



## Improving the Quality of Healthcare and Reducing Costs Through the Prevention of Medical Errors and Complications

<sup>1</sup>Ali Hassan Amer Harisi,<sup>2</sup>Mohammad Ali Al Fheid,<sup>3</sup>Sahar Abdulhameed Abdulraheem Alradhwan,<sup>4</sup> Khaled Helal Alharbi,<sup>5</sup> Sultan Theeb Alotaibi,<sup>6</sup> Lubna Alawi Aldrees,<sup>7</sup> Hamad Awad Alrasheedi,<sup>8</sup> Ibrahim Hussain Bin Fahad,<sup>9</sup> Ahmed Hassan Ahmed Alshehri,<sup>10</sup>Dr.Luai Khalil Ghandoorah,<sup>11</sup>Ahlam Fahad Mohammed Alzaaq,<sup>12</sup>Hajer Fraih F Alenizi,<sup>13</sup>Fatimah Mohamad Ali Alzaher,<sup>14</sup>Ali Mana Hadi Alshahi,<sup>15</sup>Mustafa Najeeb Abbas Almakki.

1. Epidemiologist Health Tathleeth Sector
2. Occupational Therapist Dammam Medical Complex
3. Endocrinologist And Internist Dammam Medical Complex
4. Socil Worker Al Bukayriyah General Hospital
5. Epdimiologiist Albijadiyah Hospital
6. Obstetric And Gynacology Qateef Central Hospital
7. Specialist -Sociology Al-Bukayriyah General Hospital
8. Technican - Public Health Alathrya Health Centre
9. Health Care Security Alqreeha
10. Family Medicine Resident Assistant Executive Management For Community Health Third Health Cluster Riyadh
11. Technician-Health Informatics Diabetes And Endocrinology Center, Buraydah
12. Technician-Health Informatics Diabetes And Endocrinology Center, Buraydah
13. Medical Secretary Maternity And Children Hospital-Damam
14. Specialist-Health Administration Eligibility Treatment And Medical Coordination Najran
15. Health Management Technician Princ Sultan Cardic Center | Alhasa

**Received:** 13 october 2023 **Revised:** 27 November 2023 **Accepted:** 11 December 2023

### Chapter 1: Introduction to Healthcare Quality and Costs

Healthcare systems worldwide strive to deliver high-quality care while maintaining financial sustainability. Quality in healthcare refers to patient-centered, timely, and equitable services that achieve optimal outcomes. However, balancing quality with affordability remains a significant challenge. Preventable medical errors and complications drive up costs and undermine patient trust, highlighting the need for systemic improvements (Macedo et al., 2020). Healthcare organizations are now adopting Patient Safety Culture (PSC) principles to align safety initiatives with cost containment goals. This dynamic approach involves leadership commitment, resource allocation, and fostering open communication to enhance overall care delivery and financial performance (Eliyana et al., 2020).

Medical errors and complications are critical concerns in healthcare systems. Errors, often caused by systemic failures, include diagnostic inaccuracies, medication mishaps, and surgical mistakes (Querstret et al., 2020). Complications arising from these errors lead to extended hospital stays, increased costs, and patient harm. Historically, errors were treated as individual failings, but recent shifts emphasize their systemic nature (Jerg-Bretzke et al., 2020). Establishing a culture of safety, underpinned by transparency and teamwork, is essential for reducing errors and improving patient outcomes (Reynolds et al., 2022). A robust PSC encourages reporting and learning from mistakes, fostering continuous improvement across healthcare systems.

Globally, medical errors are a significant cause of preventable harm, accounting for substantial morbidity and mortality. In the United States, errors contribute to approximately 250,000 deaths annually, ranking as a leading cause of death (Shin & Shin, 2020). The economic burden is immense, with direct and indirect costs, such as prolonged hospitalizations and malpractice claims, exceeding billions of dollars annually (Newman et al., 2020). Low-resource settings face unique challenges, such as inadequate access to safety protocols and training. International frameworks, such as those from the WHO, aim to standardize safety practices and reduce these economic and health impacts (Xing, Sun & Jepsen, 2021).

Patient safety is central to healthcare quality, directly influencing outcomes, trust, and costs. A strong safety culture promotes adherence to evidence-based practices, reducing errors and associated complications (Darling-Hammond et al., 2020). PSC principles prioritize non-punitive reporting systems, fostering an environment where healthcare professionals feel empowered to address risks proactively (Querstret et al., 2020). By embedding safety into the organizational framework, healthcare systems achieve better outcomes, shorter hospital stays, and cost savings, ultimately benefiting patients and providers alike (Uwannah, Onyekachi & Filade, 2021).

Improving healthcare quality often requires investments in infrastructure, technology, and training, but these initiatives yield long-term cost savings. Preventable errors inflate healthcare spending without improving outcomes, highlighting the inefficiencies of poor-quality care (Reynolds et al., 2022). Safety measures, such as checklists and error reporting systems, demonstrate how quality improvement aligns with cost reduction (Spagnoli et al., 2020). Moreover, organizations with robust PSC frameworks experience lower incidences of adverse events, showcasing the economic benefits of prioritizing patient safety (Nyanyiwa, Peters & Murphy, 2022).

Despite advancements, healthcare systems face barriers to achieving high-quality, cost-effective care. Resistance to change, resource limitations, and inadequate communication hinder progress (Zarrin, Gracia & Paixão, 2020). However, emerging technologies, such as real-time monitoring systems and predictive analytics, offer opportunities to address these challenges (Yun, Lim & Choi, 2020). Collaboration among stakeholders, including leadership, staff, and policymakers, is essential for overcoming these obstacles and sustaining improvements in healthcare quality and safety (Tajalli et al., 2021).

Preventable medical errors impose significant human and financial costs. For example, extended hospital stays and repeated procedures drive up expenses, while litigation and reputational damage compound financial losses (Eliyana et al., 2020). Investments in error prevention, such as leadership training and technological integration, lead to measurable cost savings (Shin & Shin, 2020). Hospitals with a strong PSC report lower incidences of adverse events, demonstrating the economic and operational value of prioritizing patient safety (Newman et al., 2020).

The primary objective of error prevention is to create safer healthcare systems that enhance outcomes and reduce costs. By fostering a culture of safety, organizations can proactively address risks and implement corrective measures (Jerg-Bretzke et al., 2020). Key strategies include adopting High-Reliability Organization (HRO) principles and leveraging frameworks like the Swiss Cheese Model to detect and mitigate errors (Tajalli et al., 2021). The ultimate goal is to achieve sustainable improvements in patient safety, organizational efficiency, and financial performance (Spagnoli et al., 2020).

Leadership plays a pivotal role in advancing healthcare quality and safety. Effective leaders prioritize safety initiatives, allocate resources, and foster open communication (Uwannah, Onyekachi & Filade, 2021). Regulatory bodies, such as The Joint Commission and WHO, have established safety guidelines to standardize practices and ensure accountability (Xing, Sun & Jepsen, 2021). These efforts, combined with leadership commitment, ensure that safety goals are integrated into healthcare systems, driving continuous improvement and cost efficiency (Kim & Sim, 2020).

This review highlights the interconnectedness of healthcare quality, patient safety, and costs. By examining the role of PSC in mitigating errors, the chapter underscores the importance of fostering a culture of safety across all levels of healthcare organizations (Reynolds et al., 2022). Subsequent chapters will delve deeper

into systemic failures, innovative solutions, and the role of technology in creating safer, more effective healthcare systems. The insights presented aim to guide stakeholders in implementing strategies that enhance patient outcomes while achieving financial sustainability (Nyanyiwa, Peters & Murphy, 2022).

## Chapter 2: Causes and Contributors to Medical Errors

Medical errors refer to preventable events that may cause or lead to inappropriate medical outcomes, including harm to patients. They occur at any stage of healthcare delivery, such as diagnosis, treatment, and aftercare (Holland, 2019). Diagnostic errors, for example, involve misdiagnosis or delayed diagnosis, while procedural errors occur during surgical or clinical interventions (Lee et al., 2020). Medication-related errors, including incorrect prescriptions or dosages, are also common. These errors arise not from intentional acts but from flaws in systems or decision-making, underscoring their preventability and the critical need for robust healthcare processes (Khosravi, Ghiasi & Ganjali, 2021).

Medical errors are broadly categorized into diagnostic, therapeutic, preventive, and other errors. Diagnostic errors include missed, delayed, or incorrect diagnoses, affecting timely treatment (Siyal et al., 2020). Therapeutic errors involve mistakes during procedures or surgeries, such as wrong-site surgeries (Syahrina & Mutya, 2023). Preventive errors, such as inadequate follow-ups or incorrect screenings, hinder disease management. Additionally, communication failures and administrative errors, like misfiled patient data, also contribute. Understanding these categories aids in identifying patterns and implementing specific preventive measures (World Alliance for Patient Safety, 2021).

Human factors are a significant cause of medical errors, often stemming from fatigue, stress, or lack of knowledge. Overworked healthcare providers may experience burnout, leading to impaired judgment and decision-making (Yuniati & Sitinjak, 2022). Communication breakdowns, such as unclear instructions between team members, also increase risks. Cognitive biases, including anchoring or confirmation bias, further contribute to diagnostic errors. Addressing these issues through improved training and support systems is essential to mitigate risks (Fernández-Salineró & Topa, 2020).

Systemic issues within healthcare organizations play a critical role in medical errors. These include inadequate staffing levels, lack of standardized protocols, and poorly designed workflows (Zurman, Hoffmann & Ruff-Stahl, 2019). For instance, an inefficient reporting system can delay error detection, exacerbating harm. Fragmentation of care, where multiple providers lack cohesive communication, further amplifies risks. A focus on systemic improvements, such as integrated health IT systems and workflow redesigns, is vital to reducing errors (Ramos et al., 2020).

Environmental factors, such as poor facility design, noise, or interruptions during critical tasks, contribute significantly to errors. In high-stress environments like emergency rooms, distractions can lead to mistakes in medication administration or surgical procedures (Zwedberg, Alnervik & Barimani, 2021). Moreover, inadequate lighting or overcrowded spaces may impair performance and patient safety. Creating optimized environments that support healthcare providers' focus and efficiency can significantly reduce such errors (Segev, 2019).

The complications resulting from medical errors range from minor inconveniences to severe, life-threatening outcomes. Patients may experience adverse drug reactions, infections, or prolonged hospital stays (Adel et al., 2021). In some cases, errors result in permanent disability or death. Beyond physical harm, errors can also cause psychological distress, eroding trust in the healthcare system. Recognizing these complications highlights the critical need for preventive measures and quality assurance practices (Kim, Jillapali & Boyd, 2021).

Medical errors profoundly affect patients and their families. The physical and emotional toll, coupled with financial burdens from extended treatments or legal actions, can be devastating (Chang et al., 2020). Errors undermine trust in healthcare providers and institutions, leading to hesitancy in seeking future care. Patient advocacy groups emphasize the importance of transparent communication and remedial actions to rebuild trust and provide justice for affected individuals (Holland, 2019).

Healthcare providers involved in medical errors often experience guilt, shame, and emotional distress, a phenomenon known as the "second victim" effect (Lee et al., 2020). This psychological impact may lead to burnout, reduced job satisfaction, and even resignation. Addressing this requires a supportive environment, where providers can report errors without fear of retribution and access counseling or professional guidance to cope effectively (Khosravi, Ghiasi & Ganjali, 2021).

At the systemic level, medical errors result in significant financial and reputational costs. Prolonged patient stays, additional treatments, and legal settlements increase healthcare expenditures (Syahrina & Mutya, 2023). Reputational damage can lead to reduced patient trust and lower utilization of services. Furthermore, errors can strain resources, affecting the overall efficiency of healthcare systems. Proactively addressing these issues can prevent cascading failures and ensure sustainable operations (Fernández-Salineró & Topa, 2020).

Several high-profile cases highlight the consequences of medical errors. For example, the tragic death of a patient due to a wrong-site surgery in the United States led to widespread awareness and policy changes (Brown, Kraimer & Bratton, 2019). In another case, a medication error involving incorrect dosages caused fatalities, sparking global initiatives to improve drug administration practices. Such cases underscore the critical need for vigilance, accountability, and systemic reforms in healthcare (Zurman, Hoffmann & Ruff-Stahl, 2019).

High-profile cases reveal the importance of fostering a culture of safety and accountability in healthcare (Kim, Jillapali & Boyd, 2021). They emphasize the need for robust error-reporting systems, continuous staff training, and technology integration, such as barcoding for medications or electronic surgical checklists. These measures not only prevent similar errors but also create learning opportunities to enhance overall safety and quality standards (Chang et al., 2020).

Understanding the causes and consequences of medical errors is the first step toward prevention. A multi-faceted approach, encompassing human factors, systemic improvements, and environmental optimization, is essential (Siyal et al., 2020). Healthcare organizations must prioritize safety through proactive measures, such as adopting evidence-based practices, promoting transparency, and engaging patients in their care. By doing so, the healthcare industry can minimize errors, improve outcomes, and build trust in the system (World Alliance for Patient Safety, 2021).

### Chapter 3: Strategies for Preventing Medical Errors

Evidence-based medicine (EBM) involves integrating clinical expertise with the best available research evidence to guide decision-making and minimize medical errors. By relying on proven practices, healthcare providers can reduce variability and improve outcomes (Afota, Robert & Vandenberghe, 2021). For instance, clinical guidelines developed through rigorous evidence ensure consistent treatment protocols, reducing the likelihood of errors. Furthermore, regular updates to evidence-based guidelines incorporate the latest research, allowing organizations to adapt to emerging risks. EBM also supports predictive tools, enabling early identification of complications. By fostering a culture of learning and adopting EBM, healthcare systems align care delivery with the best practices, thereby enhancing patient safety and minimizing preventable harm (Even, 2020).

Electronic Health Records (EHR) streamline access to patient information, reducing miscommunication and errors associated with manual documentation (Jiang et al., 2019). EHR systems allow healthcare providers to review patient histories, allergies, and medications in real-time, minimizing adverse drug interactions or redundant tests. Decision support tools integrated into EHRs further enhance clinical judgment by providing alerts for potential errors, such as incorrect dosages. Automation of prescription processes also reduces transcription errors, a common issue in manual record-keeping. EHRs promote transparency, enabling better communication among multidisciplinary teams and ensuring continuity of care. With continuous improvements, these systems can significantly contribute to error prevention and better patient outcomes.

AI-powered tools and decision support systems (DSS) play a critical role in error prevention by analyzing complex datasets and providing actionable insights (*Baris, Intepeler & Unal, 2023*). AI algorithms assist in identifying patterns that might indicate early warning signs of errors or adverse events. For example, machine learning models can predict patient deterioration, enabling timely interventions. DSS, on the other hand, provides clinicians with real-time recommendations based on patient-specific data, ensuring accurate diagnoses and treatment plans. Integrating these technologies into clinical workflows enhances precision, reduces cognitive burden on providers, and mitigates the risk of errors, particularly in high-pressure environments.

Process improvement methodologies like Six Sigma and Lean aim to enhance healthcare efficiency and reduce variability, thereby minimizing errors (*Moghadari-Koosha et al., 2020*). Six Sigma focuses on identifying and eliminating defects in processes, while Lean emphasizes waste reduction and streamlined workflows. For instance, Lean principles can be applied to reduce patient wait times, preventing rushed care that may lead to errors. Standardized processes, such as safety checklists, ensure adherence to protocols and reduce omissions in critical procedures. By fostering a culture of continuous improvement, these methodologies drive safer and more reliable care delivery.

Safety checklists are simple yet powerful tools for error prevention, ensuring that all necessary steps are completed during medical procedures (*Ismail, 2021*). For example, surgical safety checklists have been shown to significantly reduce complications by improving team communication and verifying critical actions. Standardization of processes, such as using uniform labels for medications, further reduces variability and confusion. By embedding checklists into daily workflows, healthcare providers can maintain consistency, prevent oversights, and enhance patient safety.

Continuous training and education empower healthcare professionals to stay updated on best practices and emerging risks (*Liu et al., 2019*). Simulation-based training, for instance, allows teams to practice handling complex scenarios in a controlled environment, improving preparedness and collaboration. Additionally, interprofessional education fosters understanding of roles and responsibilities, reducing communication gaps. Regular workshops and online modules ensure that providers remain skilled and informed, thereby reducing the likelihood of errors in real-world settings.

Patient engagement is a critical component of error prevention, as informed patients can actively participate in their care and identify potential issues (*Cherkasov et al., 2019*). For example, encouraging patients to double-check their medications or verify their treatment plans promotes accountability and reduces errors. Shared decision-making, where patients collaborate with providers to choose treatments, enhances satisfaction and ensures alignment with patient preferences. By fostering a partnership between patients and providers, healthcare systems can improve outcomes and reduce preventable harm.

Leadership commitment is pivotal in driving safety initiatives and fostering a culture of accountability (*Ghafouri et al., 2022*). Leaders must prioritize transparency, allocate resources for safety programs, and actively address reported issues. Visible leadership, such as participating in safety rounds, reinforces the importance of safety culture. Additionally, empowering staff through recognition and support encourages open reporting of errors and near-misses. Strong leadership ensures that safety remains a core organizational value, driving sustained improvements in error prevention.

Effective communication is fundamental to error prevention, particularly during transitions of care (*Dedahanov, Bozorov & Sung, 2019*). Structured communication tools like SBAR (Situation, Background, Assessment, Recommendation) standardize information exchange, reducing miscommunication. Regular team huddles and debriefings provide opportunities to address concerns and align on safety goals. By fostering open dialogue, healthcare organizations can build trust among team members and ensure that critical information is conveyed accurately, reducing the likelihood of errors.

Non-punitive reporting systems encourage staff to disclose errors and near-misses without fear of retaliation (*Cinar, 2019*). These systems focus on learning from incidents rather than assigning blame, fostering a culture of transparency and continuous improvement. For instance, analyzing near-miss reports

can reveal underlying system vulnerabilities, such as workflow inefficiencies or communication gaps. By addressing these issues proactively, healthcare organizations can prevent similar errors from occurring in the future, creating safer environments for patients.

Near-misses provide valuable insights into potential risks and system weaknesses (*Jiang et al., 2019*). For example, a near-miss involving incorrect medication labeling may highlight flaws in storage practices. Organizations that promote near-miss reporting can address these vulnerabilities early, preventing harm before it occurs. Encouraging a culture of vigilance and learning from near-misses strengthens safety practices and fosters continuous improvement.

Preventing medical errors requires a multi-faceted approach that integrates evidence-based medicine, technology, process improvements, and education (*Gupta, Shaheen & Das, 2019*). By combining these strategies with strong leadership, effective communication, and patient engagement, healthcare organizations can create a proactive safety culture. Regular assessments and data-driven improvements ensure that these strategies remain effective, driving better outcomes and reducing preventable harm. With a commitment to continuous learning and collaboration, healthcare systems can achieve sustainable error prevention and deliver safer care.

#### Chapter 4: The Financial Impact of Medical Errors and Cost-Reduction Strategies

Medical errors impose significant financial burdens on healthcare systems, resulting in both direct and indirect costs (*Abd El Rahman et al., 2022*). Direct costs include expenses related to extended hospital stays, additional treatments, and legal liabilities, while indirect costs encompass lost productivity, reduced trust in healthcare facilities, and reputational damage. For example, treating preventable infections such as catheter-associated urinary tract infections (CAUTIs) and surgical site infections (SSIs) can cost hospitals millions annually. By implementing proactive error prevention strategies, organizations can reduce these costs while improving patient outcomes (*Mauro, 2022*).

Investing in error prevention yields substantial economic benefits for healthcare organizations. Proactive measures, such as improving discharge planning and infection control protocols, lower readmission rates and prevent costly complications (*Khalid et al., 2021*). For instance, hospitals that prioritize patient safety culture (PSC) report fewer medication errors, which translates to cost savings from reduced adverse events and hospital-acquired conditions (*Kim & Gatling, 2019*). These savings can be reinvested into further safety initiatives, creating a cycle of improvement.

Case studies highlight how safety-focused interventions can reduce costs while improving outcomes. For example, a hospital implementing surgical safety checklists reported a 30% reduction in surgical complications, saving significant resources in postoperative care (*Yoon et al., 2020*). Another example involves early warning systems in intensive care units, which detected deteriorating conditions early and reduced preventable deaths and associated costs (*Gawad, 2022*). These examples demonstrate the tangible financial impact of integrating safety protocols into clinical workflows.

Quality improvement initiatives often yield impressive returns on investment (ROI) for healthcare systems. For instance, investing in staff training and education programs leads to better adherence to safety protocols, reducing costly errors (*Hiver & Al-Hoorie, 2020*). Hospitals with strong PSC achieve higher ROI by minimizing legal settlements and reducing resource consumption for preventable complications. As safety improvements also enhance patient satisfaction and organizational reputation, the financial returns extend beyond direct cost savings.

Effective policies play a crucial role in supporting error prevention and cost reduction. Governments and healthcare regulators can incentivize the adoption of PSC by linking reimbursement rates to patient safety metrics (*Ko & Kang, 2019*). Policies mandating safety audits, reporting systems, and standardized protocols ensure consistent implementation of preventive measures across facilities. These policies not only improve patient outcomes but also reduce financial strain on healthcare systems by prioritizing error prevention.

Healthcare insurance providers have a vested interest in promoting error prevention as it directly affects claim costs. Insurers increasingly advocate for safety practices, such as infection control and medication

management, by offering reduced premiums to facilities with strong PSC (*Eslamlou, Karatepe & Uner, 2021*). Additionally, insurance companies may refuse to cover costs associated with preventable errors, incentivizing healthcare organizations to adopt robust safety measures.

Hospital-acquired infections (HAIs) are among the most costly complications in healthcare. By fostering a PSC that emphasizes proper hand hygiene, sterilization, and timely removal of invasive devices, organizations can significantly reduce HAIs (*Abd El Rahman et al., 2022*). The financial savings from such preventive measures are considerable, as treating HAIs often involves expensive antibiotic regimens and prolonged hospital stays.

Preventing readmissions is a critical component of cost-effective healthcare. Organizations with strong PSC focus on effective discharge planning, patient education, and follow-up care to reduce readmission rates (*Khalid et al., 2021*). For instance, ensuring that patients understand their medication regimens and receive proper support post-discharge minimizes the likelihood of complications and costly readmissions (*Aklil et al., 2021*).

Preventable deaths not only represent a human tragedy but also impose significant economic costs. Hospitals with high PSC scores implement early warning systems and evidence-based practices that reduce mortality rates (*Yoon et al., 2020*). By preventing delays in care and addressing critical conditions early, these organizations save on intensive care resources and improve survival outcomes.

Patient satisfaction is closely linked to safety and quality, which in turn influence financial performance. High satisfaction rates improve hospital ratings and patient retention, generating higher revenue (*Raeissi et al., 2019*). For example, patients who perceive their care as safe and well-coordinated are more likely to recommend the facility, attracting more clients and reducing the costs associated with acquiring new patients.

Strong PSC also positively impacts staff morale, reducing turnover rates and associated recruitment and training costs (*Al-Turfi & Al-Jubouri, 2022*). Healthcare organizations that prioritize safety create supportive environments where employees feel valued and engaged, leading to higher retention. Retaining experienced staff ensures continuity of care and reduces the financial burden of replacing skilled workers.

Burnout among healthcare professionals is a hidden cost of poor safety practices. Facilities with robust PSC reduce burnout by fostering teamwork, manageable workloads, and supportive leadership (*Spilg et al., 2022*). By preventing burnout, organizations not only improve staff well-being but also enhance efficiency and reduce absenteeism, ultimately lowering operational costs.

A strong PSC fosters a feedback loop of continuous improvement, where reduced errors lead to cost savings, improved outcomes, and higher satisfaction (*Talebian et al., 2022*). This dynamic creates a self-sustaining system where resources can be reinvested into further safety initiatives. As safety becomes ingrained in organizational culture, the cumulative effects amplify financial and clinical benefits.

Error prevention is a cornerstone of sustainable healthcare systems. By reducing unnecessary expenditures and improving resource allocation, facilities can operate more efficiently and serve larger patient populations without compromising quality (*Abe & Chikoko, 2020*). Preventive measures also align with global healthcare goals of cost containment and equitable care delivery. By prioritizing safety, organizations can achieve financial sustainability while enhancing patient trust and outcomes.

## Chapter 5: Future Directions and Innovations in Preventing Medical Errors

The healthcare landscape is rapidly evolving with innovative approaches to quality improvement. Organizations are adopting patient-centric models that emphasize shared decision-making and personalized care (*Yu, Guan & Zhang, 2019; Nanjundeswaraswamy, 2021*). Digital tools, such as telemedicine and wearable health devices, enable real-time monitoring and early intervention, significantly enhancing care quality. Furthermore, interdisciplinary collaborations are on the rise, fostering comprehensive care delivery. These trends not only improve patient outcomes but also reduce errors by addressing care gaps

through teamwork and technology (Vikstrom & Johansson, 2019). Healthcare leaders must embrace these advancements to create adaptable systems that prioritize safety and efficiency.

AI is revolutionizing healthcare by analyzing large datasets to predict and prevent errors (Huang et al., 2020; Twidwell, Dial & Fehr, 2022). Machine learning models identify patterns in patient data, allowing early detection of risks such as sepsis or adverse drug reactions. Predictive analytics integrated into electronic health records (EHRs) provides clinicians with real-time alerts, enabling timely interventions. While AI enhances decision-making, its successful implementation requires collaboration between IT teams and clinicians to ensure accuracy and usability (Canu, 2023; Sengul & Seyfi, 2020). AI's potential to shift healthcare from reactive to preventive models marks a transformative step in reducing medical errors.

Big data analytics plays a crucial role in understanding and mitigating risks (Twidwell, Dial & Fehr, 2022; Faisal, Naushad & Faridi, 2020). By aggregating data from various sources, including EHRs, incident reports, and patient feedback, healthcare organizations can uncover trends that contribute to errors. For example, analytics can identify high-risk procedures or patient populations, guiding targeted interventions. Real-time dashboards powered by big data provide actionable insights, enabling continuous improvement. The integration of analytics into safety strategies ensures data-driven decision-making, reducing errors and improving overall care quality (Vasconcelos et al., 2019; Gillet et al., 2021).

Strong leadership is essential for fostering a safety culture (Badawy, 2021; Canu, 2023). Leaders must model safety-first behaviors, engage in open discussions about errors, and ensure accountability at all organizational levels. Transparent communication and regular safety briefings build trust and reinforce the importance of error reporting. Leadership training programs focused on patient safety principles equip leaders to drive cultural change effectively. By prioritizing safety over operational pressures, leaders inspire a shared commitment to reducing errors and improving outcomes (Yu, Guan & Zhang, 2019; Vikstrom & Johansson, 2019).

Leaders must take an active role in safety initiatives, ensuring that resources and policies align with patient safety goals (Badawy, 2021; Faisal, Naushad & Faridi, 2020). Participating in safety rounds and recognizing staff contributions to safety efforts demonstrates their commitment. Multidisciplinary safety committees provide platforms for frontline staff to voice concerns and suggest improvements (King, 2021; Parizad et al., 2021). Leadership accountability fosters a cohesive approach to error prevention, creating a culture where safety is a shared responsibility across the organization.

Effective policies are critical for promoting patient safety. Governments and regulatory bodies must establish standards for safety practices, such as mandatory error reporting and adherence to evidence-based protocols (Sengul & Seyfi, 2020; Canu, 2023). Policies linking reimbursement rates to safety metrics incentivize organizations to prioritize error prevention. Furthermore, regular audits and accreditation processes ensure compliance and continuous improvement (Badawy, 2021; Nanjundeswaraswamy, 2021). These measures create a structured framework for healthcare organizations to enhance safety and reduce errors.

Policymakers play a pivotal role in driving systemic improvements in patient safety (Faisal, Naushad & Faridi, 2020; Nanjundeswaraswamy, 2021). They should allocate funding for safety research, technology adoption, and workforce training. Legislating the use of standardized safety tools, such as checklists and communication frameworks, ensures consistency across healthcare settings. Policymakers must also promote transparency by supporting non-punitive error reporting systems, which encourage learning and improvement rather than blame (Yu, Guan & Zhang, 2019; King, 2021).

Providers must actively engage in safety initiatives by participating in training, adhering to protocols, and reporting errors (Parizad et al., 2021; Fentaw, Moges & Ismail, 2022). Interdisciplinary teamwork and effective communication are essential for reducing errors and improving outcomes. Providers should leverage technology, such as EHRs and predictive analytics, to enhance decision-making. Continuous education ensures that providers stay updated on emerging safety practices, empowering them to contribute to a safer care environment (Faisal, Naushad & Faridi, 2020; Sengul & Seyfi, 2020).

Patients play a crucial role in their own safety. Encouraging patients to ask questions, verify treatments, and understand their care plans reduces misunderstandings and errors (*Parizad et al., 2021; Canu, 2023*). Engaging patients in shared decision-making fosters trust and improves adherence to treatment. Providing resources, such as educational materials and support groups, empowers patients to take an active role in their care (*Vikstrom & Johansson, 2019; King, 2021*).

A sustainable healthcare system prioritizes safety, quality, and cost-effectiveness (*Sengul & Seyfi, 2020; Vikstrom & Johansson, 2019*). Integrating technology, fostering collaboration, and investing in workforce development are key strategies. Preventive care and early intervention reduce the burden of chronic diseases and complications (*Yu, Guan & Zhang, 2019; Huang et al., 2020*). By aligning safety initiatives with financial sustainability goals, organizations can deliver high-quality care while optimizing resources.

Healthcare systems must remain adaptable to evolving challenges, such as technological advancements or policy changes (*Jalili et al., 2021; Gillet et al., 2021*). Regular assessments using tools like safety culture surveys ensure that initiatives align with current needs. Engaging staff in feedback loops fosters resilience and encourages innovation (*Faisal, Naushad & Faridi, 2020; Badawy, 2021*). Continuous learning ensures that safety practices evolve alongside the healthcare landscape, maintaining their relevance and effectiveness.

Technology is central to advancing patient safety. Tools such as mobile reporting apps, secure messaging platforms, and telehealth systems streamline communication and coordination (*Gillet et al., 2021; Sengul & Seyfi, 2020*). Artificial intelligence enhances error detection and prevention, while EHRs centralize patient information for improved decision-making. Training staff to effectively use these tools maximizes their potential to enhance safety culture (*Huang et al., 2020; Twidwell, Dial & Fehr, 2022*).

Regular measurement of safety culture ensures accountability and guides improvement efforts (*Svardal et al., 2020; King, 2021*). Surveys, such as the Hospital Survey on Patient Safety Culture (HSOPSC), provide insights into organizational strengths and weaknesses. Key performance indicators (KPIs), such as error reporting rates and patient satisfaction scores, track progress and inform targeted interventions (*Parizad et al., 2021; Ohnishi et al., 2019*). Continuous monitoring ensures that safety remains a dynamic and evolving priority.

Resilience is critical for sustaining safety initiatives amid challenges such as staffing shortages or financial constraints (*Clark, Smith & Haynes, 2020; Yu, Guan & Zhang, 2019*). Leadership engagement, staff empowerment, and adaptive strategies foster resilience in healthcare systems. Regular training, transparent communication, and investment in technology ensure that safety initiatives withstand external pressures. A resilient healthcare system consistently prioritizes patient and staff well-being, achieving long-term safety and quality goals (*Faisal, Naushad & Faridi, 2020; King, 2021*).

## References

1. Abd El Rahman, S., Ali, H., Ali, R., Mohamed, A. (2022): Effect of Organizational Cynicism on Quality of Work Life and Employee Effectiveness among Nursing Staff. *Minia Scientific Nursing Journal*. 11 (1), 2785-9797.
2. Abd El-Salam, A. I., Metwally, F. G., & Abdeen, M. A. (2022): Academic Procrastination and Self-control of Faculty Nursing Students. *Zagazig Nursing Journal*, 18(2), 15-29.
3. Abdillah, H. Z., Rahman, F., Husna, M., Sitinjak, C., Hidayah, N., & Mujidin, M. (2022): School well-being in terms of self-determination and patience in vocational high school students. *International Journal of Islamic Educational Psychology*, 3(1), 19-34 .
4. Abe, E. N., & Chikoko, V. (2020): Exploring the factors that influence the career decision of STEM students at a university in South Africa. *International Journal of STEM Education*, 7(1), 1-14.
5. Adel, " E., Lofmark, " A., Pålsson, Y., Mårtensson, G., Engstrom, " M., Lindberg, M., 2021: Health-promoting and impeding aspects of using peer-learning during clinical practice education: a qualitative study. *Nurse Educ. Pract.* 55, 103169.

6. Afota, M. C., Robert, V., & Vandenberghe, C. (2021): The interactive effect of leader-member exchange and psychological climate for overwork on subordinate workaholism and job strain. *European Journal of Work and Organizational Psychology*, 30(4), 495-509.
7. Akinbadewa, B. O., & Sofowora, O. A. (2020): The effectiveness of multimedia instructional learning packages in enhancing secondary school students' attitudes toward Biology. *International Journal on Studies in Education*, 2(2), 119-133.
8. Aklil, M., Perizade, B., Hanafi, A., & Bemby, B. (2021): The Effect of Resonant Leadership on Work Engagement through Ethnic Culture in Pangkalpinang City Civil Servants. *Italienisch*, 11(2), 358-371.
9. Al-Turfi, M. K. & Al-Jubouri, M. B. (2022): "Effect of moral distress on decision making among nurses in intensive care units," *Pakistan Journal of Medical and Health Sciences*, vol. 16, no. 3, pp. 915-918.
10. Badawy, A.A. (2021): Relationship between organizational justice and work engagement among staff nurses, unpublished master thesis, Faculty of Nursing, Ain Shams University .
11. Balducci, C., Avanzi, L., & Fraccaroli, F. (2020): The individual "costs" of workaholism: An analysis based on multisource and prospective data. *Journal of Management*, 44(7), 2961-2986 .
12. • Baris, V. K., Intepeler, S. S., & Unal, A. (2023): Development and psychometric validation of the Sickness Sickness presenteeism Scale-Nurse. *International Journal of Nursing Practice*, e13168.
13. Brown, M., Kraimer, M. L., & Bratton, V. K. (2019): The influence of employee performance appraisal cynicism on intent to quit and sportsmanship. *Personnel Review*.
14. Canu, Z. (2023): The Relationship Between Family-Work Conflict and Work-Family Conflict Among Special Education Teachers. *Jurnal Multidisiplin Madani*, 3(4), 811-816 .
15. Chang, Y., et al. (2020): Work Ability and Quality of Life in Patients with Work- Related Musculoskeletal Disorders. P.p.20-40.
16. Cherkasov, A., Bratanovskii, S. N., Koroleva, L. A., & Zimovets, L. G. (2019): Development of the School Education System in the Province of Vologda (1725-1917). Part 2. *European Journal of Contemporary Education*, 8(2), 418-424.
17. Cinar, E. (2019): The effect of person-organization fit on the organizational identification: The mediating role of organizational attractiveness. *Eurasian Journal of Business and Management*, 7(1), 74-84.
18. Çingöl N., Karakaş M., Zengin S., and Çelebi E. (2020): The effect of psychiatric nursing students' internships on their beliefs about and attitudes toward mental health problems; a single-group experimental study. *Nurse Educ Today*. 2020;84:104243 .
19. Clark, M. A., Smith, R. W., & Haynes, N. J. (2020): The Multidimensional Workaholism Scale: Linking the conceptualization and measurement of workaholism. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 105(11), 1281 .
20. Crafter, S., Maunder, R., & Soulsby, L. (2019): *Developmental transitions: Exploring stability and change through the lifespan*. Routledge.
21. Darling-Hammond L., Flook L., Cook-Harvey C., Barron B., and Osher D. (2020): Implications for educational practice of the science of learning and development. *Applied Developmental Science*, 24(2), 97-140 .
22. Dedahanov. A.T, Bozorov. F and Sung S. (2019): Paternalistic Leadership and Innovative Behavior: Psychological Empowerment as a Mediator. *Sustainability*. 11(6) 1-14.
23. Durrah, O., Chaudhary, M., & Gharib, M. (2019): Organizational cynicism and its impact on organizational pride in industrial organizations. *International journal of environmental research and public health*, 16(7), 1203.
24. Echebiri, C., Amundsen, S., & Engen, M. (2020): Linking Structural Empowerment to Employee-Driven Innovation: the Mediating Role of Psychological Empowerment. *MDPI*, 10(42).
25. Eliyana, A., Rohmatul, S., Rohmatul, S., Sridadi, A. R., Razaq, A., & Gunawan, D. R. (2020): The role of motivation on attitudes and entrepreneur achievement. *Systematic Reviews in Pharmacy*, 11(8), 335-343.
26. • Eslamlou, A., Karatepe, O. M., & Uner, M. M. (2021): Does job Embeddedness mediate the effect of resilience on cabin attendants' career satisfaction and creative performance? *Sustainability*, 13(9), 5104 .

27. Even, A. (2020): The Evolution of Work: Best Practices for Avoiding Social and Organizational Isolation in Telework Employees.SSRN2020.
28. Faisal .S, ,(2022): Job embeddedness and its connection with person organization fit among Saudi Arabian employees. *Problems and Perspectives in Management*, 20(2), 348- 360. doi:10.21511/ppm.20(2).2022.29.
29. • Faisal, S., Naushad, M., & Faridi, M. (2020): A study on the level and relationship of job embeddedness and turnover intentions among Saudi Arabian working- class. *Management Science Letters*, 10(13), 3167- 3172. <https://doi.org/10.5267/j. msl.2020.5.005>
30. Fentaw, Y., Moges, B. T., & Ismail, S. M. (2022): Academic procrastination behavior among public university students. *Education Research International*, 2022.
31. Fernández-Salineró, S.& Topa, G. (2020): Intergroup Discrimination as a Predictor of Conflict within the Same Organization. *The Role of Organizational Identity. Eur. J. Invest.Health Psychol. Educ.* 2020, 10, 1.
32. Ferri, P., Stifani, S., Morotti, E., Nuvoletta, M., Bonetti, L., Rovesti, S., Cutino, A.,&Di Lorenzo,R.(2020): Perceptions of Caring Behavior Among Undergraduate Nursing Students:A Three-Cohort Observational Study. *Psychology research and behavior management*, 13, 1311-1322.
33. Fortes, K., Latham, C.L., Vaughn, S., Preston, K., 2022: The influence of social determinants of education on nursing student persistence and professional values. *J. Prof. Nurs.* 39, 41–53.
34. Gawad, S.A., (2022): Work Place Incivility and its Effect on Quality of Work Life among Staff Nurses. *Egyptian Journal of Health Care*, 13(3), 809-821. doi: 10.21608/ejhc.2022.255400 .
35. Ghafouri R , Bajestani S.I , Nasiri M , Ohnishi K and Foroozan A.S (2022): Psychometrics of the moral distress scale in Iranian mental health nurses. *BMC Nursing* (2021) 20:166 <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12912-021-00674-4>
36. Gillet, N., Austin, S., Fernet, C., Sandrin, E., Lorho, F., Brault, S., ... & Aubouin Bonnaventure, J. (2021): Workaholism, presenteeism, work–family conflicts and personal and work outcomes: Testing a moderated mediation model. *Journal of Clinical Nursing*, 30(19-20), 2842-2853 .
37. Gupta, M., Shaheen, M., & Das, M. (2019): Engaging employees for quality of life: mediation by psychological capital. *The Service Industries Journal*, 39(5-6), 403-419.
38. Hiver, P., & Al-Hoorie, A. H. (2020): Reexamining the role of vision in second language motivation: A preregistered conceptual replication of You, Dörnyei, and Csizér (2016). *Language Learning*, 70(1), 48-102. Malinauskas, R. K., & Pozeriene, J. (2020). Academic motivation among traditional and online university students. *European journal of contemporary education*, 9(3), 584-591
39. Holland, K. (2019): Does the Market Subvert Health Care Reform? An Examination of Recent Attempts to Cut Costs and increase Accessibility to Health Care by Harnessing the Market (Doctoral dissertation, State University of New York at
40. Stony Brook.
41. Huang,, et al.(2020): Self-reported confidence in patient safety competencies among Chinese nursingstudents: a multi-site cross-sectional survey. *BMC Medical Education* (2020) 20:32.
42. Ismail, E.(2021): The relationship between Ethical work climate and Organizational commitment among staff nurses p7.
43. Jalili, M., Niroomand, M., Hadavand, F., Zeinali, K., & Fotouhi, A. (2021) : Burnout among healthcare professionals during COVID-19 pandemic: a cross-sectional study. *International Archives of occupational and Environmental Health*, 1-8.
44. Jansen, T. L. Hem, M. H. Dambolt, L. J. and Hanssen, I. .( 2020): “Moral distress in acute psychiatric nursing: multifaceted dilemmas and demands,” *Nursing ethics.*, vol. 27, no. 5, pp. 1315–1326.
45. Jerg-Bretzke, L., Limbrecht-Ecklundt, K., Walter, S., Spohrs, J., & Beschoner, P. (2020): Correlations of the “Work–Family Conflict” with occupational stress—a cross-sectional study among university employees. *Frontiers in psychiatry*, 11, 134 .
46. Jiang, Z., Hu, X., Wang, Z., & Jiang, X. (2019): Knowledge hiding as a barrier to thriving: The mediating role of psychological safety and moderating role of organizational cynicism. *Journal of Organizational Behavior*, 40(7), 800-818.
47. Kachaturoff, M., Caboral-Stevens, M., Gee, M., Lan, V.M., 2020: Effects of peermentoring on stress and anxiety levels of undergraduate nursing students: an integrative review. *J. Prof. Nurs.* 36, 223–228 .

48. Khalid, U., Mushtaq, T., Khan, A. Z., & Mahmood, F. (2021): Probing the impact of transformational leadership on job embeddedness: the moderating role of job characteristics. *Management Research Review*, 44(8), 1139-1156 .
49. • Khosravi, M., Ghiasi, Z., & Ganjali, A. (2021): Burnout in hospital medical staff during the COVID-19 pandemic: Diagnosis, treatment, and prevention. *Journal of Natural Remedies*, 21(12 (1)), 3644.
50. Kim, A.Y., Sim, I.O., 2020: Communication skills, problem-solving ability, understanding of patients' conditions, and nurse's perception of professionalism among clinical nurses: a structural equation model analysis. *Int. J. Environ. Res. Public Health* 17, 4896 .
51. Kim, J., & Gatling, A. (2019): Impact of employees' job, organizational and technology fit on engagement and organizational citizenship behavior. *Journal of Hospitality and Tourism Technology*.
52. Kim, S.C., Jillapali, R., Boyd, S., 2021: Impacts of peer tutoring on academic performance of first-year baccalaureate nursing students: a quasi-experimental study. *Nurse Educ. Today* 96, 104658 .
53. King, J. L. (2021): Research review: work-family/family-work conflict. *International Journal of Leadership Studies*, 1(1), 102-105 .
54. • Ko, W., & Kang, H. (2019): Effect of leadership style and organizational climate on employees' food safety and hygiene behaviors in the institutional food service of schools. *Food Science & Nutrition* published by Wiley Periodicals, Inc.; 7 (6): 2131-2143.
55. Lee, S.E., Lee, M.H., Peters, A.B. and Gwon, S.H., (2020): Assessment of Patient Safety and Cultural Competencies among Senior Baccalaureate Nursing Students. *nt. J. Environ. Res. Public Health*,17, 4225.
56. Liu, F., Chow, I. H.-S., Zhang, J.-C., & Huang, M. (2019): Organizational innovation climate and individual innovative behavior: exploring the moderating effects of psychological ownership and psychological empowerment. *Rev. Manag. Sci.* 13, 771-789.
57. Macedo, L.L., Silva, A.M.R., Silva, J.F., Mdcfl, H., & Giroto, E. (2020): The cultureregarding the safety of the patient in primary health care: distinctions among professional categories. *Trab Educ Saúde Rio de Janeiro*. 2020;18(1.(
58. Mahmoud, S.R., 2019: Nursing students' attitudes toward nursing profession and its relation to study adjustment. *Int. J. Nurs. Didact.* 9 (7), 9-16.
59. Mahran, H. M., Abd Al, M. A. A. H., & Saleh, N. M. (2022): Relationship between ethical leadership and workaholism among nursing supervisors as perceived by staff nurses. *Egyptian Nursing Journal*, 19(2), 79.
60. Mauro, L. B. (2022): Exploring Moral Distress, Ethical Climate, and Psychological Empowerment among New Registered Nurses (Doctoral dissertation, Walden University)
61. Moghadari-Koosha, M., Moghadasi-Amiri, M., Cheraghi, F., Mozafari, H., Imani, B., & Zandieh, M. (2020): Self-efficacy, self-regulated learning, and motivation as factors influencing academic achievement among paramedical students: A correlation study. *Journal of allied health*, 49(3), 145E-152E.
62. Molazem, Z., Bagheri, L., & Najafi Kalyani, M. (2022): Evaluation of the Moral Distress Intensity and Its Relationship with the Quality of Work Life among Nurses Working in Oncology Wards in Shiraz, Southwest of Iran. *BioMed Research International*, 2022.
63. • Mostafa, B. A., El-Borsaly, A. A. E., Hafez, E. A. E., & Hassan, S. A. (2021): The Mediating Effect of Person-Organization Value Fit on the Relationship Between University branding and Academic Staff Citizenship Behavior. *Academic Journal of Interdisciplinary Studies*, 10(1), 313-313.
64. Nanjundeswaraswamy T. (2021): Nurses quality of work life: scale
65. development and validation. *Journal of Economic and Administrative Sciences*, DOI 10.1108/JEAS-09-2020-0154 .
66. Newman, A., Round, H., Wang, S. L., & Mount, M. (2020): Innovation climate: a systematic review of the literature and agenda for future research. *J. Occup. Organ. Psychol.* 93, 73-109.
67. Nomany , N.F. (2022): Perceived Nursing Supervisor Support and Its Influence on Job Embeddedness among Staff Nurses, un published master thesis, faculty of nursing, Ain Shams University, p 148- 150.
68. Nyanyiwa, S., Peters, K., & Murphy, G. (2022): A scoping review: Treatment attitudes and adherence for adults with schizophrenia. *Journal of clinical nursing*.
69. Ohnishi, K., Kitaoka, K., Nakahara, J., Välimäki, M., Kontio, R., & Anttila, M. (2019): Impact of moral sensitivity on moral distress among psychiatric nurses. *Nursing ethics*, 26(5), 1473-1483.

70. Olatunji, O. A., Idemudia, E. S., & Owoseni, O. O. (2020): Investigating the role of emotional intelligence and role conflict on job burnout among special education teachers. *Journal of Intellectual Disability–Diagnosis and Treatment*, 9(1), 128-136 .
71. Pålsson, Y., Engstrom, M., Swenne, C.L., Mårtensson, G., 2022: A peer learning intervention in workplace introduction-managers' and new graduates' perspectives. *BMC Nurs.* 21 (12), 1–13 .
72. Parizad, N., Lopez, V., Jasemi, M., Gharaaghaji Asl, R., Taylor, A., & Taghinejad, R. (2021): Job stress and its relationship with nurses' autonomy and nurse–physician collaboration in intensive care unit. *Journal of Nursing Management*. 22-24.
73. Querstret, D., O'Brien, K., Skene, D. J., & Maben, J. (2020): Improving fatigue risk management in healthcare: A systematic scoping review of sleep-related/fatigue-management interventions for nurses and midwives. *International journal of nursing studies*, 106, 103513.
74. Raeissi, P., Rajabi, M. R., Ahmadizadeh, E., Rajabkhah, K., & Kakemam, E. (2019): Quality of work life and factors associated with it among nurses in public hospitals, Iran. *Journal of the Egyptian Public Health Association*, 94(1), 1-8
75. Ramírez Molina, R. J., del Valle Marcano, M., Ramírez Molina, R. I., Lay Raby, N. D., & Herrera Tapias, B. A. (2019): Relationship Between social intelligence and resonant leadership in public health Institutions.
76. Ramos, F. R., Barth, P. O., Brehmer, L. C., Dalmolin, G. D., Fargas, M. A., and Schneider, D. G. (2020): "Intensity and frequency of moral distress in Brazilian nurses," *Revista da Escola de Enfermagem da USP.*, vol. 54.
77. Razmerita, L., Kirchner, K., Hockerts, K., & Tan, C. W. (2020): Modeling collaborative intentions and behavior in Digital Environments: The case of a Massive Open Online Course (MOOC). *Academy of Management Learning & Education*, 19(4), 469-502.
78. Reynolds, P. O. F., Dias, B. M., Flores, C. A. D. S., Balsanelli, A. P., Gabriel, C. S., & Bernardes, A. (2022): Resonant leadership practices of nurse managers in the hospital setting: a cross-sectional study. *Texto & Contexto-Enfermagem*, 31 .
79. Segev, E. (2019): "Volume and control: the transition from information to power". *Journal of Multicultural Discourses*. 14 (3): 240–257. doi:10.1080/17447143.2019.1662028. ISSN 1744-7143.
80. Sein Myint, N. N., Kunaviktikul, W., & Stark, A. (2021): A contemporary understanding of organizational climate in healthcare setting: A concept analysis. *Nursing Forum*, 56(1), 172–180.
81. Sengul, M., & Seyfi, R. O. (2020): Investigation of the relationship between academic procrastination behaviours and academic self-efficacy of Turkish language teacher candidates. *Cumhuriyet International Journal of Education*, 9(3), 755-773 .
82. Sheta, S. S., & Hammouda, M. A. (2022): Risk for Workaholism among Working Physicians of Zagazig University Hospitals: A Massage for Achieving Productive Work and Balanced Life. *The Egyptian Journal of Hospital Medicine*, 89(1), 4402-4409 .
83. Shin, J., & Shin, H. (2020): Impact of job insecurity on hotel workers' workaholism and work–family conflict in Korea. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, 17(21), 7783 .
84. Siyal, S., Xin, C., Peng, X., Siyal, A. W., & Ahmed, W. (2020): role of person–organization fit mechanism. *Sage Open*, 10(3), 2158244020947424.
85. Spagnoli, P., Haynes, N. J., Kovalchuk, L. S., Clark, M. A., Buono, C., & Balducci, C. (2020): Workload, workaholism, and job performance: Uncovering their complex relationship. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, 17(18), 6536 .
86. Spilg, E. G., Rushton, C. H., Phillips, J. L., Kendzerska, T., Saad, M., Gifford, W., Gautam, M., Bhatla, R., Edwards, J. D., Quilty, L., Leveille, C., & Robillard, R. (2022): The new frontline: Exploring the links between moral distress, moral resilience and mental health in healthcare workers during the COVID-19 pandemic. *BMC Psychiatry*, 22(1), 19-19. <https://psycnet.apa.org/doi/10.1186/s12888-021-03637-w>
87. Svartdal, F., Klingsieck, K. B., Steel, P., & Gamst-Klaussen, T. (2020): Measuring implemental delay in procrastination: Separating onset and sustained goal striving. *Personality and Individual Differences*, 156, 109762.

89. Syahrina, I. A., & Mutya, M. T. (2023): Academic Self-Efficacy and Academic Procrastination: The Mediating Role of Academic Motivation. In *International Conference of Psychology* (Vol. 2, No. 1, pp. 122-129).
90. Tajalli, S. Rostamli, S. Dezvaree, N. Shariat, M. and Kadivar, M. (2021): "Moral distress among Iranian neonatal intensive care units' health care providers: a multi-center cross sectional study," *Journal of Medical Ethics and History of Medicine*, p. 14.
91. Talebian, F., Hesamzadeh, A., Hosseinnataj, A., & AzimiLolaty, H. (2022): Relationship between academic procrastination and perceived competence, self-esteem and general self-efficacy of nursing students. *Journal of Nursing and Midwifery Sciences*, 9(4), 310-316.
92. Twidwell, J., Dial, D., Fehr, C., 2022: Gender, career choice confidence, and perceived faculty support in baccalaureate nursing students. *J. Prof. Nurs.* 39, 96–100 .
93. Uwannah, N.C., Onyekachi, C.N., & Filade, B.A. (2021): Hardiness, Supervisor Support and Work Engagement: Empirical Evidence from Tertiary Institutions in Ogun State, Nigeria. *American Journal of Applied Psychology*, 9(1), 8-14.
94. Vasconcelos PF, de Freitas CHA, Jorge MSB, et al. (2019): Safety attributes in primary care: understanding the needs of patients, health professionals, and managers. *Public Health*. 2019;171:31–40.
95. Vikstrom, S., Johansson, K., 2019: Professional pride: a qualitative descriptive study of nursing home staff's experiences of how a quality development project influenced their work. *J. Clin. Nurs.* 28, 2760–2768.
96. World Alliance for Patient Safety. (2021): WHO cubiculum guide for medical schools addressed to WHO Press, at the above address (fax: +41 22 791 4806).
97. Xing, L., Sun, J.M. and Jepsen, D. (2021): "Feeling shame in the workplace: examining negative feedback as an antecedent and performance and well-being as consequences", *Journal of Organizational Behavior*, (42).9.1244-1260.
98. Yoon, S. K., Kim, J. H., Park, J. E., Kim, C. J., & Song, J. H. (2020): Creativity and knowledge creation: the moderated mediating effect of perceived organizational support on psychological ownership. *Eur. J. Train. Dev.* 44, 743–760.
99. Yu, H., Guan, X. & Zhang, X. (2019): Paternalistic Leadership Creates Work Performance, Servant Leadership Delivers Job Satisfaction: Integration of Two Types of Leadership Behaviors. *Science of Science and Management of S. & T.*, 35 (06), 172-180.
100. Yun, L. (2019): The relation between academic motivation and academic procrastination among university students. *Faculty Of Social Science And Humanities Tunku Abdul Rahman University College Kuala Lumpur*.
101. Yun, M. R., Lim, E. J., Yu, B., & Choi, S. (2020): Effects of Academic Motivation on Clinical Practice-Related Post-Traumatic Growth among Nursing Students in South Korea: Mediating Effect of Resilience. *International journal of environmental research and public health*, 17(13), 4901 .
102. Yuniati, R., & Sijinjak, C. (2022): Upward Comparison at the Workplace: A Review. *East Asian Journal of Multidisciplinary Research*, 1(7), 1377-1394 .
103. Yurtseven, N., & Dogan, S. (2019): Structural Relationships among Academic Procrastination, Academic Motivation, and Problem-Solving Skill in Prep Class College Students. *Pegem Journal of Education and Instruction*, 9(3), 849-876.
104. Zarrin, S. A., Gracia, E., & Paixão, M. P. (2020): Prediction of academic procrastination by fear of failure and self-regulation. *Educational Sciences: Theory & Practice*, 20(3), 34-43.
105. Zurman C., Hoffmann H. O., and Ruff-Stahl H. K. (2019): Difference in attitudes toward Crew Resource Management based on nationality. *International Journal of Aviation, Aeronautics, and Aerospace*, 6(4).
106. Zwedberg, S., Alnervik, M., Barimani, M., 2021: Student midwives' perception of peer learning during their clinical practice in an obstetric unit: a qualitative study. *Nurse Educ. Today* 99, 104785.