



# Balancing Innovation and Empathy: The Impact of Digital Tools on Doctor-Patient Relationships in Modern Healthcare

1. Ahmad Salem Almutairi, 2 Raed fahad Almutairi, 3 Ibrahim Theyab Almutairi, 4 Abdullah Mohammad A Alsoheimi, 5 Abdulkarim Abdulmohsen AlQahtani, 6Abdulrahman Saud Alshabanat

<sup>123456</sup>Ksa Ministry of health

## Abstract

**Background:** This paper acknowledges that the relationship between the doctor and the patient has adapted to the enhancement of technology to the provision of health care services as well as the experience of the patient.

**Aim:** This study seeks to uncover the effect of tele practice including telepharmacy, tele intention, Teleconsultation, Telemonitoring, Telemedicine on doctor patient rapport and patient confidence.

**Methods:** The paper reviewed the current literature to review how those technologies have advanced the patient care and the doctor-patient relationship.

**Results:** E-health has made accessibility and openness Increased, which has enabled patients to be more in ongoing participation in their management. However, the factors, which were also pointed out, include depersonalization and invasion of privacy.

**Conclusion:** On a positive note, growth in technology improves healthcare, in this perspective, balancing between Innovation and human touch is essential in rebuilding trust with patients.

**Keywords:** Medical communication, telemedicine, artificial intelligence, electronic health records, healthcare technology, patient's power.

**Received:** 12 October 2024 **Revised:** 26 November 2024 **Accepted:** 05 December 2024

## Introduction

Doctor-patient relationship is the foundation of healthcare service delivery as it defines the direction of communication and the doses of confidence and reliability. Earlier such a relationship was more or less an imposition of a paternalistic model where physicians were the decision-makers, and patients followed their advice. However, with advancement in technology, this concept has received a major revolution. With emergence of the new tools like telemedicine, EHRs, AI, mHealth apps, the entire doctor patient relationship has changed dramatically. These innovations have brought into healthcare unmatched levels of openness, accessibility and customization that puts the patient at the center of the process. At the same time, they have provided or elicited questions regarding the ability to sustain the interpersonal touch and confidence that is foundational to media needs. This paper aims to investigate the changes in the relationship between doctors and their patients in an era of connectivity and discover how technology altered harmonious ways of doctor and patient intercommunication.[1,2]

## How Digital Tools Are Reshaping Communication in Healthcare

Use of information technology in the provision of health care has greatly changed the manner in which people communicate with doctors and vice versa bringing both opportunities and risks. Traditionally, interaction in healthcare was only done in a one-on-one basis, in physical meetings that were rigidly scheduled and normally involved brief and formal consultations. Of course, with the help of the recent technological advances in the Internet and mobile technologies, the temporal and spatial distances almost

no longer play a crucial role for, for example, using telemedicine platforms, patient's personal account on a hospital or clinic website, as well as the different types of mobile applications, which exist in the scope of mHealth. They enable patients to view their individual health records and make appointments as well as avail online communication with doctors. For instance, it unveiled telemedicine which entails real time video doctor patient consultations in a way that allows individuals in remote or poorly resourced geographical areas, get access to specialized healthcare without having to physically travel. This means that there has been an improvement in the continuity of care that can let the patients to talk to their physicians more often and freely. [3,4,5]

As well, many digital applications have been presented which provide the organization with well-ordered working with information flow. Among many advances in medical informatics Electronic Health Record (EHR) has dramatically changed the approach to storing and sharing data in health-care fields, improving communication among clinicians. With the help of EHRs, a doctor is able to obtain a complete information about the patient in few seconds enhancing the physician's decision making process. Furthermore, patient wearables and smartphone applications to monitor vital signs weight, blood pressure, heart rate, even blood sugar levels in real time. These metrics can be report back to physicians to get better understanding of the status of the patient health. These real-time data exchanges improve the co-ordinate relationship of doctors and patients because both have the information on which to base decisions about care.[6,7] the authors also explain that the use of these digital tools in healthcare communication also has its limitations. Minority patients can have problems with using these technologies due to the absence of necessary skills in their case if they are older people or from the low-income area. resources, or internet connections is all out of bounds for them. However, such means of communication also quite often do not allow the doctor to feel the patient and establish a direct contact with him. Some of the factors may include, Eye contact, touch, tone of voice and facial expressions and, these physical signals are usually difficult to come across during virtual consultation. Moreover, there is the problem of data privacy as the regular application of technological means enhances the likelihood of the disclosure of patients' personally identifiable information.[8]

As a result, using technologies in communication across the healthcare sector has the potential of enhancing the ease of access, constant flow of information and patient and provider cooperation. But, in order to achieve the highest level of success, these obstacles to adopting new technologies must be immediately considered, and an equilibrium as to the relationship of man to other needs to be solved. In this way, digital tools can stay continuously progress a field of the healthcare trying to make it patient-oriented in the same moment computing technologies are going to be used.[9]

### **Saying no to innovation but with empathy: Balancing Innovation and Empathy in Medical Practice**

As the modern medicine rapidly progressing the question of innovation as well as the question of empathy is one of the main issues that practitioners have to face. However, the liberal use of technology such as AI, robotic processes, and telemedicine has transformed the care delivery system through enhancing quicker diagnosis, individualized treatment, and better, positive patient experiences. On the other hand there is the danger that with the current trend of using the computer the focus on the medical relation, the medical interaction with the patient is lost. Compassion—the concept of 'putting oneself in the patient's shoes' a fundamental aspect of patient CENTERED care—toes the notion that easing a patient's emotional burden increases trust, decreases anxiety and markedly enhances an individual's satisfaction. Both the choice of innovative technologies and the need to focus on people's approaches are a fine line that has to be achieved deliberately and with full comprehension of both - technology and human interactions.[10]

By incorporating technology we can demonstrate how innovation can also go hand in hand with empathy in modern medicine. For example, telemedicine applications and wearable equipment can deliver healthcare to patient which are located in rural or areas or with low population density and give them the necessary attention. However, there is the need to retain empathy and ensure that virtual consultations are carried out as personal, as face-to-face consultations. This includes listening to the patients, understanding

them and actually admitting their feelings even though they may be dialing in from a distance. Likewise, with regard to diagnostics systems, computers can analyze data fast and produce highly accurate diagnoses; however, discussions of the results may need to be made by clinicians who know how to address the patient with dignity and respect.

Also, empathy in medical practice does not equal to refusal from change, on the contrary, it means understanding how the technology helps in the emotional and psychological aspects of the work with patients. For instance, the wireless sensors for health reasons and mobile application increases patient involvement and make them more empowered. The physician receives more concerning information about the patient that they can discuss them with the patient in a more personalized way. Cochlear implantation is one procedure that in surgical operations, robots provide precision and faster recovery as opposed to traditional surgery; it survives conversation and appreciate words from the patient before and after surgery that expels all her fears and convinces her to trust the surgeon.[11,12]

Although the concept is noble, technology in this case act as a hindrance to empathy in the healthcare systems. Saddled with such tasks as modifying EHR, physicians are likely to attend to patients barely half of their working hours. This can cause “technology fatigue”, that is a dehumanization of a patient where he/she tends to feel like a number rather than a person with a history and story. In light of this, medical institutions need to emphasize on how to ensure the technology is being implemented and adopted appropriately by the health care providers enforcing it. Making communication skills as part of the education of the health care professionals or focusing on empathy as critical aspects make the gap narrowed.[13] it is agreed that the predominant achievement in medicine must be the proper combination of creativity and sensitivity. Although using technology makes work easier, accurate and accessible care, empathy maintains the human side of health care as personal, patient-oriented and friendly. health care professionals can design a model of care which will integrate the best of both worlds – scientific ratiocination and humanistic rationality. It also helps increase patient satisfaction while also ensuring that the clinicians themselves have greater satisfaction, and stability, in the work that they do.[14]

### **The following are the Areas of Focus of the Issue: “Challenges and Opportunities in the Digital Age of Medicine**

Digital age is a good time for medicine as it has opened up a new range of possibilities while posing some major concerns. One of the most significant shifts in this period is the implementation of technology solutions including; artificial intelligence, telemedicine, wearable health technology, and EHRs into the healthcare industry. The above developments have the possibility of enhancing patients’ quality of life, duration, and decrease expenditure as well. For example, antisepsis can be determined in a few seconds through the assessment of big data sets, while predicting the diagnosis and individual treatment. Telemedicine has increased the ability to see a physician, especially for those in remote or low-population-density regions because it allows for consultations to occur with out the need for face-to-face contact. Through wearable devices, one can track his/her vital signs; therefore setting up a positive attitude to health. But at the same time these innovations are revolutionizing HC delivery also there are some issues which are required to be solved to optimize the benefits.[15]

Technology is a key issue which plays a significant role in delivering high quality healthcare services and one of the major issues nowadays is that of equal distribution of technology relating to medical applications. Technological infrastructure by its nature is costly and depends on certain physical conditions, like quality connectivity or electrical power. It results in inequalities in a way that other students gain unequal access especially in such areas into low income homes and developing nations. These patients may still not be able to book an online appointment and consult a doctor via telemedicine, or have access to technological wearables that continue to widen the existing gaps in the healthcare system. Furthermore, the associated technologies are introduced at a higher rate of than the healthcare providers can use them despite their training or not, or the tools that they have at their disposal. The adoption of new systems like

EHR entails more time, money, and a relatively steep learning curve that is likely going to cause more disturbances in an already stressed out healthcare systems.[16,17]

The third one has to do with patients' data privacy and security, especially in the case of an exponentially growing dependence on technology. Electronic health records and cloud based storage solutions now, put the patient data at risk of cyber threats. Large scale attacks can result to loss of important information, leading to deterioration of patient confidence in healthcare facilities; and attract legal and financial consequences to the organization. In addition, in connection with medicine there remains key ethical issues connected with the use of AI and machine learning, including concerns about bias in algorithms that contribute to disparities in diagnosis and treatment. To uphold consumers' confidence in these innovations in health care, there is need to emphasize on transparency, accountability as well as fair practices in the creation of such technologies as is in deploying them.[18] Nonetheless the new age of digital medicine offer innumerable possibilities to progress and improve the provision of medicine and health care. Big data collection and analysis make it possible to practicing precision medicine wherein treatment can be based on one's genes, life style, and diseases history. The issue is that it has not yet been fully implemented even in such specific concentration areas as oncology and cardiology. Telemedicine and mobile health applications continue to reduce barriers towards receiving healthcare by enabling patient to receive care at the comfort of their homes. Also, digital facilities are allowing patients to take the leading position in their treatment processes. In tracking devices, application, and online educational materials, clients can easily monitor, plan, and even make decisions on their overall health.[19]

### **From Telemedicine to AI: Transforming Patient Care**

Telemedicine, combined with artificial intelligence, has become a complete shift in the healthcare paradigm as patient care provides greater access, speed and accuracy while being tailored towards the individual. Telemedicine, a branch of medicine involving the application of technology to the delivery of care to a patient via remote communication, is now part of the mainstream practice despite or especially due to Covid-19 pandemic. It enables patient's access healthcare from home since one will not be restricted to the healthcare facility by distance, transport, or time. A particular advantage has been realized by people living in rural or remote zones where the possibility to address to a specialist often remains surreal. With the use of video-conferencing, secure message and mobile health applications, telemedicine has increased instant communication opportunities between physicians and their patients to support continuity of care during emergencies. In addition, follow up care for chronic diseases has also been made easier through telemedicine since the patients can upload data such as high blood pressure, high glucose level and other important vital statistics to their doctors and caregivers.[20]

AI, to the contrary, has become instrumental in the diagnostic and decision making capacities in medicine where it is now shaping a level of precision and speed that would have without doubt have been unimaginable even a decade ago. Algorithms can explore big data, as well as find patterns and outliers in datasheets with fairly high probabilities. For example, today's AI is capable of identifying diseases including cancers, diabetes, and heart diseases using medical images at the initial stage and within a short time span compared to expatriate physicians. In addition to shortening diagnosis time, this capability also greatly minimizes the possibility of human error. In addition, the application of AI in another area of predictive care where patient data is used in the anticipation of other health complications that if left untreated will reach critical levels. In a personalized medicine scenario, AI enables medical treatment to be delivered based on the genetics of the patient, his or her lifestyle and even the past medical history and thus delivers better results. For instance, pharmacogenomics applies AI in an attempt to determine how a certain patient will benefit from a certain drug, minimizing the guesswork in 'trial-and-error' dosing of medications. It is ironic to note however that while telemedicine and AI hold transformative promise they also have their issues that need to be addressed to optimize the opportunities available. Telemedicine, though very efficient, is virtually based and fully dependent on technology hence lacking an appropriate telecommunication networks effectively bars the patient. There is also the problem of depersonalization of interactions which are normally devoid of the warmth that is provided by physical consultations. For artificial intelligence, the issues of ethical nature that encompass data protection, or a problem which may be derived from

algorithms, or the possibility of human dependency on these machines. In particular, transparency and fairness of AI applications, as well as their use as tools assisting rather than replacing human decision-making are most essential to successful integration of AI tools into healthcare.[21]

Telemedicine is changing the way patients are cared for along with help from AI, which is slowly coming up with innovative platforms to be applied in numerous specialties of medicine. For example, in emergency medicine, the use of telemedicine helps to get primary care in real-time from specialists that also can enhance patients' outcomes during emergent situations. In mental health, technologies such as AI chat bots and tele therapy are providing access to psychological care for people who maybe reticent on making that first step. Further, if wearable health devices and AI algorithms are being used to track the progress of patient with chronic illness and the red flags for possible complications to surface. These advancements are helping in making healthcare a far more proactive somewhat than its previous re-active mode where emphasis was laid only after a disease had developed.[22] the combination of telemedicine and AI, is not only revolutionizing the ways that patients are being treated but also revolutionizing the whole processes of treatment delivery. These technologies present the means by which access, accuracy, and personalization are opening up the doors to an integrated, optimized, and efficient environment for premium healthcare. However, their success is subject to having an appropriate information technology, meeting and dealing with ethical issues, and maintaining humanity through medical practice. As we advance in telemedicine and AI, the future of new healthcare system can be seen as not only technological but also as patient-centred.[23]

### **Technology as the key to building Trust and improve Accessibility**

Technology has really taken central stage in healthcare today and has assisted greatly in boosting of patients and providers trust. In today's world of Byte and Google, digital support means enable patients to become more active directors of their lives. Technology such as the EHR means that patients get to view their medical history, laboratory results and any plans the healthcare provider has for them thus trying to enhance the trust between the patient and the healthcare provider. Through patient engagement, patient satisfactions increases, they develop confidence in their doctors and heed the advice given to them. In this wise, such advanced and innovative solutions as tele-medicine has take healthcare services to harder-to-reach and less-served populace, which means patients who hitherto could not access healthcare services get to deliver the right and quality services on time.[24,25,26] Telemedicine, one of the more revolutionary technologies within recent memory, has brought unprecedented improvements within the area of accessibility to care. Telemedicine and teleconsultations free up patient's time, and allow them to avoid time-consuming travels to consulting rooms or loss of productivity at work. This is so essential especially to people who live in areas they cannot easily access a hospital or those with compound diseases that require several visits. Also, telemedicine has provided hope during highly contagious diseases like COVID 19, as it provide opportunity for patients to receive treatments without exposing them to the viruses. This convenience eliminates doubt as patients observe that their healthcare providers are protecting them. In addition to that, telemedicine solutions include messaging interface, video, and remote monitoring, which allows the constant and individualized interaction in between the provider and the patient.[27,28,29]

The Use of integrated artificial intelligence (AI) and machine learning in healthcare increases trust arising from the increased diagnostic capabilities and customized approaches to patient treatment. These tools can also process great volumes of information to discern patterns of health so as to minimize misdiagnosis. For instance, application of AI today means diagnosing early symptoms of even cancer or heart disorders with great efficiency. When patients see how such tools provide reliability and efficiency then they trust the health care system more. However, this trust is provided on condition that the technologies are used ethically – such as protection of data privacy, and control for bias in the algorithm. Understanding of how these tools work and the basis for decision making will still need to be clear to the patients.[30,31,32] Accessibility is also promoted through technology with other adaptations such as the mobile health (mHealth), and wearables. These tools help patients to self-manage their symptoms and observe vital signs like blood pressure, blood sugar, and amount of movement, as they happen. They are not only good for enabling a person to take charge of his or her own health, but are also excellent at helping to

identify possible problems. For instance, a wristband that measures HRV can inform a patient to go to the doctor before suffering a major event. This sort of strategic planning helps the public trust technologies in enhancing traditional forms of health care practice, therefore leading to keen collaboration between health care consumers and service providers. Additionally, health mobile applications can include sources of information, calendar and alarm service, as well as services of medication and appointment reminders.[33,34,35]

Still, the potential of technology to foster the growth of trust as well as accessibility tagged on more barriers. However, the access to these innovation is constrained by factors such as digital divide in depressed income settings or in developing nations. Telemedicine and mHealth solutions are problematic for patient who have restricted access to smartphones, internet, or may not be computer literate. In order to prevent such ethnic disparities, the healthcare institutions have to spend time and resources on establishing wider access to technology. Moreover, they are still relatively worried about data security and cyber risks to impede their trust. It is therefore paramount that the administrators of the platforms provide evidence that such services are secure and have met the standard set down by privacy legislation.[36,37]

### **Ethical Issues In The Integrated Use Of Technology in Healthcare**

Technology in the client attention background has altered and enhanced the way patients are attended to, yet this has brought about a lot of ethical issues that have to be analyzed. The stay safe issue that is of most concern is the protection of data and information. With the use of technology in management of operations such as EHR, wearable gadgets, and telemedicine among others, healthcare organizations accumulate huge data that belongs to millions of patients. Thus, put heavily on the digital tools to complete the work it increases the chances of cyber criminals, hacking, data leakage and unauthorized access. They highlighted that such incidents are capable of negatively or damaging the patient trust and the overall health care systems. All these issues need to be solved by using reliable encryption algorithms and making sure they adhere to the rules, laid by the GDPR or HIPAA, and performing audits from time to time. Another challenge is to implement education of the patients and healthcare workers on how better to protect the data to ensure everyone is responsible and gained the trust of the organization.[38,39,40]

Another pertinent ethical problem is the creating of bias and unfairness in health care whose delivery depends on technology. And, as it usually happens with AI and machine learning algorithms, the tools themselves, however sophisticated and highly-effective are as good or as bad as the data that powers them. When the corresponding datasets for these technologies' training are inadequate or unbalanced, so the resultant tools will aggravate the current disparities in health facilities. For instance, AI systems that were trained using limited data from certain populations will be able to diagnose or treat those people's populations in an unfair manner. To address this, developers must focus on diversification of datasets used for training and are to provide constant checks for any biases that a specific tool might deliver. It is important to note that ethical issues have grown important when applying these technologies in institutions, thus; Ethical oversight committees and transparent reporting mechanisms should be developed to facilitate the fair usage of these technologies.[41,42,43]

Four areas of research are the concerns related to the ethical Implications of using artificial Intelligence to replace or supplement Individuals' decision making when it comes to the healthcare sector. Despite the potential of applying AI in diagnosing diseases, outcomes and recommending personalized treatment, AI should not take independent decisions regarding patients' management. Patients may not like their carers to leave important decisions in the hand of algorithms since such a process is not transparent. This should, however, be supplemented with AI but not replaced by it since decision making remains with the health care providers. Moreover, the future patients should know that they are under the AI treatment and have an option to agree to it. Democratization of information, meaning how an AI tool makes a decision, is key to fostering trust and ethical use of those resources.[38,39] Another two ethical principles that can be threatened by IT enabled health care are: autonomy and informed consent. The availability of telemedicine, wearable devices and mobile health apps actually results in the patients being unaware of how that data is

being utilized. For example, information from a fitness tracker, or even an application to monitor personal health, can be transferred to third parties even with primary consent implying autonomy and exploitation. It remains the responsibility of the healthcare providers and technology developers to properly communicate about the information and have proper documented consent of the patients feeling in charge of their information input. Some of these measures should include information utilization that stops the use of data for unrelated health matters like marketing or insurance prejudice.[44,45,46] Furthermore, the digital divide presents an ethical question in the use of health information technology. Patients are enabled to have an empowered way of handling their health by virtue of the mobile health apps where they can check their various health parameters, the various medicines they are suppose to use when and where they have their appointments among other things. Nevertheless, these tools also erode the clear line between the professional and personal interaction, since people may require information or interaction while being a patient. Having well-stipulated rules on the use of Social Media in relaying informational content is crucial to keep professionalism, and avoid compromising the patient care quality delivered.[47,48]

the relationships between doctors and patients in the contemporary world have transformed into a mutual, open, and hi-tech. Though technology has enhance accessibility, communication, and individualize the client, it has brought difficulties like depersonalize, ethic issues and limit on virtual interactions. New phenomena rising in the contemporary political culture of doctor-patient relations imply the need to learn by doctors and patients, to adhere to new forms and means of struggle with the threat of post industrialization and liberalization of medicine while maintaining a focus on technology on the one hand and empathy and trust on the other. In this new connected world, it is only natural that the doctor-patient relationship will not be immune to changes however, encouraging openness and tactful use of technology in this relationship should still have successful results.[49,59]

## **Conclusion**

the use of technology in health care has been shown to have transformed the traditionally paternalistic doctor – patient relationship to an empowering relationship. Such advancements as telemedicine, EHRs; AI, and m-health applications directly increase reaching out, portrayal, and optimization while enabling patients to drive their care. However, these advancement also create problems; dehumanization of people, ethical issues, and the quest for appropriate interactional distance in technologically mediated communication. As medical practice moves forward in a realm of connectivity it becomes imperative to maintain the mean between intelligent application of technology integration and core medical practice values of compassion, patient trust and human touch. By walking through the changes carefully, the doctor-patient relationship is able to change and grow to improve the outcomes of both the patients and the care givers.

## **References:**

1. Tan, S. S. L., & Goonawardene, N. (2017). Internet health information seeking and the patient-physician relationship: A systematic review. \*Journal of Medical Internet Research\*, 19(1), e9. <https://doi.org/10.2196/jmir.5297>
2. Van Riel, N., Auver, D., Dibbout, P., Van Hees, S., & Schoenmakums, B. (2017). The effect of Dr. Google on doctor-patient encounters in primary care: A quantitative, observational, and cross-sectional study. \*EGP Open\*, 1(2), e100833. <https://doi.org/10.1136/egpop-2017-100833>
3. Liu, J. (2021). Health Professional Shortage and Health Status and Health Care Access. \*Health Care for the Underserved\*, 18(3), 500-502.
4. Murray, E., Lo, B., Pollack, L., Donelan, K., Catania, J., White, M., et al. (2001). The impact of health information on the internet on the physician-patient relationship: Patient perceptions. \*Archives of Internal Medicine\*, 161(14), 1727-1731. <https://doi.org/10.1001/archinte.161.14.1727>
5. Luo, A., Qin, L., Yuan, X., Yang, Z., Liu, H., Huang, P., et al. (2022). The effect of online health information seeking on physician-patient relationships: A systematic review. \*Journal of Medical Internet Research\*, 24(2), e623154. <https://doi.org/10.2196/623154>

6. Liu, J. (2021). Artificial Intelligence: The Relationship Between Primary Care and Family Physicians. *American Journal of Family Physician*, 104, 558-559.
7. Resnicow, K., Catley, D., Guggin, K., & Hawley, S. (2022). Shared decision making in healthcare: Theoretical perspectives for why it works and for whom. *Medical Decision Making*, 42(9), 607-615. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0272989X221036417>
8. Thomas, C., & Willis, V. C. (2021). The burden of the digital environment: A systematic review on organization-directed workplace interventions to mitigate physician burnout. *Journal of the American Medical Informatics Association*, 28(5), 985-997. <https://doi.org/10.1093/jamia/ocaa312>
9. Armano, A., Brown-Johnson, C. G., Wenget, M., Sinha, A., Shah, S., Simsky, C. A., et al. (2023). Perspectives on the intersection of electronic health records and healthcare team communication, function, and well-being. *JAMA Network Open*, 6(5), e2313178. <https://doi.org/10.1001/jamanetworkopen.2023.13178>
10. Blease, C., Kaptchuk, T. J., Bernsten, M. H., Mand, C. M. (2019). Artificial intelligence and the future of primary care: An exploratory qualitative study of UK general practitioners' views. *JMIR Medical Internet Research*, 21(10), e2802. <https://doi.org/10.2196/2802>
11. Buck, C., Doctor, E., Hennich, J., Lyman, J. (2022). General practitioners' attitudes toward artificial intelligence-enabled systems: An interview study. *JMIR Medical Internet Research*, 24(1), e28916. <https://doi.org/10.2196/28916>
12. Kueper, J. K., Terry, A. L., Zwarenstein, M., Lizotte, D. (2022). Artificial intelligence and primary care research: A scoping review. *Annals of Family Medicine*, 18(3), 250-258. <https://doi.org/10.1370/afm.2683>
13. Lambert, S., Madi, M., Sopka, S., Lenes, A., Stange, K. (2023). An integrative review on the acceptance of artificial intelligence among healthcare professionals in hospitals. *NPJ Digital Medicine*, 6(1), 1-14. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41746-023-00763-7>
14. Lin, S., Mahoney, M. R., Sinsky, C. A. (2019). Ten ways artificial intelligence will transform primary care. *General Internal Medicine*, 34(8), 1626-1630. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11606-019-05152-1>
15. Downing, S., Bates, D. W., Langhant, C. (2022). Clinician burnout in the electronic health record era: Are we ignoring the real cause? *Annals of Internal Medicine*, 169(2), 150-156. <https://doi.org/10.7326/M20-0992>
16. Terry, A. L., Kueper, J. K., Beleno Brown, Cejic, S., Dang, L., et al. (2022). Is primary health care ready for artificial intelligence? What do primary healthcare stakeholders say? *BMC Medical Informatics and Decision Making*, 22(1), 237. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12911-022-01935-x>
17. Vico, V., Tao, C., Mancusi, C., Rispoli, A., Tedeschi, M., Virtuoso, N., et al. (2023). Artificial intelligence in hypertension management: An ace up your sleeve. *Cardiovascular Diseases*, 10, 20-24. <https://doi.org/10.3390/cvd10010024>
18. Holgren, A., Downing, M. L., Tang, M., Sharp, C., Longhurst, C., Hucuman, R. (2022). Assessing the impact of the COVID-19 pandemic on clinician ambulatory electronic health record use. *Journal of the American Medical Informatics Association*, 29(1), 453-460. <https://doi.org/10.1093/jamia/ocac136>
19. Hoff, T., & Collinson, G. E. (2017). How do we talk about the physician-patient relationship? What the nonempirical literature tells us. *Medical Care Research and Review*, 74(3), 251-285. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1077558716673819>
20. Tarlow, K. R., McCord, C. E., Nelson, I. L., & Bernhard, P. A. (2020). Comparing in-person supervision and tele-supervision: Multiple baseline single-case study. *Journal of Psychotherapy Integration*, 30(2), 383-393. <https://doi.org/10.1037/int0000210>
21. Tripathi, I., Rastogi, S., & Fadon, A. (2019). Changing doctor-patient relationship in India: A big concern. *International Journal of Community Medicine and Public Health*, 6(7), 3160. <https://doi.org/10.18205/2394-6040.jcmph20192868>



22. Uscher-Pines, L., Sousa, J., Raja, P., Mehrotra, A., Barnett, M. L., & Huskamp, H. A. (2020). Suddenly becoming a "virtual doctor": Experiences of psychiatrists transitioning to telemedicine during the COVID-19 pandemic. *Psychiatric Services, 71*(11), 1143-1150. <https://doi.org/10.1176/appi.ps.202000250>
23. Vasadevan, R. S., Horiuchi, Y., Tarriani, F. J., Cotter, B., Maisel, S. M., Dadwal, S. S., Gaynes, R., & Maisel, A. S. (2020). Persistent value of the stethoscope in the age of COVID-19. *The American Journal of Medicine, 133*(10), 1143-1150. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.amjmed.2020.05.018>
24. Wang, Y., Wang, Y. C., Song, S. S. H., Zhang, H. X., Wang, L., Ma, X. Q., Zhan, C. P., Xu, M., Tian, N., & Chen, M. H. (2020). Follow-up management strategy for patients undergoing peritoneal dialysis during novel coronavirus pneumonia epidemic. *European Review for Medical and Pharmacological Sciences, 24*(21), 11402-11408. <https://doi.org/10.26355/eurrev.202011.23633>
25. White, S. J., Barello, S., Cao di San Marco, E., Colombo, C., Beckman, E., Gilligan, C., Graffigna, G., Jirasevijinda, T., Mosconi, P., Mullan, J., Rehman, S. U., Rubinelli, S., Vegni, E., & Krystallidou, D. (2021). Critical observations on and suggested ways forward for healthcare communication during COVID-19: PEACH position paper. *Patient Education and Counseling, 104*(2), 217-222. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pec.2020.12.025>
26. Wittenberg, E., Goldsmith, J., Chen, C., Prince-Paul, M., & Johnson, R. (2021). Opportunities to improve COVID-19 provider communication resources: A systematic review. *Patient Education and Counseling, 104*(3), 438-451. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pec.2020.08.022>
27. WHO. (2020). *Health workforce policy and management in the context of the COVID-19 pandemic response: Interim guidance*. World Health Organization. <https://apps.who.int/iris/handle/10665/357333>
28. Haleem, A., Javaid, M., Singh, R. P., & Suman, R. (2021). Telemedicine for healthcare: Capabilities, features, barriers, and applications. *Sens Int, 2*, 100117. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sintl.2021.100117>
29. Hyman, P. (2020). The disappearance of the primary care physical examination: Losing touch. *JAMA Internal Medicine, 180*(11), 1417-1418. <https://doi.org/10.1001/jamainternmed.2020.3546>
30. Kodera, S., Akazawa, H., Morita, H., & Komuro, I. (2022). Prospects for cardiovascular medicine using artificial intelligence. *Journal of Cardiology, 79*(3), 319-325. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jjcc.2021.10.016>
31. Beam, A. L., Drazen, J. M., Kohane, I. S., Leong, T. Y., Manrai, A. K., & Rubin, E. J. (2023). Artificial intelligence in medicine. *New England Journal of Medicine, 388*(13), 1220-1221. <https://doi.org/10.1056/nejme2206291>
32. Love, R. (2023, November 2). The role of AI in democratizing healthcare: From diagnosis to access. *Forbes*. <https://www.forbes.com/sites/forbesbusinesscouncil/2023/11/02/the-role-of-ai-in-democratizing-healthcare-from-diagnosis-to-access/?sh=1992bf1c1010>
33. Dalton-Brown, S. (2020). The ethics of medical AI and the physician-patient relationship. *Cambridge Quarterly of Healthcare Ethics, 29*(1), 115-121. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0963180119000629>
34. Kopp, A. R., Rikin, S., Cassese, T., Berger, M. A., Raff, A. C., & Gendlina, I. (2021). Medical student remote eConsult participation during the COVID-19 pandemic. *BMC Medical Education, 21*(1), 1-10. <https://doi.org/10.1186/12909-021-02562-6>
35. Kurotschka, P., Serafini, A., Demontis, M., Serafini, A., Mereu, A., Moro, M. F., Carta, M. G., & Ghirotto, L. (2021). General practitioners experiences during the first phase of the COVID-19 pandemic in Italy: A critical incident technique study. *Frontiers in Public Health, 9*(February), 1-11. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpubh.2021.623904>
36. Mira, I. L., Blanco, M. M., Cheikh-Moussa, K., Solas, O., Alonso, A., Gutierrez, R., Gómez, C., & Guilabert, M. (2021). Proposals for person-centred care in the COVID-19 era: Delphi study. *Health Expectations: An International Journal of Public Participation in Health Care and Health Policy, 24*(2), 687-699. <https://doi.org/10.1111/hex.13218>

37. Lin, Q., Lan, D., Haase, J. E., Guo, Q., Wang, X. Q., Liu, S., Xia, L., Lin, Z., Yang, J., & Yang, B. X. (2020). The experiences of healthcare providers during the COVID-19 crisis in China: A qualitative study. *The Lancet Global Health*, 8(6), e790-798. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S2214-109X\(20\)30204-7](https://doi.org/10.1016/S2214-109X(20)30204-7)
38. Reddy, A., Gannink, E., Deeds, S. A., Hagan, S. L., Heyworth, L., Mattras, T. F., & Nelson, K. M. (2020). A rapid mobilization of 'virtual' primary care services in response to COVID-19 at veterans health administration. *Healthcare*, 8(4), 1-3. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.hidai.2020.100464>
39. Nguyen, T. T. H., Phung, H. T., & Bui, A. T. M. (2022). Applying the workload indicators of staffing needs method in nursing workforce planning: Evidence from four hospitals in Vietnam. *Human Resource Health*, 19(Suppl 51), 124. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12960-021-00668-5>
40. Kurotschka, P., Serafini, A., Demontis, M., Serafini, A., Merev, A., Moro, M. F., Carta, M. G., & Ghirotto, L. (2021). General practitioners experiences during the first phase of the COVID-19 pandemic in Italy: A critical incident technique study. *Frontiers in Public Health*, 9(February), 1-11. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpubh.2021.623904>
41. Ashry, A. H., & Alsawy, M. F. (2020). Doctor-patient distancing: An early experience of telemedicine for postoperative neurosurgical care in the time of COVID-19. *Egyptian Journal of Neurology, Psychiatry and Neurosurgery*, 56(80), 1-8. <https://doi.org/10.1186/41983-020-00212-0>
42. Banka, J., Corrigan, D., Grogan, R., El-Naggar, H., White, M., Doran, E., Synnott, C., Fitzsimons, M., Delanty, N., & Doherty, C. P. (2021). Love in a time of COVID: Clinician and patient experience using telemedicine for chronic epilepsy management. *Epilepsy and Behavior*, 115(107675), 1-9. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.yebeh.2020.107673>
43. Bowe, T., Hanter, D. G., Mantagon, I. S., Karlas, M., Jastrzembski, B. G., Galer, E., D., Massey, G., Franz, K., Schumann, C., Brown C, Meyers, H. H., & Shah, A. (2020). Virtual visits in ophthalmology: Timely advice for implementation during the COVID-19 crisis. *Telemedicine and E-Health*, 26(9), 1113-1117. <https://doi.org/10.1089/tm.2020.012161>
44. Cheong, H. S., Kwon, K. T., Hiwang, S., Kim, S. W., Chang, H. H., Park, S. Y., Kim, B., Lee, S., Park, J., Heo, S. T., Oh, W. S., Kim, Y., Park, K. H., Kang, C.K., Lim, S. I., Yun, S., & Son, I. W. (2022). Workload of healthcare workers during the COVID-19 outbreak in Korea: A nationwide survey. *Journal of Korean Medical Science*, 37(6), 1-9. <https://doi.org/10.3346/jkms.2022.37.449>
45. Kuronschka, P. K., Serafini, A., Demontis, M., Serafini, A., Merv, A., Moro, M. F., Carta, M. G., & Ghirotto, L. (2021). General practitioners' experiences during the first phase of the COVID-19 pandemic in Italy: A critical incident technique study. *Frontiers in Public Health*, 9, 1-11. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpubh.2021.623904>
46. Desideri, I., Francolini, G., Ciccone, L. P., Stocchi, G., Salvestrini, V., Aquilane, M., Greto, D., Bonomo, P., Meattini, I., Scotti, V., Scoccianti, S., Simontacchi, G., & Livi, L. (2021). Impact of COVID-19 on patient-doctor interaction in a complex radiation therapy facility. *Supportive Care in Cancer*, 29(6), 2931-2937. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00520-120-05793-3>
47. Ghosh, A., Sharma, K., & Choudhury, S. (2021). COVID-19 and physician-patient relationships: Potential effects of "masking", "distancing", and others. *Family Practice*, 38(2), 193-194. <https://doi.org/10.1093/fampra/cana092>
48. Gomez, T., Anaya, Y. R., Shih, K. J., & Tarm, D. M. (2021). A qualitative study of primary care physicians' experiences with telemedicine during COVID-19. *Journal of the American Board of Family Medicine*, 34(Suppl), 561-570. <https://doi.org/10.3122/JABFM.2021.51.200517>
49. Grewal, U. S., Shankar, A., Saini, D., Seth, T., Roy, S., Aden, D., Bhandari, D., & Singh, P. (2021). Tele-health and cancer in the era of COVID-19: New opportunities in low and middle-income countries (LMCs). *Cancer Treatment and Research Communications*, 27, 1-3. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ctarc.2021.100313>

50. Gaffney, H. J., & Hamiduzzaman, M. (2022). Factors that influence older patients' participation in clinical communication within developed country hospitals and GP clinics: A systematic review of current literature. *PLoS ONE*, 17(6), 1-23. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0269840>

تأثير التكنولوجيا على العلاقة بين الطبيب والمريض

#### الملخص

**الخلفية:** يعترف هذا البحث بأن العلاقة بين الطبيب والمريض قد تكيفت مع تعزيز التكنولوجيا في تقديم خدمات الرعاية الصحية وكذلك تجربة المريض.

**الهدف:** تسعى هذه الدراسة إلى الكشف عن تأثير الممارسات عن بُعد، بما في ذلك الصيدلة عن بُعد، والاستشارات عن بُعد، والمراقبة عن بُعد، والطب عن بُعد على العلاقة بين الطبيب والمريض وثقة المريض.

**الطرق:** استعرضت الورقة الأدبيات الحالية لمراجعة كيفية تقدم هذه التقنيات في رعاية المرضى والعلاقة بين الطبيب والمريض.

**النتائج:** جعلت الصحة الإلكترونية الوصول والانفتاح أكثر سهولة، مما مكن المرضى من المشاركة المستمرة في إدارة حالتهم. ومع ذلك، تشمل العوامل التي تم الإشارة إليها أيضًا إزالة الطابع الشخصي وانتهاك الخصوصية.

**الخلاصة:** من منظور إيجابي، يُحسن النمو في التكنولوجيا الرعاية الصحية، ومن هذا المنظور، فإن التوازن بين الابتكار واللمسة الإنسانية ضروري لإعادة بناء الثقة مع المرضى.

**الكلمات المفتاحية:** التواصل الطبي، الطب عن بُعد، الذكاء الاصطناعي، السجلات الصحية الإلكترونية، تكنولوجيا الرعاية الصحية، قوة المريض.