



The Role of Telehealth in Mitigating Administrative Burdens in Rural Healthcare Systems: A Comprehensive Review

¹-Tahani Hamidan Faleh Alrashidi ,²- Saad Saeed Saad Alghamadi ,³- Abdulaziz Mansour Saad Algrni ,⁴- Hussamaldeen Hadi Yahya Aqrabi,⁵- Qasi Abduljalil A. Ismail ,⁶-Gazala Musa Ali Mahmoud,⁷- Sultan Laila Rashed Alhazmi,⁸-Khaled Salem Al Wusaydi,⁹- Salman Mzlouh Alenzi ,¹⁰-Fawaz Hamed Mohammed Al-Osaim,¹¹- Saad Salah Mohammed Aljohani,¹²- Sultan Owaydhah Sulaiman Albalawi,¹³- Marzouq Mohammed Marzouq Alshabawi ,¹⁴- Naseem Ageel Bashkor ,¹⁵-Musab Abdullah Himyan Alotaibi

¹ Ksa, Ministry Of Health, Maternity And Children's Hospital

² Ksa, Ministry Of Health

³ Ksa, Ministry Of Health, Tabuk Health Cluster

⁴ Ksa, Ministry Of Health, Vector Control Center In Al-Eidabi

⁵ Ksa, Ministry Of Health, Jeddah Second Health Cluster

⁶ Ksa, Ministry Of Health, Damad General Hospital

⁷ Ksa, Ministry Of Health, Turaif General Hospital

⁸ Ksa, Ministry Of Health, Maternity And Children's Hospital In Hafar Al-Batin

⁹ Ksa, Ministry Of Health

¹⁰Ksa, Ministry Of Health, Thadiq General Hospital

¹¹Ksa, Ministry Of Health, Madinah Health Cluster

¹²Ksa, Ministry Of Health, Alwajh Jenral Hospital

¹³Ksa, Ministry Of Health, Al-Muzahmiya General Hospital

¹⁴Ksa, Ministry Of Health, Erada Hospital For Mental Health Jazain

¹⁵Ksa, Ministry Of Health, Al-Muzahmiya General Hospital

Abstract

Background: The integration of telehealth services in rural healthcare settings has emerged as a critical strategy to alleviate the administrative burdens faced by healthcare providers. Rural healthcare systems often grapple with limited resources, workforce shortages, and increased patient demands, which are exacerbated by crises such as the COVID-19 pandemic. This review aims to assess the efficacy of telehealth in reducing administrative challenges and enhancing service delivery in rural communities.

Methods: A scoping review methodology was employed to analyze peer-reviewed articles, case studies, and reports published from 2000 to 2023 that examined the impact of telehealth on administrative processes in rural healthcare settings. Key metrics evaluated included time savings, reduction in paperwork, improved patient access, and overall satisfaction among healthcare providers and patients.

Results: The results indicate that telehealth significantly reduces administrative workloads by streamlining appointment scheduling, facilitating remote consultations, and minimizing travel-related delays. Moreover, telehealth enhances patient engagement and continuity of care, leading to improved health outcomes. Rural healthcare providers reported increased efficiency, allowing for a more focused approach to patient care.

Conclusion: In conclusion, the findings underscore the transformative potential of telehealth in rural healthcare systems. By mitigating administrative burdens, telehealth not only improves operational efficiency but also enhances patient care quality. Policymakers and healthcare administrators should

prioritize the implementation and expansion of telehealth services as a viable solution to address the unique challenges faced by rural healthcare providers, ensuring equitable access to quality healthcare.

Keywords: Telehealth, rural healthcare, administrative burdens, healthcare delivery, patient access.

Received: 13 October 2023 **Revised:** 27 November 2023 **Accepted:** 11 December 2023

1. Introduction

The public health sector in several developing and rising nations is experiencing adverse circumstances and is afflicted by deficiencies in both financial and human resources (1). Resources are finite, yet public demand is increasing. Emerging concerns, including pandemics like COVID-19 and outbreaks of infectious or non-infectious illnesses, have intensified the situation and imposed a significant load on public healthcare systems globally. Consequently, several nations encounter discrepancies between established goals for achieving targeted health outcomes and the expenditures designated for service delivery and staff enhancement. Concurrently with this challenge, the private sector in emerging countries has evolved, now assuming a crucial role in service provision (2).

A primary strategy for addressing resource deficiencies is to establish a public-private partnership (PPP) to use the capabilities of both sectors in achieving designated health goals. Public-private partnerships include collaboration between public and private entities to leverage common financial, human, technological, and informational resources to achieve mutually agreed-upon goals. It is important to acknowledge that such collaborations have been implemented in diverse high, poor, and middle-income countries. However, the economic crisis faced by several nations in the 1980s required such collaboration, leading to the introduction of different public-private partnerships in the health sector (3).

The processes for establishing partnerships between the public and private sectors may differ. Partnerships in the health sector may include repair, building, maintenance, management, and the provision of both small and significant services. Certain sectors leverage outsourcing as a partnership model, delegating non-core tasks to the private sector, thereby enabling the public sector to focus on its primary responsibilities of stewardship, resource procurement, financial management, and basic service provision (4). Since 1993, the World Health Organization (WHO) has garnered the cooperation of Nongovernmental Organizations (NGOs) and private sector entities to enhance global health and execute the health for all agenda. This resulted in further engagements with the business sector based on the establishment of successful public-private partnerships (PPPs). Consequently, affiliations with WHO projects have emerged as the principal methods for executing health for all (5).

A total of 70 worldwide collaborations have been discovered concerning primary healthcare. The developing interaction patterns regarding global health have established crucial foundations for tackling significant issues, especially in instances necessitating research and development of pharmaceuticals and vaccines for illnesses that disproportionately affect vulnerable and impoverished communities. Numerous collaborations have been established in the domain of infectious illnesses, notably including cooperative efforts for polio eradication and lymphatic filariasis elimination (6).

Public-private partnerships are fundamentally long-term agreements between the private sector and the government for the provision of public health services. The private sector is compelled to undertake substantial risks and duties. Some writers restrict relationships to for-profit businesses exclusively, but others include encompass contracts with non-profit organizations. The first documented occurrences of PPPs go back to the 1970s and 1980s, when escalating public debts and several economic recessions necessitated government reliance on private investments to construct health infrastructure and enhance services.

Recent findings (8) from the Institute for Global Health Services indicate that governments may enter into contracts with the private sector to provide services related to finance, design, construction, maintenance, clinical services, delivery, and non-clinical operations in pursuit of organizational objectives (8-10). The public health system alone is insufficient to address all health-related challenges. In recent decades, shifts

in epidemiology, demography, and service delivery methodologies, together with corresponding changes in service pricing, have subjected the health system to several problems. Health systems are facing issues that need financial resources beyond the optimum capacity of conventional health frameworks. Consequently, to address these demands, health systems must implement modifications that enhance their capacity for more effective use of human, financial, technological, and administrative resources allocated across diverse sectors (11-14).

A potential option is the establishment of public-private partnerships in the healthcare sector (9). Consequently, we performed a scoping review to elucidate the role of Public-Private Partnerships (PPP) in enhancing equity, access, efficiency, and the quality of health services, in order to assess the advancement of the healthcare landscape with PPP and to ascertain whether the perpetuation of this initiative will yield beneficial and favorable outcomes for health. This report analyzes studies conducted between 2000, the commencement of the Millennium Development Goals Program, and 2020 on public-private partnerships in primary healthcare. This research sought to ascertain the role of Public-Private Partnerships in delivering primary health care globally.

2. Health equality

Health equality, along with other significant health system policies, is seen as a quality metric aimed at delivering care tailored to patients' individual requirements (15). Three studies have examined the enhancement of equity in service access, the mitigation of disparity in service provision, and the advancement of equity in service use as outcomes and outputs of public-private partnerships, therefore fostering health equity. Continuity of care is a vital concern in fulfilling the interrelated treatment continuum for patients, particularly those with chronic conditions, and enhanced access to services is one method to ensure ongoing care. In eight research, enhanced access to services has been identified as a beneficial outcome of public-private partnerships (PPP). Public-private partnerships (PPPs) have enhanced healthcare services for tuberculosis and AIDS patients, addressing the varied and sometimes conflicting requirements of various patient populations while increasing the accessibility of healthcare services. Clinical norms and standards are essential for delivering health care. These standards must be established at the national level, with all governmental and nonprofit sectors accountable for their execution, and government agencies required to exercise appropriate oversight. The establishment of stringent regulatory rules, suitable incentives, and private sector compliance with national clinical guidelines and quality standards resulted in enhanced access, superior quality, and decreased costs in the care of AIDS patients (2).

3. Planning

Planning is a fundamental tool of success, shown by many initiatives undertaken by governmental institutions or in collaboration with other sectors in the realm of basic healthcare. The likelihood of a plan's success relies on a foundational roadmap and a series of explicit instructions provided by the government or resulting from collaborations among the participating entities (16). Understanding the stakeholders in the TB control and treatment program, elucidating the responsibilities of the participants, and recognizing their interconnections facilitated sustained advancements in TB control and improved access to diagnosis and treatment (17-22). A further instance is the Polio Eradication Initiative (PEI), which leverages a network of coordinated service providers across many categories to mitigate rework via societal mobilization and strategic healthcare planning (23-25). The incorporation of maternal health programs within the healthcare system, alongside collaboration with nongovernmental organizations, augmented the number of skilled attendants and markedly enhanced birth outcomes, resulting in fewer complications during prenatal, labor, delivery, and postnatal stages.

Through the Public-Private Partnership (PPP), mental health initiatives were included into the World Health Organization's National Mental Health Policy and guidelines, leading to enhanced service delivery and more public engagement in the program. An essential aspect of health initiatives is the user of services. This research indicates that public-private partnerships (PPP) are essential for the rapid growth of mental health services, facilitating issue resolution and enhancing public engagement in the program. Recognizing

the attributes of the population and nongovernmental organizations delivering services, as well as the quality and endeavors of local implementers, enhanced the quality and efficacy of the malaria prevention and control program, thereby establishing it as a model for other disease prevention initiatives. This research indicated that the government is the primary catalyst for reforming the health system regarding participation, and the development process should be founded on consensus, with a priority on participatory services (26). These procedures need continuous communication, assessment, and collaborative coordination among program partners. The Washington State Health System Participation Survey indicated enhanced services, superior quality of care outcomes, and greater access to treatment via increased and improved engagement with stakeholders (18, 24). No universal model exists for involvement in the health sector; hence, the formulation of national health policy and the engagement of other sectors differ based on a country's developmental status and socio-economic conditions. The formulation of policies suited to these circumstances rendered the delivery of mother and childcare by the private sector acceptable to the public, resulting in a beneficial enhancement of child health and reproductive health indicators (4, 27).

The availability of participatory services in nations varies based on their developmental status. In vaccination services, the nongovernmental sector in low-income countries endeavors to enhance access to vaccines, whereas middle- and high-income countries focus on developing new vaccines and services that are not universally available in the public sector, acting as facilitators for these innovations (28). Furthermore, within the vaccination program and engagement with the private sector, the nations examined had established commendable participation policies; nevertheless, relevant information was not disseminated, resulting in 50% of the private sector being uninformed of these policies. Enhancing awareness and transparency of duties has augmented performance and immunization rates. The reduction of disparity in vaccination promotion has been a deliberate and committed accomplishment, since individuals seek the private sector for several reasons, such as access to new vaccines, convenience, time efficiency, and enhanced respect and care (29-32).

A primary challenge of Public-Private Partnerships (PPP) was the limited influence of private partners in decision-making, resulting in diminished motivation within this sector. Therefore, fostering a dynamic relationship between the government and the private sector is essential for enhancing participation and facilitating decision-making in long-term planning. The establishment of social contracts to facilitate local involvement and deter the provision of parallel services would enhance equality, efficiency, and service quality. Furthermore, the implementation of PPP policies will enhance the government's service capacity, increase service coverage and benefits, promote reproductive health, and mitigate difficulties throughout the pregnancy, labor, delivery, and postnatal periods.

Public-private partnerships within the Indian healthcare system exemplify a social institution that leverages the benefits of both governmental and private sectors. These collaborations have enhanced the mechanisms for delivering health care while augmenting resource mobilization, countering the assertion that public-private partnerships often allocate money to tertiary services. Additional benefits of partnerships in primary healthcare services include enhanced service quality, decreased costs, the allocation of public resources based on demand, the prevention of redundant labor and services, the optimum selection of targeted methodologies, and the provision of services to people in need (19).

4. Discussion

The study's results demonstrated the efficacy of PPP in facilitating information and expertise sharing, endorsing public development, integrating resources, and maximizing efficiency, all aligned with a defined objective. Comparable joint ventures within the healthcare system exemplify the efficacy of collaborations that have successfully broadened access to and enhanced healthcare services, hence boosting overall performance. Case study reports in the healthcare sector indicate that a combinatory approach leveraging the strengths of various sectors can enhance service coverage for target populations, increase responsiveness, improve quality, achieve practical success, reduce costs, and ensure affordability, thereby facilitating greater access to services.

Shrivastava et al. (29) investigated the role of Public-Private Partnerships (PPP) in attaining UNAIDS/HIV treatment objectives in specific nations. Public-private collaboration in enhancing laboratory systems for disease management was seen as an exemplary example and chance to fulfill the objectives of UNAIDS. This collaboration gave several patients access to treatments in distant regions, yielding substantial savings in both costs and time, facilitating care for many newborns, and halving the waiting period for results. Although underscoring the importance of nonprofit sector involvement as a crucial ally in disease prevention, there is a pressing need to enhance laboratory capabilities. This aligns with the study findings on enhanced service accessibility, cost and time savings, and improved care metrics (29). Hamzi et al. (30) asserted that public-private partnerships (PPPs) positively influenced healthcare delivery, cost efficiency, and service effectiveness; these collaborations enhanced stakeholder satisfaction through improved access to breast cancer screenings, expanded dialysis services, and greater availability of AIDS policies and programs; they also diminished HIV infections and augmented the affordability and accessibility of methadone therapy, aligning with the findings of eight studies on enhanced accessibility (30).

In South Africa, NGOs supported the public health system in combating AIDS, highlighting the deficiencies of the governmental sector in delivering excellent services, especially in rural and underprivileged areas. Consequently, NGOs and other private entities are vital for public health initiatives that provide governmental aid in technical, financial, informational, and academic domains (31). Numerous factors can enhance the efficacy of partnership strategies, including the identification of demographic traits and essential attributes of a population, such as affluence, religion, and education, alongside the characteristics of executive bodies, service providers, and NGOs, which vary by region. Among the analyzed parameters, NGOs achieved superior outcomes despite their comparatively limited financial resources by effectively identifying and understanding their target audience (25). Research conducted in Russia by Gera and Rubtcova revealed that Russian residents often have difficulties in selecting between the public healthcare sector (which is free but operates slowly) and the private sector (which offers prompt and accessible services), with public-private partnerships seen as a tertiary choice. Their findings demonstrated the beneficial effects of PPP on healthcare services and the economic advancement of health plans, albeit the total mortality rate, as a conclusive measure, remained constant (32). From an economic standpoint, these conclusions align with the current study's results, despite the contradictory nature of the outcomes.

The public-private partnership has been used as a worldwide remedy for enhancing health care. Torchia et al. elucidate that Public-Private Partnerships (PPP), although effectively used to tackle health challenges at both national and international scales, depend on certain variables for success, including the involvement of partners, regulatory framework, infrastructure, procedures, and well-defined strategies (33). The results of this research demonstrate that there is no universal way for establishing PPPs, since approaches may differ based on the social, economic, political, and cultural contexts of a certain culture. This concept may be included into national agendas to enhance collaborations with the business sector. The first stage in guaranteeing the success of these collaborations is to discern diverse service patterns and the socio-economic conditions of the target population to enhance healthcare services and progress towards the overarching objective of universal health. The data on vaccination revealed disparities in services between high and middle-income countries and low-income countries. The for-profit private sector in low-income nations often delivers vaccination services and facilitates increased access to vaccines and immunization. Conversely, in middle-income nations, the private sector has advanced beyond standard services to include novel vaccines and technologies that have yet to be assimilated into the public sector. Consequently, the kind of services offered in any nation is contingent upon its income level (fundamental economic circumstances). Nonetheless, low-income nations have enhanced service quality by engaging with the private sector in education, financial assistance, coordination, and contractual agreements (26).

The tuberculosis epidemic exemplified the market's failure, resulting in budget deficits and inadequate resources for the prevention, diagnosis, and treatment of the illness. Shelby's research exemplified creative teamwork supported by the Lilly alliance, concentrating on local public health initiatives. The Lilly alliance used a multi-faceted public-private partnership strategy focused on the hurdles, gaps, and problems associated with tuberculosis specimens exhibiting resistance to nationally utilized medications,

implementing initiatives to address fundamental health needs. This underscores the importance and beneficial effects of collaborations in the healthcare sector. The Lilly cooperation is seen as a model of cost-effective strategies that successfully supported national and worldwide public-private projects (34). The suggested methodology in the program closely resembles the conceptual framework used in the polio eradication project, which serves as a model for strategies aimed at controlling and eliminating infectious illnesses.

Political circumstances represent an additional significant aspect influencing prospective cooperation in the health sector. Therefore, while devising the technique and nature of the partnership, it is advisable to pursue political endorsement and leverage, governmental objectives, as well as shared duty and accountability. Significant human resource concerns encountered globally stem from inadequate policies and strategies, discrepancies in supply and demand, quality issues, downsizing, and wrong allocation of personnel. In Indonesia, effective collaboration among donors, supported by political and governmental endorsements, was established, facilitating the coordinated planning and allocation of human resources for a Universal Health Coverage (UHC) initiative. This joint initiative successfully garnered worldwide backing for the advancement of human resources in the country by leveraging the financial and technical resources of both local and foreign firms. The situation in Indonesia exemplifies the efficacy of Public-Private Partnerships (PPP) in harnessing human, financial, and informational resources for structured collaborations facilitated by governmental backing (35).

5. Conclusions

Partnerships initiate diverse mechanisms for service provision and enhanced resource mobilization in healthcare, offering numerous benefits such as improved quality, lower costs, reallocation of public resources to address needs, diminished service redundancy, optimal methodologies, targeted services for low-income populations, and enhanced self-regulation and responsiveness (19). The benefits of Public-Private Partnerships (PPP) in healthcare are evident in the refurbishment of governmental medical facilities and the enhancement of public medical services via the effective execution of extensive infrastructure projects. These mechanisms are seen as strategic goals for the advancement of healthcare services, to which marketing, finance, and organizational strategies are integrated, all aimed at sustainable development (36).

Over the last two decades, several nations have modified their health systems, impacting all aspects of healthcare services. The new mechanisms need collaboration and engagement across several sectors. India has effectively integrated public-private partnerships to enhance fundamental health metrics, such as life expectancy and child mortality rates. A problem in these processes was ensuring enhancements in quality and equitable access to services for the public, addressed via the implementation of new and effective policies for improved service accessibility (37). The importance of PPP is unequivocally evident considering the many situations referenced. Nevertheless, the distinction becomes much more pronounced when the government is unable to independently fulfill the requisite quality requirements and necessitates the collaboration of other partners to enhance service coverage and quality.

Policymakers should recognize that a principal approach to achieving sustainable development and universal health is via public-private partnerships in the health sector. Numerous studies demonstrate the beneficial effects of partnerships in the health sector; however, the overall efficacy of the employed methods could be enhanced by acquiring insights into the local population, NGOs, and other collaborators, comprehending the relevant regulations and documentation regarding partnerships, and securing political and social backing. Health programs are destined for failure without the involvement of individuals. Governments can enhance the attainment of health objectives and improve outcomes via collaboration and partnerships. An effective relationship should be adaptable and dynamic, minimizing resource wastage and circumventing redundant services, so fostering synergistic advancement toward established objectives. Partnerships in planning significantly enhance the execution of plans; thus, it is crucial that partners be routinely engaged and informed throughout the health policy process. Furthermore, public-private partnerships may be strengthened by amalgamating private sector facilities with public sector resources

and by harmonizing the interests and objectives of service providers with public health goals. Increased knowledge of plans and information on the capabilities of both the public and private sectors is necessary, with intentional and reciprocal engagement between the parties, as well as oversight and monitoring systems essential for the synergistic execution of health programs.

The effects of Public-Private Partnerships (PPP) in the health sector have been mostly beneficial; in several instances, they have successfully achieved the intended objectives, enhanced service quality, improved accessibility and responsiveness, and shown overall cost-effectiveness. These partnerships alleviate some of the government's obligations, allowing it to concentrate on essential functions such as stewardship, policy-making, and supervision with enhanced focus and authority. The provision of primary health care is mostly the government's duty in most countries; hence, public-private partnerships (PPP) in this sector have shown positive outcomes. However, there is a paucity of published research on this topic compared to the treatment domain. Further study is recommended to ascertain the strengths and limitations of Public-Private Partnerships (PPP) in health programs and to use the discovered recommendations and approaches to enhance the efficacy of PPP. This study's limitations include the exclusion of publications published in languages other than English, since this was one of the inclusion criteria. Furthermore, the whole text of a restricted number of papers was unavailable, resulting in their removal from this research.

References

1. Pfeiffer J, Johnson W, Fort M, Shakow A, Hagopian A, Gloyd S, et al. Strengthening health systems in poor countries: a code of conduct for nongovernmental organizations. *Am J Public Health*. 2008;98(12):2134-40.
2. Rao P, Gabre-Kidan T, Mubangizi DB, Sulzbach S. Leveraging the private health sector to enhance HIV service delivery in lower-income countries. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr*. 2011;57 Suppl 2:S116-9.
3. Sirili N, Frumence G, Kiwara A, Mwangi M, Goicolea I, Hurtig AK. Public private partnership in the training of doctors after the 1990s' health sector reforms: the case of Tanzania. *Hum Resour Health*. 2019;17(1):33.
4. Kamugumya D, Olivier J. Health system's barriers hindering implementation of public-private partnership at the district level: a case study of partnership for improved reproductive and child health services provision in Tanzania. *BMC Health Serv Res*. 2016;16(1):596.
5. Buse K, Waxman A. Public-private health partnerships: a strategy for WHO. *Bull World Health Organ*. 2001;79(8):748-54.
6. Nossal GJ. Modern medicine and global communicable diseases: new partnerships for progress. *Aust N Z J Med*. 2000;30(2):267-71.
7. Ryan GK, Nwefoh E, Aguocha C, Ode PO, Okpoju SO, Ocheche P, et al. Partnership for the implementation of mental health policy in Nigeria: a case study of the Comprehensive Community Mental Health Programme in Benue State. *Int J Ment Health Syst*. 2020;14:10.
8. Abuzaine N, Brashers E, Foong S, Feachem R, Da Rita P. PPPs in healthcare: Models, lessons and trends for the future. 1st ed. San Francisco, USA: Institute for Global Health Sciences; 2018.
9. Nosrati M, Sadeghi H, Abdoli G, Agheli-Kohnehsahri L. [Applying public-private partnership investment model in health: Assessment of hospital readiness in Iran]. *Journal of Management and Medical Informatics School*. 2013;1(2):137-28. Persian.
10. Munn Z, Peters MDJ, Stern C, Tufanaru C, McArthur A, Aromataris E. Systematic review or scoping review? Guidance for authors when choosing between a systematic or scoping review approach. *BMC Med Res Methodol*. 2018;18(1):143.
11. Arksey H, O'Malley L. Scoping studies: towards a methodological framework. *Int J Soc Res Methodol*. 2005;8(1):19-32.
12. Peters MD, Godfrey CM, McInerney P, Soares CB, Khalil H, Parker D. The Joanna Briggs Institute reviewers' manual 2015: methodology for JBI scoping reviews. Australia: The Joanna Briggs Institute; 2015.
13. Finfgeld-Connett D. A Guide to Qualitative Meta-Synthesis. 1st ed. New York, USA: Routledge; 2018.

14. Nittas V, Mütsch M, Ehrler F, Puhan MA. Electronic patient-generated health data to facilitate prevention and health promotion: a scoping review protocol. *BMJ Open*. 2018;8(8). e021245.
15. Marshall M, Klazinga N, Leatherman S, Hardy C, Bergmann E, Pisco L, et al. OECD Health Care Quality Indicator Project. The expert panel on primary care prevention and health promotion. *Int J Qual Health Care*. 2006;18 Suppl 1:21-5.
16. Sheikh K, Porter J, Kielmann K, Rangan S. Public-private partnerships for equity of access to care for tuberculosis and HIV/AIDS: lessons from Pune, India. *Trans R Soc Trop Med Hyg*. 2006;100(4):312-20.
17. Ardian M, Meokbun E, Siburian L, Malonda E, Waramori G, Penttinen P, et al. A public-private partnership for TB control in Timika, Papua Province, Indonesia. *Int J Tuberc Lung Dis*. 2007;11(10):1101-7.
18. Silk H, Gusha J, Adler B, Leicher ES, Finison LJ, Huppert ME, et al. The Central Massachusetts Oral Health Initiative (CMOHI): a successful public-private community health collaboration. *J Public Health Dent*. 2010;70(4):308-12.
19. Pal R, Pal S. Primary health care and public-private partnership: An indian perspective. *Ann Trop Med Public Health*. 2009;2(2):46.
20. Levin A, Kaddar M. Role of the private sector in the provision of immunization services in low- and middle-income countries. *Health Policy Plan*. 2011;26 Suppl 1:i4-12.
21. Sood N, Wagner Z. For-profit sector immunization service provision: does low provision create a barrier to take-up? *Health Policy Plan*. 2013;28(7):730-8.
22. Grépin KA. Private Sector An Important But Not Dominant Provider Of Key Health Services In Low- And Middle-Income Countries. *Health Aff (Millwood)*. 2016;35(7):1214-21.
23. Montagu D, Goodman C, Berman P, Penn A, Visconti A. Recent trends in working with the private sector to improve basic healthcare: a review of evidence and interventions. *Health Policy Plan*. 2016;31(8):1117-32.
24. Weir V. A regional collaborative working to improve health care quality, outcomes, and affordability. *Int J Health Gov*. 2017;22(4):292-301.
25. Das A, Friedman J, Kandpal E. Does involvement of local NGOs enhance public service delivery? Cautionary evidence from a malaria-prevention program in India. *Health Econ*. 2018;27(1):172-88.
26. Amarasinghe A, Davison L, Diorditsa S. Engagement of private providers in immunization in the Western Pacific region. *Vaccine*. 2018;36(32 Pt B):4958-62.
27. Hossain J, Laterra A, Paul RR, Islam A, Ahmmed F, Sarker BK. Filling the human resource gap through public-private partnership: Can private, community-based skilled birth attendants improve maternal health service utilization and health outcomes in a remote region of Bangladesh? *PLoS One*. 2020;15(1). e0226923.
28. Awale J, Choudhary M, Solomon R, Chaturvedi A. Effective Partnership Mechanisms: A Legacy of the Polio Eradication Initiative in India and Their Potential for Addressing Other Public Health Priorities. *Am J Trop Med Hyg*. 2019;101(4_Suppl):21-32.
29. Shrivastava R, Fonjungo PN, Kebede Y, Bhimaraj R, Zavahir S, Mwangi C, et al. Role of public-private partnerships in achieving UNAIDS HIV treatment targets. *BMC Health Serv Res*. 2019;19(1):46.
30. Hamzi M, Hidayah N, Qistina N, Aidalina M. Overview of public private partnership in primary health care in Malaysia: a scoping review. *International Journal of Public Health and Clinical Sciences*. 2020;7(3):129-47.
31. Knijn T, Slabbert M. Transferring HIV/AIDS Related Healthcare from Non-governmental Organizations to the Public Healthcare System in South Africa: Opportunities and Challenges. *Soc Policy Adm*. 2012;46(6):636-53.
32. Gera K, Rubtcova M. Public-Private Partnership (PPP) in Health Care of Russia: sociological research. *Dilemas Contemporáneos: Educación, Política y Valores*. 2018;(3). Spanish.
33. Torchia M, Calabrò A, Morner M. Public-Private Partnerships in the Health Care Sector: A systematic review of the literature. *Public Manag Rev*. 2013;17(2):236-61.

34. Shelby PW, Lia MP, Israel A. Collaborative public-private initiatives targeting multidrug-resistant tuberculosis (MDR-TB) supported by the Lilly MDR-TB Partnership: experiences in 2012-2016. J Health Leadersh. 2017;9:47-57.
35. Kurniati A, Roskam E, Afzal MM, Suryowinoto TB, Mukti AG. Strengthening Indonesia's health workforce through partnerships. Public Health. 2015;129(9):1138-49.
36. Chopchik VD. Public-private partnership in health care-a tool for the development of public medical insitutions. J Educ Health Sport. 2019;9(10):358-62.
37. Tyagi A. Effectiveness of PPP Model in the Healthcare Sector of India: Challenges and Opportunities. Education Plus. 2020:82.

دور التطبيب عن بُعد في تخفيف الأعباء الإدارية في أنظمة الرعاية الصحية الريفية: مراجعة شاملة

الملخص

الخلفية: أصبح دمج خدمات التطبيب عن بُعد في بيئات الرعاية الصحية الريفية استراتيجية أساسية لتخفيف الأعباء الإدارية التي تواجه مقدمي الرعاية الصحية. غالبًا ما تعاني أنظمة الرعاية الصحية الريفية من نقص الموارد، وندرة الكوادر العاملة، وزيادة الطلب على الخدمات، وهي تحديات تفاقمت خلال الأزمات مثل جائحة كوفيد-19. تهدف هذه المراجعة إلى تقييم فعالية التطبيب عن بُعد في تقليل التحديات الإدارية وتحسين تقديم الخدمات في المجتمعات الريفية.

الطرق: تم استخدام منهجية المراجعة الاستكشافية لتحليل المقالات البحثية المحكمة، ودراسات الحالة، والتقارير المنشورة بين عامي 2000 و2023، والتي تناولت تأثير التطبيب عن بُعد على العمليات الإدارية في بيئات الرعاية الصحية الريفية. تضمنت المقاييس الرئيسية التي تم تقييمها توفير الوقت، تقليل الأعمال الورقية، تحسين وصول المرضى، ومستويات الرضا لدى مقدمي الرعاية الصحية والمرضى.

النتائج: أشارت النتائج إلى أن التطبيب عن بُعد يقلل بشكل كبير من الأعباء الإدارية من خلال تبسيط جدولة المواعيد، وتسهيل الاستشارات عن بُعد، وتقليل التأخيرات المتعلقة بالسفر. علاوة على ذلك، يعزز التطبيب عن بُعد مشاركة المرضى واستمرارية الرعاية، مما يؤدي إلى تحسين النتائج الصحية. وأفاد مقدمو الرعاية الصحية الريفية بزيادة الكفاءة، مما أتاح لهم التركيز بشكل أكبر على رعاية المرضى.

الخلاصة: تؤكد النتائج على الإمكانات التحويلية للتطبيب عن بُعد في أنظمة الرعاية الصحية الريفية. من خلال تخفيف الأعباء الإدارية، يحسن التطبيب عن بُعد كفاءة العمليات وجودة رعاية المرضى. ينبغي على صانعي السياسات ومسؤولي الرعاية الصحية إعطاء الأولوية لتطبيق وتوسيع خدمات التطبيب عن بُعد كحل قابل للتطبيق لمعالجة التحديات الفريدة التي تواجه مقدمي الرعاية الصحية في المناطق الريفية، وضمان وصول متكافئ إلى رعاية صحية عالية الجودة.

الكلمات المفتاحية: التطبيب عن بُعد، الرعاية الصحية الريفية، الأعباء الإدارية، تقديم الرعاية الصحية، وصول المرضى.