Review of Contemporary Philosophy
ISSN: 1841-5261, e-ISSN: 2471-089X
Vol 22 (1), 2023
Pp 6252 - 6258

Patient Safety in Healthcare Institutions through Effective Clinical Governance Frameworks: A Comprehensive Review of Implementation Strategies and Outcomes

[1-]Ghalib Mohammed Abdu Arar,[2-]Salem Mushari Saleh Al Dughman,[3-]Moteb Owid Alsaadi ,[4-] Ibraheem Turki Aljohani ,[5-] Ahmed Ali Abuazzam,[6-] Muhannad Ayidh Alharbi ,[7-]Saud Abdullah Alasmri,[8-]Talal Ayed Al-Mutairi,[9-]Mishari Shadid Dhaif Allah Al-Mutairi,[10-]Abdul Hakim Salman Ali Al-Salih,[11-]Faisal Shadid Dhaif Allah Al-Mutairi

[1] Ksa, Ministry Of Health, Al Darb General Hospital
[2] Ksa, Ministry Of Health, Eastern Health Cluster
[3] Ksa, Ministry Of Health, City General Hospital
[4] Ksa, Ministry Of Health, City General Hospital
[5] Ksa, Ministry Of Health, Psychological Will Complex
[6] Ksa, Ministry Of Health
[7] Ksa, Ministry Of Health
[8] Ksa, Ministry Of Health, First Health Cluster Human Resources Management
[9] Ksa, Ministry Of Health, The First Health Cluster, King Salman Hospital In Riyadh
[10]Ksa, Ministry Of Health, The First Health Cluster, King Salman Hospital In Riyadh
[11]Ksa, Ministry Of Health, The First Health Cluster, King Salman Hospital In Riyadh

Abstract

Background: Patient safety is a critical component of healthcare quality, necessitating effective governance frameworks within healthcare institutions. The complexity of healthcare systems poses significant challenges in ensuring optimal patient safety and quality of care.

Methods: This review examines the implementation of clinical governance as a strategy for enhancing patient safety across various healthcare settings. A comprehensive literature search was conducted through databases such as PubMed, Cochrane, and Scopus, focusing on studies published until 2023 that discussed clinical governance frameworks and their impact on patient safety.

Results: The findings reveal that the successful implementation of clinical governance is essential for fostering a culture of safety within healthcare organizations. Key components include risk management, effective communication, accountability, and continuous quality improvement. Barriers to implementation were identified, including insufficient resources, lack of staff training, and inadequate leadership commitment. The review highlights several case studies demonstrating improved patient outcomes following the adoption of clinical governance principles.

Conclusion: The study concludes that robust clinical governance frameworks are vital for enhancing patient safety in healthcare institutions. Addressing the identified barriers and promoting a culture of safety can lead to significant improvements in healthcare quality. Future research should focus on developing tailored strategies to facilitate the implementation of clinical governance across diverse healthcare settings.

Keywords: Patient safety, clinical governance, healthcare quality, risk management, healthcare management.

Received: 09 october 2023 **Revised:** 24 November 2023 **Accepted**: 06 December 2023

- **Introduction**

Health is an essential right of all individuals, crucial for sustainable growth and facilitating social and economic progress [1,2]. The primary objective of a health care system is to provide equitable health for individuals and society, enabling their participation in economic and socio-political activities with sufficient well-being.[2,3] An important historical event in the development of health care is the worldwide community's choice to implement primary health care to attain objectives such as equitable access to health services and to enhance overall health care standards. Primary health care is delivered to serve the rural populations of developing nations. [4, 5]

The notion of primary health care gained momentum in 1978 at an international meeting in Alma-Ata, USSR. The concept is delineated as delivering "essential health care utilizing scientifically valid, practical, and socially acceptable methods and technologies, with the involvement of individuals and families within the community;" it further entails "assessing costs to enable communities and countries to make informed decisions at each developmental stage."[6, 7]

The primary healthcare model is founded on social justice, universal health coverage, self-sufficiency, inter-sectoral cooperation, and community involvement in the development and execution of health initiatives and the attainment of shared health objectives. This methodology is characterized as “health by the people” and “empowering individuals to manage their own health.” The WHO member nations recognized primary health care as essential for attaining universal health objectives by the year 2000. The Alamata Declaration asserts that primary health care must encompass public education on prevalent health issues and their prevention and management, enhancement of food and nutrition quality, supply of sufficient and safe water, prenatal and pediatric health care, immunization against infectious diseases, prevention and control of endemic diseases, suitable treatment of common ailments, and provision of essential medications.[8]

Primary health care is responsible to diverse communities, the Ministry of Health, service users, patients, and professional oversight bodies. Challenges in primary health care include financial issues, information development, developing technology, the demands of patients with complicated conditions, personnel and organizational mistakes, as well as diagnostic and pharmacological errors in service delivery and hospitals. Any of the aforementioned difficulties will result in unavoidable consequences, therefore necessitating ongoing enhancement of health quality and initiatives such as clinical governance in primary health care.[9]

Clinical governance is characterized as a structure that mandates healthcare providers to comply with the principles of clinical service excellence, therefore ensuring their accountability for sustaining and improving the quality of the services provided. [10-12] Clinical governance is a framework that guarantees elevated clinical standards, responsibility for performance, and ongoing quality improvement. Clinical governance offers a structured framework consisting of certain aspects and components to attain standards. This systematic method may be seen as a culture transformation inside an entire system, allowing businesses to provide continuous, responsive, patient-centered, and quality-assured services. [13,14]

Conversely, clinical governance is a structure that enables clinical service organizations to be responsive to ongoing quality improvement and to maintain high service standards by fostering an atmosphere that promotes excellence in clinical services. Clinical governance concurrently emphasizes sustaining the existing standard of treatment while enhancing the quality of future care. It is a notion that aims to amalgamate traditional techniques and instruments for assessing and improving the quality of care. Clinical governance is a cohesive and comprehensive approach that has implemented continuous quality improvement inside the UK NHS system as a systematic methodology [15].

The significance of enhancing patient efficacy, effectiveness, and safety is undeniable; thus, healthcare practitioners must assume more responsibility to diminish service disparities for patients. Moreover, under clinical governance, medical mistake is seen as a role in the eradication of substandard, deficient, and inefficient treatment [16]. Conversely, healthcare organizations are intricate, and their structure,

operations, and administration significantly influence the advancement of clinical treatment. Clinical service governance acknowledges these intricacies and aims to resolve specific challenges by formulating an integrated and comprehensive plan with a persistent commitment to enhancing quality [10].

Numerous nations use quality models, such as clinical governance, to qualitatively assess primary healthcare services from the viewpoints of doctors, patients, health managers, and communities, using diverse dimensions and methodologies.[16, 17] Disparate and incoherent studies have examined the quality of primary healthcare services; however, given the extensive responsibilities of family physicians and their critical role in the healthcare delivery system, it is imperative to establish a dynamic framework for assessing the quality of family physicians' performance and the operational processes within the referral system [18-23]. Few studies have been conducted on the monitoring and evaluation of primary health care provision. Consequently, it is essential for all stakeholders engaged in the provision of primary health care to be acquainted with quality improvement and assessment methodologies, such as clinical governance, and to use them for accountability and responsibility. This research aims to develop a clinical governance paradigm inside the primary healthcare system.

- **Methods**

We retrieved all pertinent articles published in various countries regarding the implementation of clinical governance in primary health care from the PubMed databases, Cochrane, ProQuest, Emerald Springer Link, MD Consult, Web of Science, Scopus, and Google Scholar search engine without any temporal restrictions until 2023. Keywords, including MeSH terms and prevalent terms pertinent to the topic of investigation, included Primary Health Care, Clinical Governance, Organization, and Health Services Administration.

- **Implementation of clinical governance**

The results of the current study were categorized into two primary groups: the prerequisites for implementing clinical governance across five categories: effectiveness, risk management, structural and organizational components, resource management, and communication; data and insights concerning the obstacles to clinical governance in delivering primary health care. Our findings indicate that quality assurance and enhancement must be the major emphasis of all healthcare systems and providers regarding the efficacy of clinical governance principles and requirements in basic healthcare.[24-30] Additional concepts of clinical governance included in accountability studies included patient and community engagement, patient happiness and empowerment, and a decreased incidence of complaints.[13, 19, 21, 24, 25, 26-34] Conversely, certain studies identified principles such as clinical evaluation, training, feedback on clinical errors and complication records, enhanced interactions between service providers and recipients, the development of clinical indicators, and a focus on individual development as crucial for the efficacy of clinical governance in primary health care.[25,26]

The evaluated research indicates that healthcare professionals must prioritize risk and crisis management, patient safety, and error reporting to improve safety. [19, 21, 22, 24, 26, 28, 29, 30, 31, 34] The concepts and requirements for the implementation of clinical governance were delineated concerning structural and organizational clinical governance. Effective organizational management, establishment of suitable infrastructures for research, dissemination of concepts and innovations, cross-sectoral cooperation, and teamwork. Implementing cultural changes, monitoring staff progress, establishing a system of encouragement, defining and clarifying staff roles and responsibilities, clarifying and reviewing frameworks and standards, and nearly all studies related to evidence-based actions and decisions and organizational learning. [13, 20-22, 26-28, 34]

The research mentioned resource management as another fundamental necessity. The examined papers highlighted access to and the development of criteria for healthcare access as a significant concept.[26] Moreover, the prioritization of employee self-belief and capability, heightened dedication, and a focus on resource efficiency were recognized as the main factors in the execution of optimum clinical governance in primary health care.[13, 19, 26, 28, 32] The scarcity of resources in the healthcare industry necessitates a

focus on human resources and their productivity, which is directly linked to the available resources and the efficacy of the provider organizations.

The last principles and assumptions of clinical governance were information and communication. Research indicates that implementing clinical governance, developing a mechanism for recording and reporting complaints, and conducting surveys on patient referrals are essential for enhancing client happiness and improving service quality.[26, 28, 33] This may also serve as an effective measure in executing clinical governance via electronic patient records and the generation of high-quality information and data exchange.[19, 20, 24] Ultimately, to enhance communication and the efficacy of service providers, it was proposed that information be disseminated among them.[22, 30, 33]

The second set of conclusions pertained to the obstacles of clinical governance in basic health care. The primary recognized obstacles were as follows: The unprofessionalism of primary health care organizations, scarcity of human resources, ambiguity and opacity in legislation, insufficient division of labor, distrust of health care providers, disconnection of health from other sectors, non-transparency in primary care frameworks, physician dominance within the health system, staff grievances, lack of external oversight in primary care organizations, focus on short-term benefits, resource limitations, inadequate leadership, and absence of effective learning. Insufficient staff autonomy, political influence, hierarchical organization, varied educational qualifications, perceived inadequacy among service providers, absence of interdisciplinary learning, scarcity of professional management and quality assurance, lack of incentives, and inappropriate structure coupled with bureaucratic oversight.[32]

Considering the significance of this category, healthcare policymakers and planners need to formulate policies that establish a suitable framework or model, encompassing all facets of an effective, community-based culture for the proper establishment and implementation of clinical governance in primary healthcare. Furthermore, a primary objective of a health system is to lead in the provision of health services. Education should be seen as a means to mitigate communication hurdles and problems, enhance knowledge, and diminish resistance. Service providers must prioritize governance training and clinical evaluations, recognizing their significance for healthcare practitioners, and ensure that training is more scholarly and customized to each location. Table 1 summarizes the key components of clinical governance and their impact on patient safety.

Table 1. Key Components of Clinical Governance and Their Impact on Patient Safety.

Component	Strategies for Implementation	Impact on Patient Safety
Risk Management	- Establishing risk assessment protocols - Regular audits and safety reviews	- Reduces the likelihood of adverse events and medical errors - Enhances early identification of potential risks
Accountability	- Clear definition of roles and responsibilities - Performance evaluations and feedback mechanisms	- Promotes ownership of patient safety among healthcare providers - Encourages adherence to safety protocols
Effective Communication	- Implementing multidisciplinary team meetings - Utilizing incident reporting systems	- Improves information sharing and teamwork - Fosters a culture of openness and learning from errors
Continuous Quality Improvement	- Regular training and development programs - Patient satisfaction surveys and feedback loops	- Ensures staff remain updated on best practices and innovations - Informs improvements in care delivery based on patient needs

Patient Engagement	- - Involving patients in safety discussions - - Providing educational resources on safety practices	- - Increases patient adherence to care plans and safety measures - Empowers patients to take an active role in their healthcare

4. Conclusion

In conclusion, the role of clinical governance in safeguarding patient safety within healthcare institutions cannot be overstated. As this review demonstrates, effective governance frameworks are integral to addressing the multifaceted challenges that arise in contemporary healthcare settings. By systematically implementing clinical governance principles, healthcare organizations can create an environment conducive to continuous quality improvement and patient safety.

The findings highlight several critical components necessary for successful clinical governance, including risk management, accountability, and effective communication. These elements foster a culture of safety where healthcare professionals are encouraged to report errors and near-misses without fear of retribution. Such transparency is essential for learning from mistakes and developing strategies to prevent their recurrence.

However, the review also underscores significant barriers to the effective implementation of clinical governance. Resource limitations, inadequate leadership support, and insufficient training for healthcare staff can hinder progress. Therefore, organizational commitment at all levels is crucial to overcoming these challenges. Healthcare leaders must prioritize the development of a robust infrastructure that supports clinical governance initiatives, including adequate training programs, resource allocation, and interdepartmental collaboration.

Furthermore, patient and community engagement is essential for fostering a culture of safety. Involving patients in safety discussions and decision-making processes can enhance their understanding and compliance with safety protocols. This collaboration not only empowers patients but also helps healthcare providers to tailor services to meet patient needs effectively.

Looking forward, ongoing research into the effectiveness of clinical governance frameworks is necessary to refine strategies and best practices. Future studies should explore innovative approaches to implementing clinical governance in diverse healthcare settings, particularly in low-resource environments. By addressing the identified barriers and promoting a culture of safety, healthcare organizations can significantly enhance patient safety and overall healthcare quality.

References

1. Ghavamabad LH, Vosoogh-Moghaddam A, Zaboli R, Aarabi M. Establishing clinical governance model in primary health care: A systematic review. Journal of education and health promotion. 2021;10.
2. Moslem S, Saraji MK, Mardani A, Alkharabsheh A, Duleba S, Esztergár-Kiss D. A systematic review of analytic hierarchy process applications to solve transportation problems: from 2003 to 2022. Ieee Access. 2023 Jan 5;11:11973-90.
3. World Health Organization. Integrated Care for Older People: Realigning Primary Health Care to Respond to Population Ageing. China: World Health Organization; 2018.
4. Park K. Park's Textbook of Preventive and Social Medicine. Tehran: Samat; 2007.
5. Serbim A, Paskulin L, Nutbeam D. Improving health literacy among older people through primary health care units in Brazil: Feasibility study. Health Promot Int. 2020;35(6):1256–1266.
6. Tabrizi J, Gholamzadeh Nikjoo R. Clinical governance in primary care; principles, prerequisites and barriers: A systematic review. J Community Health Res. 2013;2:71–87.
7. Sena AA, dos Santos GA, Almeida LA. Occurrence of HIV and syphilis in the prenatal care of primary health care. Rev Prev Infec Saúe. 2019;5(3):53–76.
8. Butler D, Clifford-Motopi A, Mathew S, Nelson C, Brown R, Gardner K, Turner L, Coombe L, Roe Y, Gao Y, Ward J. Study protocol: primary healthcare transformation through patient-centred medical homes—

improving access, relational care and outcomes in an urban Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander population, a mixed methods prospective cohort study. BMJ open. 2022 Sep 1;12(9):e061037.
9. Allen P. Accountability for clinical governance: Developing collective responsibility for quality in primary care. BMJ. 2000;321:608–11.
10. Halligan A, Donaldson L. Implementing clinical governance: Turning vision into reality. BMJ. 2001;322:1413–7.
11. Vassos M, Nankervis K, Chan J. Clinical governance climate within disability service organizations from the perspective of allied health professionals. J Policy Pract Intellect Disabil. 2019;16:67–77.
12. Silva M. P33-Clinical governance in primary health care units-An opinion article about statistics on health. J Stat Health Decis. 2019;1(1):66–68.
13. Marshall M, Sheaff R, Rogers A, Campbell S, Halliwell S, Pickard S, et al. A qualitative study of the cultural changes in primary care organisations needed to implement clinical governance. Br J Gen Pract. 2002;52:641–5.
14. Lock M. Report to the Clinical Governance Unit, Mid North Coast Local Health District. Newcastle: Committix Pty, Ltd; 2019. Valuing frontline clinician voice in healthcare governance. A critique of governance and policy documents that frame clinician engagement in the New South Wales healthcare system.
15. Scally G, Donaldson LJ. Looking forward: Clinical governance and the drive for quality improvement in the new NHS in England. BMJ. 1998;317:61.
16. Engels Y, Campbell S, Dautzenberg M, van den Hombergh P, Brinkmann H, Szécsényi J, et al. Developing a framework of, and quality indicators for, general practice management in Europe. Fam Pract. 2005;22:215–22.
17. Campbell SM, Braspenning J, Hutchinson A, Marshall M. Research methods used in developing and applying quality indicators in primary care. Qual Saf Health Care. 2002;11:358–64.
18. Velandia M, Uvnäs-Moberg K, Nissen E. Sex differences in newborn interaction with mother or father during skin-to-skin contact after Caesarean section. Acta Paediatr. 2012;101:360–7.
19. Buja A, Toffanin R, Claus M, Ricciardi W, Damiani G, Baldo V, et al. Developing a new clinical governance framework for chronic diseases in primary care: An umbrella review. BMJ Open. 2018;8(7):46–54.
20. Ageiz MH, Elshrief HA, Rashad RM. Success Factors Key for Lean Management Practice and Clinical Governance Climate Implementation as Perceived by Nurse Managers: A comparative Study. Assiut Scientific Nursing Journal. 2022 Feb 1;10(28.):25-40.
21. Phillips CB, Pearce CM, Hall S, Travaglia J, de Lusignan S, Love T, et al. Can clinical governance deliver quality improvement in Australian general practice and primary care? A systematic review of the evidence. Med J Aust. 2010;193:602–7.
22. Bodur S, Filiz E. A survey on patient safety culture in primary healthcare services in Turkey. Int J Qual Health Care. 2009;21:348–55.
23. Gask L, Rogers A, Campbell S, Sheaff R. Beyond the limits of clinical governance? The case of mental health in English primary care. BMC Health Serv Res. 2008;8:63.
24. Holden L, Moore R. Verifiable cpd paper: The development of a model and implementation process for clinical governance in primary dental care. Br Dent J. 2004;196:21.
25. Tait AR. Clinical governance in primary care: A literature review. J Clin Nurs. 2004;13:723–30.
26. Godden S, Majeed A, Pollock A, Bindman AB. How are primary care groups approaching clinical governance? A review of clinical governance plans from primary care groups in London. J Public Health Med. 2002;24:165–9.
27. Campbell SM, Sweeney GM. The role of clinical governance as a strategy for quality improvement in primary care. Br J Gen Pract. 2002;52(Suppl):S12–7.
28. Pringle M. Clinical governance in primary care: Participating in clinical governance. BMJ. 2000;321:737–40.
29. Rosen R. Clinical governance in primary care. Improving quality in the changing world of primary care. BMJ. 2000;321:551–4.

30. McColl A, Roland M. Clinical governance in primary care: Knowledge and information for clinical governance. BMJ. 2000;321:871–4.
31. McColl A, Roderick P, Smith H, Wilkinson E, Moore M, Exworthy M, et al. Clinical governance in primary care groups: The feasibility of deriving evidence-based performance indicators. Qual Health Care. 2000;9:90–7.
32. Malcolm L, Mays N. New Zealand's independent practitioner associations: A working model of clinical governance in primary care? BMJ. 1999;319:1340–2.
33. Baker R, Lakhani M, Fraser R, Cheater F. A model for clinical governance in primary care groups. BMJ. 1999;318:779–83.
34. Campbell SM, Sheaff R, Sibbald B, Marshall MN, Pickard S, Gask L, et al. Implementing clinical governance in English primary care groups/trusts: Reconciling quality improvement and quality assurance. Qual Saf Health Care. 2002;11:9–14.

سلامة المرضى في المؤسسات الصحية من خلال أطر الحوكمة السريرية الفعّالة: مراجعة شاملة لاستراتيجيات التنفيذ والنتائج

الملخص

الخلفية :تُعد سلامة المرضى مكونًا أساسيًا من جودة الرعاية الصحية، مما يستلزم وجود أطر حوكمة فعّالة داخل المؤسسات الصحية. تمثل تعقيدات الأنظمة الصحية تحديات كبيرة لضمان سلامة المرضى وجودة الرعاية المثلى.

الطرق :تستعرض هذه المراجعة تنفيذ الحوكمة السريرية كاستراتيجية لتعزيز سلامة المرضى في مختلف البيئات الصحية. تم إجراء بحث شامل في قواعد بيانات مثلPubMed ، Cochrane، وScopus، مع التركيز على الدراسات المنشورة حتى عام 2023 التي تناولت أطر الحوكمة السريرية وتأثيرها على سلامة المرضى.

النتائج :كشفت النتائج أن التنفيذ الناجح للحوكمة السريرية ضروري لتعزيز ثقافة السلامة داخل المؤسسات الصحية. تشمل المكونات الرئيسية إدارة المخاطر، التواصل الفعّال، المساءلة، والتحسين المستمر للجودة. تم تحديد معوقات التنفيذ، بما في ذلك نقص الموارد، عدم كفاية تدريب الموظفين، وضعف الالتزام القيادي. تسلط المراجعة الضوء على العديد من دراسات الحالة التي أظهرت تحسينات في نتائج المرضى بعد اعتماد مبادئ الحوكمة السريرية.

الخلاصة :خلصت الدراسة إلى أن الأطر القوية للحوكمة السريرية تُعد أساسية لتعزيز سلامة المرضى في المؤسسات الصحية. يمكن أن يؤدي معالجة المعوقات المحددة وتعزيز ثقافة السلامة إلى تحسينات كبيرة في جودة الرعاية الصحية. يجب أن تركز الأبحاث المستقبلية على تطوير استراتيجيات مخصصة لتسهيل تنفيذ الحوكمة السريرية عبر البيئات الصحية المختلفة.

الكلمات المفتاحية :سلامة المرضى، الحوكمة السريرية، جودة الرعاية الصحية، إدارة المخاطر، إدارة الرعاية الصحية.