore cost-effective interventions. Highlighting the long-term cost savings of improved safety can also justify these investments (Yurtseven & Dogan, 2019).

Technological Challenges

While technology has revolutionized healthcare, its integration poses challenges such as high costs and resistance from staff. Effective training and phased implementation can mitigate these issues. Ensuring user-friendly interfaces and technical support is essential for maximizing the benefits of digital tools in quality measurement.

Ethical Considerations

Ethical dilemmas, such as balancing patient autonomy with safety goals, complicate quality initiatives. Ensuring transparency and respecting patient preferences are critical. Developing ethical frameworks and involving ethics committees can guide decision-making in complex scenarios.

Sustainability of Initiatives

Sustaining quality initiatives requires ongoing commitment and resource allocation. Many programs face setbacks when initial enthusiasm wanes or funding diminishes. Embedding quality improvement into organizational culture and aligning it with strategic goals can ensure long-term success.

Emerging Trends
Advancements in artificial intelligence (AI) and machine learning offer opportunities to enhance quality measurement. Predictive analytics can identify potential safety risks, while real-time monitoring improves decision-making. However, ethical and regulatory challenges must be addressed to fully leverage these technologies.

Conclusion and Future Directions
Addressing challenges in quality measurement requires a holistic approach that integrates cultural change, technological innovation, and resource optimization. By fostering a patient-centered, learning-oriented culture, healthcare organizations can overcome barriers and achieve sustainable improvements in quality. The future of healthcare depends on our ability to adapt and innovate while keeping patient safety at the forefront.

References

[1] Abd El Rahman, S., Ali, H., Ali, R., Mohamed, A. (2022): Effect of Organizational Cynicism on Quality of Work Life and Employee Effectiveness among Nursing Staff. Minia Scientific Nursing Journal. 11 (1), 2785-9797.

[2] Abd El-Salam, A. I., Metwally, F. G., & Abdeen, M. A. (2022):Academic Procrastination and Self-control of Faculty Nursing Students. Zagazig Nursing Journal, 18(2), 15-29.

[3] Abdillah, H. Z., Rahman, F., Husna, M., Sitinjak, C., Hidayah, N., & Mujidin, M. (2022): School well-being in terms of self-determination and patience in vocational high school students. International Journal of Islamic Educational Psychology, 3(1), 19-34 .

[4] Abe, E. N., & Chikoko, V. (2020): Exploring the factors that influence the career decision of STEM students at a university in South Africa. International Journal of STEM Education, 7(1), 1-14.

[5] Adel, ¨ E., Lofmark, ¨ A., Pålsson, Y., Mårtensson, G., Engstrom, ¨ M., Lindberg, M., 2021: Health-promoting and impeding aspects of using peer-learning during clinical practice education: a qualitative study. Nurse Educ. Pract. 55, 103169.

[6] Afota, M. C., Robert, V., & Vandenberghe, C. (2021): The interactive effect of leader-member exchange and psychological climate for overwork on subordinate workaholism and job strain. European Journal of Work and Organizational Psychology, 30(4), 495-509.

[7] Akinbadewa, B. O., & Sofowora, O. A. (2020): The effectiveness of multimedia instructional learning packages in enhancing secondary school students' attitudes toward Biology. International Journal on Studies in Education, 2(2), 119-133.

[8] Aklil, M., Perizade, B., Hanafi, A., & Bemby, B. (2021): The Effect of Resonant Leadership on Work Engagement through Ethnic Culture in Pangkalpinang City Civil Servants. Italienisch, 11(2), 358-371.

[9] Al-Turfi, M. K. & Al-Jubouri, M. B.(2022): "Effect of moral distress on decision making among nurses in intensive care units,"Pakistan Journal of Medical and Health Sciences., vol. 16, no. 3, pp. 915–918.

[10] Badawy, A.A. (2021): Relationship between organizational justice and work engagement among staff nurses, unpublished master thesis, Faculty of Nursing, Ain Shams University .

[11] Balducci, C., Avanzi, L., & Fraccaroli, F. (2020): The individual "costs" of workaholism: An analysis based on multisource and prospective data. Journal of Management, 44(7), 2961-2986 .

[12] • Baris, V. K., Intepeler, S. S., & Unal, A. (2023): Development and psychometric validation of the Sickness Sickness presenteeism Scale-Nurse. International Journal of Nursing Practice, e13168.

[13] Brown, M., Kraimer, M. L., & Bratton, V. K. (2019): The influence of employee performance appraisal cynicism on intent to quit and sportsmanship. Personnel Review.

[14] Canu, Z. (2023): The Relationship Between Family-Work Conflict and Work-Family Conflict Among Special Education Teachers. Jurnal Multidisiplin Madani, 3(4), 811-816 .

[15] Chang, Y., et al. (2020): Work Ability and Quality of Life in Patients with Work- Related Musculoskeletal Disorders. P.p.20-40.

[16] Cherkasov, A., Bratanovskii, S. N., Koroleva, L. A., & Zimovets, L. G. (2019): Development of the School Education System in the Province of Vologda (1725-1917). Part 2. European Journal of Contemporary Education, 8(2), 418-424.

[17] Cinar, E. (2019): The effect of person-organization fit on the organizational identification: The mediating role of organizational attractiveness. Eurasian Journal of Business and Management, 7(1), 74-84.
[18] Çingöl N., Karakaş M., Zengin S., and Çelebi E. (2020): The effect of psychiatric nursing students' internships on their beliefs about and attitudes toward mental health problems; a single-group experimental study. Nurse Educ Today. 2020;84:104243 .
[19] Clark, M. A., Smith, R. W., & Haynes, N. J. (2020): The Multidimensional Workaholism Scale: Linking the conceptualization and measurement of workaholism. Journal of Applied Psychology, 105(11), 1281 .
[20] Crafter, S., Maunder, R., & Soulsby, L. (2019): Developmental transitions: Exploring stability and change through the lifespan. Routledge.
[21] Darling-Hammond L., Flook L., Cook-Harvey C., Barron B., and Osher D. (2020): Implications for educational practice of the science of learning and development. Applied Developmental Science, 24(2), 97–140 .
[22] Dedahanov. A.T, Bozorov. F and Sung S. (2019): Paternalistic Leadership and Innovative Behavior: Psychological Empowerment as a Mediator. Sustainability. 11(6) 1-14.
[23] Durrah, O., Chaudhary, M., & Gharib, M. (2019): Organizational cynicism and its impact on organizational pride in industrial organizations. International journal of environmental research and public health, 16(7), 1203.
[24] Echebiri, C., Amundsen, S., & Engen, M. (2020): Linking Structural Empowerment to Employee-Driven Innovation: the Mediating Role of Psychological Empowerment. MDPI, 10(42.(
[25] Eliyana, A., Rohmatul, S., Rohmatul, S., Sridadi, A. R., Razaq, A., & Gunawan, D. R. (2020): The role of motivation on attitudes and entrepreneur achievement. Systematic Reviews in Pharmacy, 11(8), 335-343.
[26] Eslamlou, A., Karatepe, O. M., & Uner, M. M. (2021): Does job Embeddedness mediate the effect of resilience on cabin attendants' career satisfaction and creative performance? Sustainability, 13(9), 5104 .
[27] Even, A. (2020): The Evolution of Work: Best Practices for Avoiding Social and Organizational Isolation in Telework Employees.SSRN2020.
[28] Faisal .S, .(2022): Job embeddedness and its connection with person organization fit among Saudi Arabian employees. Problems and Perspectives in Management, 20(2), 348- 360. doi:10.21511/ppm.20(2).2022.29.
[29] • Faisal, S., Naushad, M., & Faridi, M. (2020): A study on the level and relationship of job embeddedness and turnover intentions among Saudi Arabian working- class. Management Science Letters, 10(13), 3167- 3172. https://doi.org/10.5267/j. msl.2020.5.005
[30] Fentaw, Y., Moges, B. T., & Ismail, S. M. (2022): Academic procrastination behavior among public university students. Education Research International, 2022.
[31] Fernández-Salinero, S.& Topa, G. (2020): Intergroup Discrimination as a Predictor of Conflict within the Same Organization. The Role of Organizational Identity. Eur. J. Invest.Health Psychol. Educ. 2020, 10, 1.
[32] Ferri, P., Stifani, S., Morotti, E., Nuvoletta, M., Bonetti. L., Rovesti, S., Cutino, A.,&Di Lorenzo,R.(2020): Perceptions of Caring Behavior Among Undergraduate Nursing Students:A Three-Cohort Observational Study. Psychology research and behavior management, 13, 1311-1322.
[33] Fortes, K., Latham, C.L., Vaughn, S., Preston, K., 2022: The influence of social determinants of education on nursing student persistence and professional values. J. Prof. Nurs. 39, 41–53.
[34] Gawad, S.A., (2022): Work Place Incivility and its Effect on Quality of Work Life among Staff Nurses. Egyptian Journal of Health Care, 13(3), 809-821. doi: 10.21608/ejhc.2022.255400 .
[35] Ghafouri R , Bajestani S.l , Nasiri M , Ohnishi K and Foroozan A.S (2022): Psychometrics of the moral distress scale in Iranian mental health nurses. BMC Nursing (2021) 20:166 https://doi.org/10.1186/s12912-021-00674-4
[36] Gillet, N., Austin, S., Fernet, C., Sandrin, E., Lorho, F., Brault, S., ... & Aubouin Bonnaventure, J. (2021): Workaholism, presenteeism, work–family conflicts and personal and work outcomes: Testing a moderated mediation model. Journal of Clinical Nursing, 30(19-20), 2842-2853 .
[37] Gupta, M., Shaheen, M., & Das, M. (2019): Engaging employees for quality of life: mediation by psychological capital. The Service Industries Journal, 39(5-6), 403-419.
[38] Hiver, P., & Al-Hoorie, A. H. (2020): Reexamining the role of vision in second language motivation: A preregistered conceptual replication of You, Dörnyei, and Csizér (2016). Language Learning, 70(1), 48-102. Malinauskas, R. K., & Pozeriene, J. (2020). Academic motivation among traditional and online university students. European journal of contemporary education, 9(3), 584-591

[39] Holland, K. (2019): Does the Market Subvert Health Care Reform? An Examination of Recent Attempts to Cut Costs and increase Accessibility to Health Care by Harnessing the Market (Doctoral dissertation, State University of New York at

[40] Stony Brook.

[41] Huang., et al.(2020): Self-reported confidence in patient safety competencies among Chinese nursingstudents: a multi-site cross-sectional survey. BMC Medical Education (2020) 20:32.

[42] Ismail, E.(2021): The relationship between Ethical work climate and Organizational commitment among staff nurses p7.

[43] Jalili, M., Niroomand, M., Hadavand, F., Zeinali, K., & Fotouhi, A. (2021) : Burnout among healthcare professionals during COVID-19 pandemic: a cross-sectional study. International Archives of occupational and Environmental Health, 1-8.

[44] Jansen, T. L. Hem, M. H. Dambolt, L. J. and Hanssen, I. .(2020): "Moral distress in acute psychiatric nursing: multifaceted dilemmas and demands," Nursing ethics., vol. 27, no. 5, pp. 1315–1326.

[45] Jerg-Bretzke, L., Limbrecht-Ecklundt, K., Walter, S., Spohrs, J., & Beschoner, P. (2020): Correlations of the "Work–Family Conflict" with occupational stress—a cross-sectional study among university employees. Frontiers in psychiatry, 11, 134 .

[46] Jiang, Z., Hu, X., Wang, Z., & Jiang, X. (2019): Knowledge hiding as a barrier to thriving: The mediating role of psychological safety and moderating role of organizational cynicism. Journal of Organizational Behavior, 40(7), 800-818.

[47] Kachaturoff, M., Caboral-Stevens, M., Gee, M., Lan, V.M., 2020: Effects of peermentoring on stress and anxiety levels of undergraduate nursing students: an integrative review. J. Prof. Nurs. 36, 223–228 .

[48] Khalid, U., Mushtaq, T., Khan, A. Z., & Mahmood, F. (2021): Probing the impact of transformational leadership on job embeddedness: the moderating role of job characteristics. Management Research Review, 44(8), 1139-1156 .

[49] • Khosravi, M., Ghiasi, Z., & Ganjali, A. (2021): Burnout in hospital medical staff during the COVID-19 pandemic: Diagnosis, treatment, and prevention. Journal of Natural Remedies, 21(12 (1)), 3644.

[50] Kim, A.Y., Sim, I.O., 2020: Communication skills, problem-solving ability, understanding of patients' conditions, and nurse's perception of professionalism among clinical nurses: a structural equation model analysis. Int. J. Environ. Res. Public Health 17, 4896 .

[51] Kim, J., & Gatling, A. (2019): Impact of employees' job, organizational and technology fit on engagement and organizational citizenship behavior. Journal of Hospitality and Tourism Technology.

[52] Kim, S.C., Jillapali, R., Boyd, S., 2021: Impacts of peer tutoring on academic performance of first-year baccalaureate nursing students: a quasi-experimental study. Nurse Educ. Today 96, 104658 .

[53] King, J. L. (2021): Research review: work-family/family-work conflict. International Journal of Leadership Studies, 1(1), 102-105 .

[54] Ko, W., & Kang, H. (2019): Effect of leadership style and organizational climate on employees' food safety and hygiene behaviors in the institutional food service of schools. Food Science & Nutrition published by Wiley Periodicals, Inc.; 7 (6): 2131-2143.

[55] Lee, S.E., Lee, M.H., Peters, A.B. and Gwon, S.H., (2020): Assessment of Patient Safety and Cultural Competencies among Senior Baccalaureate Nursing Students. nt. J. Environ. Res. Public Health,17, 4225.

[56] Liu, F., Chow, I. H.-S., Zhang, J.-C., & Huang, M. (2019): Organizational innovation climate and individual innovative behavior: exploring the moderating effects of psychological ownership and psychological empowerment. Rev. Manag. Sci. 13, 771–789.

[57] Macedo, L.L., Silva,A.M.R., Silva, J.F., Mdcfl, H., &Girotto, E. (2020): The cultureregarding the safety of the patient in primary health care: distinctionsamong professional categories. Trab Educ Saúde Rio de Janeiro. 2020;18(1.(

[58] Mahmoud, S.R., 2019: Nursing students' attitudes toward nursing profession and its relation to study adjustment. Int. J. Nurs. Didact. 9 (7), 9–16.

[59] Mahran, H. M., Abd Al, M. A. A. H., & Saleh, N. M. (2022): Relationship between ethical leadership and workaholism among nursing supervisors as perceived by staff nurses. Egyptian Nursing Journal, 19(2), 79.

[60] Mauro, L. B. (2022): Exploring Moral Distress, Ethical Climate, and Psychological Empowerment among New Registered Nurses (Doctoral dissertation, Walden University

[61] Moghadari-Koosha, M., Moghadasi-Amiri, M., Cheraghi, F., Mozafari, H., Imani, B., & Zandieh, M. (2020): Self-efficacy, self-regulated learning, and motivation as factors influencing academic achievement among paramedical students: A correlation study. Journal of allied health, 49(3), 145E-152E.
[62] Molazem, Z., Bagheri, L., & Najafi Kalyani, M. (2022): Evaluation of the Moral Distress Intensity and Its Relationship with the Quality of Work Life among Nurses Working in Oncology Wards in Shiraz, Southwest of Iran. BioMed Research International, 2022.
[63] • Mostafa, B. A., El-Borsaly, A. A. E., Hafez, E. A. E., & Hassan, S. A. (2021):The Mediating Effect of Person-Organization Value Fit on the Relationship Between University branding and Academic Staff Citizenship Behavior. Academic Journal of Interdisciplinary Studies, 10(1), 313-313.
[64] Nanjundeswaraswamy T. (2021): Nurses quality of work life: scale
[65] development and validation. Journal of Economic and Administrative Sciences, DOI 10.1108/JEAS-09-2020-0154 .
[66] Newman, A., Round, H., Wang, S. L., & Mount, M. (2020): Innovation climate: a systematic review of the literature and agenda for future research. J. Occup. Organ. Psychol. 93, 73–109.
[67] Nomany , N.F. (2022): Perceived Nursing Supervisor Support and Its Influence on Job Embeddedness among Staff Nurses, un published master thesis, faculty of nursing, Ain Shams University, p 148- 150.
[68] Nyanyiwa, S., Peters, K., & Murphy, G. (2022):A scoping review: Treatment attitudes and adherence for adults with schizophrenia. Journal of clinical nursing.
[69] Ohnishi, K., Kitaoka, K., Nakahara, J., Välimäki, M., Kontio, R., & Anttila, M.(2019): Impact of moral sensitivity on moral distress among psychiatric nurses. Nursing ethics, 26(5), 1473-1483.
[70] Olatunji, O. A., Idemudia, E. S., & Owoseni, O. O. (2020):Investigating the role of emotional intelligence and role conflict on job burnout among special education teachers. Journal of Intellectual Disability–Diagnosis and Treatment, 9(1), 128-136 .
[71] Pålsson, Y., Engstrom, ¨ M., Swenne, C.L., Mårtensson, G., 2022: A peer learning intervention in workplace introduction-managers' and new graduates' perspectives. BMC Nurs. 21 (12), 1–13 .
[72] Parizad, N., Lopez, V., Jasemi, M., Gharaaghaji Asl, R., Taylor, A., &Taghinejad, R. (2021): Job stress and its relationship with nurses' autonomy and nurse–physician collaboration in intensive care unit. Journal of Nursing Management. 22-24.
[73] Querstret, D., O'Brien, K., Skene, D. J., & Maben, J. (2020): Improving fatigue risk management in healthcare: A systematic scoping review of sleep-related/fatigue-management interventions for nurses and midwives. International journal of nursing
[74] studies, 106, 103513.
[75] Raeissi, P., Rajabi, M. R., Ahmadizadeh, E., Rajabkhah, K., &Kakemam, E. (2019): Quality of work life and factors associated with it among nurses in public hospitals, Iran. Journal of the Egyptian Public Health Association, 94(1), 1-8
[76] Ramírez Molina, R. J., del Valle Marcano, M., Ramírez Molina, R. I., Lay Raby, N. D., & Herrera Tapias, B. A. (2019): Relationship Between social intelligence and resonant leadership in public health Institutions.
[77] Ramos, F. R.. Barth, P. O Brehmer, L. C.. Dalmolin, G. D fargas, M. A. and Schneider, D. G .(2020):"Intensity and frequency of moral distress in Brazilian nurses," Revista da Escola de Enfermagem da USP., vol. 54.
[78] Razmerita, L., Kirchner, K., Hockerts, K., & Tan, C. W. (2020): Modeling collaborative intentions and behavior in Digital Environments: The case of a Massive Open Online Course (MOOC). Academy of Management Learning & Education, 19(4), 469-502.
[79] Reynolds, P. O. F., Dias, B. M., Flores, C. A. D. S., Balsanelli, A. P., Gabriel, C. S., & Bernardes, A. (2022): Resonant leadership practices of nurse managers in the hospital setting: a cross-sectional study. Texto & Contexto-Enfermagem, 31 .
[80] Segev, E. (2019): "Volume and control: the transition from information to power". Journal of Multicultural Discourses. 14 (3): 240–257. doi:10.1080/17447143.2019.1662028. ISSN 1744-7143.
[81] Sein Myint, N. N., Kunaviktikul, W., & Stark, A. (2021): A contemporary understanding of organizational climate in healthcare setting: A concept analysis. Nursing Forum, 56(1), 172–180.
[82] Sengul, M., & Seyfi, R. O. (2020): Investigation of the relationship between academic procrastination behaviours and academic selfefficacy of Turkish language teacher candidates. Cumhuriyet International Journal of Education, 9(3), 755-773 .

[83] Sheta, S. S., & Hammouda, M. A. (2022): Risk for Workaholism among Working Physicians of Zagazig University Hospitals: A Massage for Achieving Productive Work and Balanced Life. The Egyptian Journal of Hospital Medicine, 89(1), 4402-4409 .

[84] Shin, J., & Shin, H. (2020): Impact of job insecurity on hotel workers' workaholism and work–family conflict in korea. International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health, 17(21), 7783 .

[85] Siyal, S., Xin, C., Peng, X., Siyal, A. W., & Ahmed, W. (2020): role of person–organization fit mechanism. Sage Open, 10(3), 2158244020947424.

[86] Spagnoli, P., Haynes, N. J., Kovalchuk, L. S., Clark, M. A., Buono, C., & Balducci, C. (2020): Workload, workaholism, and job performance: Uncovering their complex relationship. International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health, 17(18), 6536 .

[87] Spilg, E. G., Rushton, C. H., Phillips, J. L., Kendzerska, T., Saad, M., Gifford, W., Gautam, M., Bhatla, R., Edwards, J. D., Quilty, L., Leveille, C., & Robillard, R. (2022): The new frontline: Exploring the links between moral distress, moral resilience and mental health in healthcare workers during the COVID-19 pandemic. BMC Psychiatry, 22(1), 19-19. https://psycnet. apa.org/doi/10.1186/s12888- 021-03637-w

[88] Svartdal, F., Klingsieck, K. B., Steel, P., & Gamst-Klaussen, T. (2020): Measuring implemental delay in procrastination: Separating onset and sustained goal striving. Personality and Individual Differences, 156, 109762.

[89] Syahrina, I. A., & Mutya, M. T. (2023): Academic Self-Efficacy and Academic Procrastination: The Mediating Role of Academic Motivation. In International Conference of Psychology (Vol. 2, No. 1, pp. 122-129.(

[90] Tajalli, S. Rostamli, S. Dezvaree, N. Shariat, M.and Kadivar, M..(2021): "Moral distress among Iranian neonatal intensive care units'health care providers: a multi-center cross sectional study,"Journal of Medical Ethics and History of Medicine., p. 14.

[91] Talebian, F., Hesamzadeh, A., Hosseinnataj, A., & AzimiLolaty, H. (2022): Relationship between academic procrastination and perceived competence, self-esteem and general self-efficacy of nursing students. Journal of Nursing and Midwifery Sciences, 9(4), 310-316.

[92] Twidwell, J., Dial, D., Fehr, C., 2022: Gender, career choice confidence, and perceived faculty support in baccalaureate nursing students. J. Prof. Nurs. 39, 96–100 .

[93] Uwannah, N.C., Onyekachi, C.N., & Filade, B.A. (2021): Hardiness, Supervisor Support and Work Engagement: Empirical Evidence from Tertiary Institutions in Ogun State, Nigeria. American Journal of Applied Psychology, 9(1), 8-14.

[94] Vasconcelos PF, de Freitas CHA, Jorge MSB, et al. (2019): Safety attributes in primarycare: understanding the needs of patients, health professionals, andmanagers. Public Health. 2019;171:31–40.

[95] Vikstrom, ¨ S., Johansson, K., 2019: Professional pride: a qualitative descriptive study of nursing home staff's experiences of how a quality development project influenced their work. J. Clin. Nurs. 28, 2760–2768.

[96] World Alliance for Patient Safety. (2021): WHO cubiculum guide for medical schools addressed to WHO Press, at the above address (fax: +41 22 791 4806.(

[97] Xing, L., Sun, J.M. and Jepsen, D. (2021): "Feeling shame in the workplace: examining negative feedback as an antecedent and performance and well-being as consequences", Journal of Organizational Behavior, (42).9.1244-1260.

[98] Yoon, S. K., Kim, J. H., Park, J. E., Kim, C. J., & Song, J. H. (2020): Creativity and knowledge creation: the moderated mediating effect of perceived organizational support on psychological ownership. Eur. J. Train. Dev. 44, 743–760.

[99] Yu, H., Guan, X. & Zhang, X. (2019): Paternalistic Leadership Creates Work Performance, Servant Leadership Delivers Job Satisfaction: Integration of Two Types of Leadership Behaviors. Science of Science and Management of S. & T., 35 (06), 172-180.

[100] Yun, L. (2019): The relation between academic motivation and academic procrastination among university students. Faculty Of Social Science And Humanities Tunku Abdul Rahman University College Kuala Lumpur.

[101] Yun, M. R., Lim, E. J., Yu, B., & Choi, S. (2020): Effects of Academic Motivation on Clinical Practice-Related Post-Traumatic Growth among Nursing Students in South Korea: Mediating Effect of Resilience. International journal of environmental research and public health, 17(13), 4901 .

[102] Yuniati, R., & Sitinjak, C. (2022): Upward Comparison at the Workplace: A Review. East Asian Journal of Multidisciplinary Research, 1(7), 1377-1394 .
[103] Yurtseven, N., & Dogan, S. (2019): Structural Relationships among Academic Procrastination, Academic Motivation, and Problem-Solving Skill in Prep Class College Students. Pegem Journal of Education and Instruction, 9(3), 849-876.
[104] Zarrin, S. A., Gracia, E., & Paixão, M. P. (2020): Prediction of academic procrastination by fear of failure and self-regulation. Educational Sciences: Theory & Practice, 20(3), 34-43.
[105] Zurman C., Hoffmann H. O., and Ruff-Stahl H. K. (2019): Difference in attitudes toward Crew Resource Management based on nationality. International Journal of Aviation, Aeronautics, and Aerospace, 6(4.(
[106] Zwedberg, S., Alnervik, M., Barimani, M., 2021: Student midwives' perception of peer learning during their clinical practice in an obstetric unit: a qualitative study. Nurse Educ. Today 99, 104785.