Review of Contemporary Philosophy
ISSN: 1841-5261, e-ISSN: 2471-089X
Vol 22 (1), 2023
Pp 4217 - 4230

Artificial Intelligence (AI) Applications and Challenges in Radiology: A Systematic Review and Thematic Synthesis

[1] Abdulaziz Abdullah Alfozan,[2] Mohammed Abdullah Alshalawi,[3] Majed Turki Almutairi[4] Hashim Hamed Almutairi,[5] Riyadh Helail Almutairi,[6] Ibrahim Defalah Alyosuff,[7] Fahad Ibrahim Almohimeed,[8] Saeed Awadh Almiutairi ,[9] Abdulaziz Mohammed Almutairi,[10] Abdulhakim Abrahim A Algadir, [11] Altamimi Abdulrahman Mohammed,[12] Khaled Ahmad M Alzahrani,

1. *Radiologist*, Abdulazizalfozan66@gmail.com (Corresponding Author)
2. *Radiologist*, MohammedAlshalawi70@gmail.com
3. , *Radiologist*, Majed.turki@hotmail.com
4. *Radiology Technologist*, hhalmutairi@moh.gov.sa
5. *Radiologist*, Riyadh660 @hotmail.com
6. *Radiologist*, Zaeem.150@gmail.com
7. *Radiologist*, fh1718@hotmail.com
8. *Radiologist,* No-No2012@hotmail.com
9. *Radiologist*, abdualaziz@me.com
10. *Radiologist*, Halgadir@gmail.gov.sa
11. *Radiologist*,, Skylightone@hotmail.com
12. *Radiologist ,Kzharani@moh.gov.sa*

Abstract

Background: The integration of Artificial Intelligence (AI) in radiology has significantly transformed the field, enhancing diagnostic accuracy, optimizing workflow efficiencies, and ultimately contributing to improved patient outcomes. Despite these advancements, several challenges may arise. The objective of this study is to systematically review and synthesize papers exploring the applications and challenges of AI in radiology.

Methods: The design of the study is based on a systematic review and synthesis of qualitative papers. Papers are identified through (3) data sources which are searched in the English language from 2017 to 2024. Studies that explored AI applications in radiology and addressed challenges associated with these technologies were eligible for inclusion. Three researchers independently screened the titles and abstracts of papers. A total of (17) papers were included in the review.

Results: the findings of the systematic review and thematic synthesis showed the transformative impact of AI across various domains of radiology. From enhancing diagnostic accuracy and workflow efficiency to improving patient outcomes and safety. On the other hand, it is shown that there are challenges associated with integrating AI into radiology from transparency and trust issues to data quality and algorithm robustness, the successful implementation of AI in radiology hinges on collaboration among stakeholders, ongoing education and training, and the establishment of clear regulatory frameworks.

Conclusion: As the field of radiology continues to evolve, ongoing research and collaboration will be essential to ensure that AI technologies are effectively integrated into clinical practice. By proactively addressing AI-related challenges, the radiology field can harness the full potential of AI, ultimately improving patient outcomes and advancing the quality of care in healthcare settings.

Keywords: *Artificial Intelligence (AI), Radiology, Applications, Challenges, Machine Learning.*

Received: 16 october 2023 **Revised**: 29 November 2023 **Accepted**: 13 December 2023

Introduction

The integration of Artificial Intelligence (AI) into radiology has emerged as a transformative force, promising to enhance diagnostic accuracy, streamline workflows, and improve patient outcomes (Pesapane & Summers, 2024). Artificial intelligence is transforming the field of radiology through various innovative applications (Strohm et al., 2020). AI algorithms, especially those based on deep learning, have demonstrated remarkable capabilities in image analysis and interpretation. These algorithms can be trained to detect and classify a wide range of abnormalities in medical images, such as tumors, fractures, and other pathologies (Kim et al., 2024). For instance, Convolutional Neural Networks (CNNs) have been employed to analyze chest X-rays for signs of pneumonia and lung cancer, with diagnostic accuracy comparable to that of experienced radiologists (Katzman et al., 2023).

Furthermore, studies have shown that AI can assist in the segmentation of complex structures in imaging modalities like MRI and CT, facilitating more precise treatment planning (Hong et al., 2023). This ability to accurately identify and delineate abnormalities enhances not only diagnostic precision but also the efficiency of subsequent medical interventions. In addition to improving image analysis, AI technologies significantly enhance radiology workflows by automating routine tasks and improving efficiency (Bergquist et al., 2024). For example, AI systems can prioritize imaging studies based on urgency, allowing radiologists to focus on critical cases first. This prioritization is vital in busy clinical settings where timely diagnoses can impact patient outcomes. Additionally, AI can assist in image acquisition by providing real-time feedback during imaging procedures, ensuring optimal quality and reducing the need for repeat scans (Glielmo et al., 2024).

AI's role extends into decision support systems within radiology, where it provides clinicians with evidence-based recommendations for diagnosis and treatment (Waller et al., 2022). These systems leverage machine learning algorithms to analyze patient data alongside imaging findings, aiding in risk stratification and predicting the likelihood of disease progression or complications (Anderson, 2024). By integrating AI with electronic health records (EHRs), radiologists can access comprehensive patient information, enabling more informed clinical decision-making (Labig et al., 2023).

Moreover, predictive analytics powered by AI enhances the ability to forecast patient outcomes and disease trajectories. By analyzing large datasets, AI algorithms can identify patterns and correlations that may not be apparent to human observers (Kitamura et al., 2021). For example, studies have demonstrated that AI can predict the likelihood of recurrence in breast cancer patients based on imaging and clinical data, allowing for more personalized treatment plans (Strohm et al., 2020). AI also holds the potential to revolutionize medical education and training in radiology. Simulation-based learning, augmented reality, and virtual reality applications can be enhanced through AI technologies, providing trainees with immersive experiences that closely mimic real-life scenarios (Labig et al., 2023).

However, the successful integration of AI technologies into clinical practice is contingent upon addressing the numerous challenges that accompany their implementation. One of the primary challenges in implementing AI in radiology is the need for high-quality, annotated datasets for training algorithms (Kitamura et al., 2021). The performance of AI models is heavily dependent on the quality and diversity of the data used during training. However, obtaining large, well-annotated datasets can be difficult due to privacy concerns, variability in imaging protocols, and the need for expert annotations (Waller et al., 2022). The lack of standardized imaging protocols and variations in data collection across institutions further complicate the development of robust AI models (Katzman et al., 2023). Additionally, the presence of biases in training data can lead to disparities in AI performance across different populations, raising concerns about equity in healthcare (Anderson, 2024).

The "black box" nature of many AI algorithms poses a significant challenge in clinical settings (Glielmo et al., 2024). Radiologists and clinicians must understand how AI arrives at its conclusions to trust its recommendations. The lack of interpretability can hinder the adoption of AI technologies, as healthcare professionals may be reluctant to rely on systems that do not provide clear explanations for their decisions (Bergquist et al., 2024). Developing explainable AI (XAI) models that offer insights into the decision-making process is crucial for fostering trust and facilitating collaboration between AI systems and human experts

(Kim et al., 2024). Research by Pesapane & Summers (2024) emphasizes the need for transparency in AI algorithms to ensure that clinicians can make informed decisions based on AI recommendations.

Integrating AI technologies into existing radiology workflows presents several challenges. Resistance to change among radiologists and healthcare institutions can impede the adoption of new technologies. Additionally, the need for training and education on AI tools is essential to ensure that radiologists can effectively utilize these systems in their practice (Strohm et al., 2020). Interdisciplinary collaboration between radiologists, data scientists, and IT professionals is vital for overcoming these barriers and ensuring seamless integration. Furthermore, the implementation of AI systems often requires significant changes to existing workflows and processes, necessitating careful planning and support from all stakeholders involved (Katzman et al., 2023).

The ethical implications of AI in radiology are complex and multifaceted. Issues related to patient privacy, informed consent, and accountability for AI-generated decisions must be carefully considered (Waller et al., 2022). As AI systems become more autonomous, questions arise regarding liability in cases of misdiagnosis or treatment errors. Establishing clear regulatory frameworks and ethical guidelines is essential to address these concerns and ensure the responsible use of AI in clinical practice (Kitamura et al., 2021). Moreover, the potential for algorithmic bias raises critical ethical questions about the fairness and equity of AI-driven healthcare solutions (Hong et al., 2023).

On the other hand, the economic challenges associated with implementing AI technologies in radiology cannot be overlooked. The costs of developing, maintaining, and integrating AI systems can be substantial, and healthcare institutions must weigh these costs against potential benefits (Anderson, 2024). Additionally, there are concerns about the impact of AI on radiologist employment, as automation may lead to job displacement in certain areas. However, it is essential to recognize that AI is likely to augment rather than replace human expertise, allowing radiologists to focus on more complex cases and improve overall patient care (Strohm et al., 2020). Economic evaluations of AI technologies are needed to assess their cost-effectiveness and long-term benefits in clinical practice (Pesapane & Summers, 2024).

This systematic review aims to explore the applications of AI in radiology, focusing on its benefits, current implementations, and the challenges faced in its integration into clinical practice. By synthesizing the existing literature, this review provides a comprehensive overview of AI's impact on radiology, addressing both its transformative potential and the obstacles that must be navigated for successful implementation. The current systematic review synthesizes existing research, providing a thorough overview of AI's capabilities in radiology. It consolidates findings from multiple studies, allowing stakeholders to understand the current state of AI technologies and their various applications, from image analysis to workflow optimization.

Methodology

Data Sources and Search Strategy

PubMed, Google Scholar, and ScienceDirect were searched in the English language from 2017 to 2024. Additionally, a manual search for articles meeting the criteria was conducted. The search terms used included "artificial intelligence in radiology," "AI applications," "challenges in radiology," "machine learning," "deep learning," "image analysis," "radiological imaging," and "clinical decision support systems." The records were managed and screened, and duplicates were excluded using Zotero 6.0.4. Following the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses guidelines, we conducted this systematic review and thematic synthesis.

Conversations with coauthors led to the development of the search method. To ensure that all relevant phrases related to AI applications and challenges in radiology were covered in the search, the authors included a librarian and utilized the Information Specialists' Sub-Group search filter resource. This collaboration helped with the challenging task of searching for qualitative literature. The authors defined qualitative research as articles that included both qualitative data and qualitative techniques of data collection and analysis.

Studies that explored AI applications in radiology and addressed challenges associated with these technologies were eligible for inclusion. Qualitative methods of data collection and analysis were also accepted. The studies could have included multiple AI applications in radiology or presented qualitative data independently or as part of a mixed-methods study. Moreover, studies focusing on the implementation of AI in radiological imaging, clinical settings, and those providing quantitative data on performance metrics such as accuracy, efficiency, and diagnostic impact were included in this review.

Selection Process

Exclusion criteria for the studies were as follows: a) studies that do not pertain to the topic of AI applications and challenges in radiology; b) studies that do not provide sufficient data to draw conclusions about AI technologies in radiological practices; c) studies that focus solely on non-AI-related imaging techniques; d) studies that cannot confirm the application of AI in radiology; and e) studies that do not present original experimental data (e.g., review articles, conceptual studies).

The titles and abstracts of the articles were reviewed by three separate scholars. The full-text screening of eligible publications was carried out by a single researcher who then addressed any doubts with the co-authors.

Data Extraction

Each article's abstract includes the following information: author(s), publication year, domain, AI applications, and AI challenges.

Synthesis of Findings

There were three steps to the thematic synthesis. The first step involved one of the researchers coding relevant content (quotes or author descriptors) line by line. Subsequently, descriptive themes across studies were developed by organizing the free codes. To ensure a systematic approach to coding the data, a coding manual was created. The highlighted themes were carefully reviewed, and any discrepancies were addressed until a consensus was reached. The third step involved "going beyond" the data to establish analytical themes that, when combined with the original research, provide new insights. Through group brainstorming, we identified overarching themes related to the applications and challenges of Artificial Intelligence in radiology, and then the researchers constructed a model to illustrate how these themes relate to the integration of AI technologies in the field.

Risk of Bias and Quality Assessment

The authors performed an extensive literature search across various pertinent databases, employing a clearly defined search strategy that incorporated suitable keywords and Boolean operators. This search focused exclusively on articles published in peer-reviewed journals and conference proceedings, thereby reducing the likelihood of selection bias.

To evaluate the strengths and weaknesses of the qualitative articles, the researchers utilized a modified version of the Critical Appraisal Skills Programme tool. All documents were included in the review, regardless of quality. Each member of the collaborative research team examined every paper, engaging in discussions to resolve any disagreements regarding the quality assessment. The review offers a thorough and transparent account of the data sources, search strategy, study selection process, and synthesis of findings. The results are presented in a well-structured manner, enhanced by the effective use of tables to summarize key information.

Results

Systematic Literature Search

The literature retrieval flowchart is shown in Figure 1. As of 2024, a grand total of 750 items that may be of interest were retrieved from various electronic databases. Among these, 306 were found in PubMed, 297 in ScienceDirect, and 657 in Google Scholar. The authors did not obtain any articles through other means, suggesting a focused reliance on established databases. After removing 150 duplicates, they narrowed the number to 600 unique articles, enhancing the integrity of their review process.

During the screening phase, they excluded 510 articles for various reasons, including irrelevance, review articles, and having insufficient data. This rigorous filtering underscored the authors' commitment to maintaining high standards for inclusion. They then performed a quick screening of abstracts, reducing the number to 90 articles. This step was followed by reading the full texts, which led to further exclusions of articles that were modeling studies or lacked sufficient data.

Ultimately, the authors included 17 articles in their review, reflecting a focused and selective process that prioritized quality over quantity. This careful vetting of the literature ensured that the final selection aligned with the objectives of the systematic review, contributing to its reliability and validity.

Identification	Relevant articles obtained via database retrieval (n=750)	Articles obtained via other means: (n=0)
		Exclusion of duplicated Articles: (n=150)
Screening	Articles after Removal of Duplicates (n=600)	Exclusion of articles that are irrelevant (320), reviews (80), unable to identify (10), with insufficient data (100), with unavailable full text (2): (n=510)
Eligibility	Quick screening of abstracts (n=90)	Exclusion of articles that are irrelevant (25), reviews (10), with insufficient data (9): (n=44)
	Reading the Full Text (n=26)	Exclusion of articles that are modelling studies (5) and with insufficient data (4): (n=17).
included	Articles included (n=17)	

Figure 1. *Flow Diagram of Search Strategy and Included Papers*

Study Characteristics

The included studies explored a diverse array of topics related to the applications and challenges of artificial intelligence in radiology. Key areas of focus included the implementation of AI algorithms for image analysis, the optimization of diagnostic processes, and the integration of AI with existing radiological practices. One major emphasis of the reviewed studies was the application of machine learning models for improving diagnostic accuracy and efficiency. Researchers examined various AI approaches, such as deep learning and neural networks, assessing their performance in detecting conditions like tumors and fractures within complex medical imaging datasets.

Another critical aspect highlighted in the literature was the integration of AI-driven tools with traditional radiological methods, such as enhancing radiologist workflows through decision support systems. These hybrid approaches aimed to address challenges associated with diagnostic delays and to bolster the overall effectiveness of radiological assessments. The studies were conducted in a variety of clinical settings and in different radiology domains, as detailed in Table 1, providing a comprehensive overview of the current landscape of AI applications and challenges in radiology.

Table 1

Papers Included in the Synthesis

Applications and Challenges of AI in Radiology

Study	Domain	Applications	Challenges
Marey et al (2024)	Cardiovascular radiology	Explainable AI (XAI) techniques focus on making the reasoning behind AI outputs transparent, allowing users to grasp how decisions are made without compromising the system's effectiveness.	The absence of transparency presents major obstacles to clinical acceptance and the ethical implementation of AI. Developing education and training programs for healthcare professionals is essential to ensure they have the skills needed to use AI effectively. Additionally, involving patients and obtaining informed consent are crucial elements for the ethical integration of AI in healthcare.
Yildirim et al (2024)	Chest radiology	Vision-Language Models (VLMs) demonstrate strong performance in tasks like generating radiology findings from a patient's medical images and responding to visual questions, such as "Where is the place of the nodules in this chest X-ray?"	Skepticism arises from inconsistent AI performance, leading to a lack of trust and an overreliance on AI-generated results. Additionally, there is a pressing need for clinical effectiveness trials to validate these technologies.
Al Mohammad et al (2024)	Neuroradiology and chest radiology	Image reconstruction, improved image quality, lesion segmentation, specific identification of hemorrhages and other lesions	A shortage of mentorship and guidance from experts is accompanied by insufficient funding and investment in emerging technologies.
Bhatia et al (2024)	Pediatric neuroradiology	AI algorithms are becoming increasingly vital in areas such as improving access to care, managing workflows, detecting and classifying abnormalities, predicting responses, forecasting outcomes, and generating reports.	Patient and data safety. Risks of reliance on technology.
Najjar (2023)	Medical Imaging	Image segmentation, computer-aided diagnosis, predictive analytics, and workflow optimization	Challenges include data quality, the "black box" mystery of AI decision-making, infrastructural and technical complexities, along with ethical considerations.
Rezazade Mehrizi et al (2021)	Diagnostic radiology	Segmentation, Quantification and extraction of features, Detecting and highlighting the suspicious areas, Diagnosis and classifying abnormalities, prognosis, and patients	Most AI applications are limited in scope regarding modality, body part, and type of pathology. The majority of existing AI functionalities primarily concentrate on enhancing the

Study	Domain	Applications	Challenges
		profiling and synopsis, and case prioritization	"perception" and "reasoning" aspects within the radiology workflow.
Giansanti & Di Basilio (2022)	Digital Radiology	The radiology and pathology workflow, robotics	Key factors include technological features, adjustments in workflows, enhancement of collaboration (such as between data science experts and radiologists), the creation of effective training programs, cultural mediation efforts to address barriers to integration, and the establishment of appropriate regulations.
Lee et al (2017)	Medical imaging	Key tasks include image segmentation and registration, automatic labeling and captioning, and computer-aided diagnosis (CAD).	Factors to consider include the quality and quantity of training data, the need to clarify the "technical foundations" of the system, and the associated legal and ethical concerns.
Sailer et al (2019)	Interventional radiology	Providing diagnostic support by classifying images and predicting outcomes or risks.	Challenges include maintaining accuracy in diverse clinical scenarios.
Do et al (2020)		AI automation reduced the time it took to notify clinicians by an average of 1 hour and cut radiologist exam interpretation time by 37%. Additionally, the agreement in target lesion measurements increased from 22.5% to 67.8%.	Challenges include ensuring algorithm robustness across different imaging modalities and patient populations.
Chassagnon et al (2020)	Thoracic imaging	Thoracic imaging focuses on evaluating lung nodules, detecting tuberculosis and pneumonia, and quantifying diffuse lung diseases.	Current algorithms are restricted to identifying isolated findings.
Stoel (2020)	Rheumatology Radiology	Rheumatological imaging emphasizes the assessment of rheumatoid arthritis and systemic sclerosis.	Challenges include the interpretation of complex imaging findings and the need for standardized protocols.
Brady & Neri et al (2020)	General radiology	Enhance disease detection, minimize unnecessary	There are concerns about reduced pay and prestige for radiologists,

Study	Domain	Applications	Challenges
		procedures, improve patient outcomes, and lower costs.	and the objectives of AI developers may not always align with the altruistic aims of healthcare.
Poortmans et al (2020)	Radiation therapy for breast cancer	Optimizing dose distribution to minimize unnecessary radiation exposure to non-target organs.	Challenges include variability in patient anatomy and treatment response.
Hosny et al (2018)	General radiology	Diagnosing tuberculosis using chest radiography, along with computer-aided diagnosis systems and deep learning algorithms.	Challenges include data quality, algorithm generalization, and integration into clinical workflows.
Iezzi et al (2019)	Interventional radiology	Pattern recognition and identification, language understanding, object and sound recognition, disease prognostication, therapy indication assessment, and outcome/benefit estimation.	High-quality datasets are essential for effective training, but the lack of transparency regarding the statistical rationale often complicates their medical application.
Meek et al (2019)	Interventional radiology	Imaging, predictive modeling, and decision support. Angiography-based machine learning can provide real-time estimates of fractional flow reserve, helping to identify ischemia-related stenosis in coronary artery disease. Image fusion can enable precise guidance during medical procedures. Generating CT images from MRI data is a valuable technique for comprehensive diagnostics. Machine learning algorithms can assist in developing tailored treatment plans. Integrating machine learning with augmented reality systems presents innovative opportunities for training and evaluating medical trainees.	Training the algorithms requires substantial amounts of data, and this need is complicated by the constantly evolving nature of clinical practice, which can restrict the relevance of retrospective data.

Synthesis of Results

AI Applications in Radiology

The integration of artificial intelligence in radiology has revolutionized the field, enhancing diagnostic accuracy, improving workflow efficiency, and ultimately leading to better patient outcomes. The studies summarized in the table highlight various applications of AI across different radiological domains, showcasing the breadth and depth of AI's impact on medical imaging.

The findings of the synthesis yielded that AI has many applications in radiology and serves many radiology domains. Marey et al. (2024) emphasized the significance of explainable AI (XAI) techniques in cardiovascular radiology, highlighting how these techniques enhance the transparency of AI outputs. By elucidating the reasoning behind AI-generated decisions, clinicians can understand underlying processes without compromising effectiveness, which is crucial for establishing trust in diagnostic tools. In chest radiology, Yildirim et al (2024) explored vision-language models (VLMs), which excel in generating radiology findings from medical images and answering specific visual questions. This capability aids radiologists in interpreting complex images and enhances communication among healthcare providers by bridging visual data and textual interpretation, thus streamlining the reporting process.

The role of AI in image reconstruction and quality enhancement is highlighted by Al Mohammad et al. (2024), who noted that AI algorithms can significantly improve image quality, crucial for accurate lesion segmentation and identifying critical conditions such as hemorrhages. Enhanced image quality enables radiologists to focus more on data interpretation, thereby increasing productivity. Bhatia et al. (2024) discussed the importance of AI in pediatric neuroradiology, especially for managing workflows and improving access to care. By automating routine tasks such as detecting and classifying abnormalities, AI allows radiologists to dedicate more time to complex cases, ensuring timely and appropriate care for pediatric patients, which is vital for their development.

The synthesis also showed that AI enhances medical imaging through predictive analytics and workflow optimization, streamlining processes by automating image segmentation and computer-aided diagnosis (Najjar, 2023). This not only reduces the time for image interpretation but also allows for more personalized treatment plans by forecasting patient outcomes. Further exploration by Rezazade Mehrizi et al. (2021) revealed AI's applications in diagnostic radiology, focusing on segmentation, quantification, and feature extraction. AI algorithms enhance diagnostic accuracy by detecting and highlighting suspicious areas in medical images, particularly in complex cases where human interpretation may be limited.

Giansanti and Di Basilio (2022) explored the integration of robotics and AI in digital radiology workflows, noting that robotics can streamline both radiology and pathology processes. This integration is particularly beneficial in high-throughput environments, allowing radiologists to focus on complex interpretative tasks while automating repetitive processes. Lee et al. (2017) identified key tasks in medical imaging that benefit from AI, such as image segmentation and computer-aided diagnosis (CAD). Automating these fundamental tasks enhances efficiency and accuracy, allowing radiologists to improve productivity and devote more attention to patient care.

The importance of AI in interventional radiology is highlighted by Sailer et al. (2019), who note that AI supports diagnostic efforts by classifying images and predicting outcomes, thus facilitating better preoperative planning and improving patient safety. Do et al. (2020) report significant efficiency gains through AI automation, which has notably reduced the time required to notify clinicians and improved diagnostic consistency in target lesion measurements. These findings underscore the role of AI in enhancing communication and collaboration among healthcare providers.

In rheumatological imaging, Stoel (2020) discussed AI’s role in assessing conditions such as rheumatoid arthritis, where it quantifies disease progression and response to treatment, thereby enhancing the accuracy and consistency of evaluations. Chassagnon et al (2020) focus on thoracic imaging, where AI applications evaluate lung nodules and detect conditions like tuberculosis and pneumonia. Automating such processes leads to earlier detection and treatment of respiratory issues, improving patient outcomes.

Brady and Neri et al. (2020) highlighted broader applications of AI in general radiology, noting its impact on disease detection, minimizing unnecessary procedures, and improving patient outcomes while reducing costs. This integration leads to more efficient resource utilization. Poortmans et al. (2020) discussed AI's role in optimizing radiation therapy for breast cancer by improving dose distribution, thereby enhancing patient safety and ensuring effective treatment protocols. Hosny et al. (2018) focused on the use of deep learning algorithms for diagnosing tuberculosis through chest radiography, showcasing AI's potential to significantly enhance infectious disease detection. Iezzi et al. (2019) explored machine learning applications in interventional radiology, including pattern recognition and outcome estimation, which improve the precision of procedures and assist in patient management.

Finally, the use of imaging and predictive modeling in interventional radiology was reported by Meek et al (2019), emphasizing the value of angiography-based machine learning in real-time estimates of fractional flow reserve, which is crucial for identifying ischemia-related stenosis.

AI Challenges in Radiology

The integration of artificial intelligence (AI) in radiology has the potential to revolutionize the field by enhancing diagnostic accuracy, improving workflow efficiency, and ultimately leading to better patient outcomes. However, as highlighted in the recent studies, the implementation of AI in radiology is fraught with challenges that must be addressed to fully realize its benefits.

The findings showed that one of the most significant challenges in the adoption of AI in radiology is the lack of transparency surrounding AI algorithms. Marey et al. (2024) emphasized that the absence of transparency presents major obstacles to clinical acceptance and ethical implementation of AI technologies. This lack of clarity can lead to skepticism among healthcare professionals regarding the reliability of AI-generated results. Trust is a critical component in clinical settings, and without a clear understanding of how AI systems arrive at their conclusions, radiologists may be hesitant to rely on these tools in their practice.

The synthesis results showed that continuous validation and refinement of AI systems are essential for their effectiveness in real-world settings. Sailer et al (2019) emphasized the challenges of maintaining diagnostic accuracy in interventional radiology due to variability in patient anatomy and treatment responses, which complicates the use of AI algorithms. . Do et al (2020) highlighted the difficulties in ensuring algorithm robustness across various imaging modalities and patient populations, noting that the demand for substantial data complicates AI training. Chassagnon et al (2020) pointed out that many current algorithms focus on isolated findings, which limits their usefulness in comprehensive diagnostics. Stoel (2020) underscored the need for standardized protocols in rheumatology radiology to improve the interpretation of complex imaging findings and ensure consistency in diagnostic processes.

Skepticism regarding AI performance poses significant challenges in the integration of these technologies into clinical practice, particularly in radiology. Yildirim et al. (2024) highlight that inconsistent AI performance can undermine trust and lead to an overreliance on AI-generated results, emphasizing the critical need for clinical effectiveness trials to validate these systems in real-world settings. This lack of confidence can hinder the adoption of AI technologies, necessitating rigorous testing and validation to ensure their reliability and efficacy.

In the realm of neuroradiology and chest radiology, Al Mohammad et al. (2024) identified a shortage of mentorship and guidance from experienced professionals, coupled with insufficient funding for emerging technologies. This lack of support not only stifles innovation but also hampers the effective implementation of AI solutions. Also, Bhatia et al (2024) stressed the importance of patient and data safety, particularly in pediatric neuroradiology, where the risks associated with reliance on technology must be carefully managed. As AI becomes more integrated into clinical workflows, concerns about data privacy and security become paramount. The ethical implications of using sensitive patient information to train AI algorithms raise questions regarding consent and data protection.

The quality of data used to train AI algorithms presents another critical challenge. Najjar (2023) notes that issues related to data quality, alongside the "black box" nature of AI decision-making and infrastructural complexities, pose significant hurdles to effective AI integration in medical imaging. High-quality datasets are essential for training robust AI models capable of generalizing across diverse patient populations and imaging modalities. However, the scarcity of such datasets and the lack of transparency regarding the statistical rationale behind AI algorithms can complicate their medical application. Ensuring that AI systems are trained on diverse and representative datasets is vital for minimizing biases and improving the accuracy of AI-assisted diagnoses.

Further complicating matters, Rezazade Mehrizi et al. (2021) pointed out that many AI applications in radiology are limited in scope, primarily focusing on enhancing perception and reasoning within specific workflows. This narrow focus restricts the applicability of AI tools in clinical practice, as radiologists frequently encounter a wide range of conditions beyond the capabilities of current AI systems. Expanding the scope of AI applications to cover a broader range of modalities and pathologies is essential for maximizing their utility in radiology. In a similar vein, Giansanti and Di Basilio (2022) discussed key factors influencing the successful integration of AI into digital radiology, including technological features, workflow adjustments, and enhanced collaboration between data scientists and radiologists. Integrating AI into existing workflows can be challenging, as it necessitates radiologists adapting to new technologies and processes. Fostering collaboration between radiologists and data scientists is crucial for developing AI tools that are clinically relevant and user-friendly, bridging the gap between technical expertise and clinical practice.

Legal and ethical concerns also persist in the realm of AI in medical imaging. Lee et al (2017) emphasized the need to clarify the "technical foundations" of AI systems, as regulatory frameworks must evolve to address issues related to liability, accountability, and patient safety. Radiologists remain accountable for diagnostic outcomes, even when utilizing AI tools, making it essential to establish clear guidelines and regulations to mitigate legal risks and ensure responsible and ethical use of these technologies.

Moreover, addressing biases in AI algorithms is essential to ensure equitable treatment outcomes across diverse patient populations. AI systems trained on biased datasets risk perpetuating existing healthcare disparities, leading to unequal access to care and suboptimal outcomes for certain groups. Brady and Neri et al. (2020) highlighted additional concerns about the economic implications of AI integration in clinical practice, including the potential for reduced pay and prestige for radiologists. The objectives of AI developers may not always align with the altruistic aims of healthcare, raising the risk of conflicts of interest as AI systems increasingly take on tasks traditionally performed by radiologists. This shift may result in economic pressures and diminished recognition for the profession, necessitating careful consideration of the broader impact of AI technologies on the radiology workforce.

Discussion

The findings of the study showed the transformative impact of AI across various domains of radiology. From enhancing diagnostic accuracy and workflow efficiency to improving patient outcomes and safety, AI applications are reshaping the landscape of medical imaging. As these technologies continue to evolve, their integration into clinical practice will likely lead to even greater advancements in patient care and healthcare delivery (Marey et al., 2021; Yildirim et al, 2024; Najjar, 2023; Stoel, 2020).

The studies collectively highlight several interrelated issues inherent in the integration of AI technologies in radiology, revealing both potential benefits and significant challenges. The issue of explainability arises prominently, as seen in Marey et al. (2024), where the importance of transparent AI decision-making is underscored. Without clear insights into how AI systems generate their outputs, clinicians may struggle to trust these technologies, which can hinder their adoption in clinical practice. This concern is compounded by the variability in AI performance noted by Yildirim et al. (2024), where inconsistent results can lead to skepticism among users. This skepticism necessitates rigorous validation processes to establish reliability in real-world settings.

Furthermore, the studies revealed challenges related to the quality and representativeness of the data used to train AI algorithms. Najjar (2023) emphasized that issues with data quality and the opaque nature of AI decision-making can create significant barriers to effective implementation. If AI systems are trained on biased or incomplete datasets, they may perpetuate existing disparities in healthcare outcomes, as highlighted by Stoel (2020) when discussing the need for standardized protocols to ensure equitable treatment.

The integration of AI into clinical workflows also presents operational challenges. Giansanti and Di Basilio (2022) point to the necessity of collaboration between radiologists and data scientists, emphasizing that without effective communication, the development of clinically relevant AI tools may be hindered. Additionally, Lee et al. (2017) highlighted the need for standardized protocols in AI applications to ensure consistency in diagnostic processes, which can be difficult to achieve in diverse clinical environments.

Another critical issue is the potential for overreliance on AI technologies, as Bhatia et al. (2024) and Sailer et al. (2019) note. While AI can enhance efficiency and support diagnostic processes, there is a risk that radiologists may become complacent, relying too heavily on automated systems. This complacency can compromise the vigilance needed for accurate interpretations, particularly in complex cases where human judgment remains essential.

Concerns about data privacy, patient consent, and the accountability of AI systems are pressing issues that require careful consideration. As highlighted by Do et al. (2020), while AI can improve operational efficiencies, it also raises questions about the responsibilities of healthcare providers in ensuring patient safety and maintaining confidentiality.

Conclusion

The application of artificial intelligence in radiology is rapidly evolving, demonstrating significant promise in enhancing diagnostic accuracy, workflow efficiency, and patient care. The studies being reviewed illustrate a diverse array of AI applications across various domains, revealing both the potential benefits and the challenges that accompany their implementation

While the integration of AI into radiology holds great promise, it is imperative to address the multifaceted challenges that accompany this transition. A comprehensive approach that includes enhancing transparency, securing funding, ensuring data quality, and fostering collaboration among stakeholders will be essential for successfully implementing AI technologies in clinical practice.

By fostering collaboration between AI developers and radiologists, the medical community can ensure that these technologies are effectively utilized to enhance diagnostic capabilities and improve patient outcomes. The future of radiology is undoubtedly intertwined with the advancements in AI, promising a new era of precision medicine and improved healthcare delivery.

As the field of radiology continues to evolve, ongoing research and collaboration will be essential to ensure that AI technologies are effectively integrated into clinical practice. By fostering a culture of innovation and collaboration, the radiology community can navigate the complexities of AI integration and ultimately improve patient outcomes in an increasingly data-driven healthcare landscape.

Acknowledgments

The authors would like to thank all those who helped in the data collection process for this research.

Competing Interests

None

Funding

The research is funded by the researchers.

Authors' Contributions

Conception and design: Abdulaziz Abdullah Alfozan, Mohammed Abdullah Alshalawi, Majed Turki Almutairi

Analysis and interpretation of the data: Hashim Hamed Almutairi, Riyadh Helail Almutairi, Ibrahim Defalah Alyosuff

Drafting of the article: Fahad Ibrahim Almohimeed, Fatimah Mohammed Alkhaldi, Ebtesam Mohammed Alkhaledi

Correspondence

Abdulaziz Abdullah Alfozan

Senior Radiology

King Abdulaziz University Hospital

Riyadh, Saudi Arabia

E-mail: Abdulazizalfozan66@gmail.com

References

1. Al Mohammad, B., Aldaradkeh, A., Gharaibeh, M., & Reed, W. (2024). Assessing radiologists' and radiographers' perceptions on artificial intelligence integration: opportunities and challenges. *British Journal of Radiology*, *97*(1156), 763-769.
2. Al-Dasuqi, K., Johnson, M. H., & Cavallo, J. J. (2022). Use of artificial intelligence in emergency radiology: An overview of current applications, challenges, and opportunities. *Clinical Imaging*, *89*, 61-67.
3. Andersen, L. (2024). AI-Enhanced Medical Imaging Interpretation for Improved Radiology Workflow. *Journal of Bioinformatics and Artificial Intelligence*, *4*(1), 25-34.
4. Bergquist, M., Rolandsson, B., Gryska, E., Laesser, M., Hoefling, N., Heckemann, R., & Björkman-Burtscher, I. M. (2024). Trust and stakeholder perspectives on the implementation of AI tools in clinical radiology. *European Radiology*, *34*(1), 338-347.
5. Bhatia, A., Khalvati, F., & Ertl-Wagner, B. B. (2024). Artificial Intelligence in the Future Landscape of Pediatric Neuroradiology: Opportunities and Challenges. *American Journal of Neuroradiology*, *45*(5), 549-553.
6. Brady, A. P., & Neri, E. (2020). Artificial intelligence in radiology—ethical considerations. *Diagnostics*, *10*(4), 231.
7. Chassagnon, G., Vakalopoulou, M., Paragios, N., & Revel, M. P. (2020). Artificial intelligence applications for thoracic imaging. *European journal of radiology*, *123*, 108774.
8. Do, H. M., Spear, L. G., Nikpanah, M., Mirmomen, S. M., Machado, L. B., Toscano, A. P., & Folio, L. R. (2020). Augmented radiologist workflow improves report value and saves time: a potential model for implementation of artificial intelligence. *Academic radiology*, *27*(1), 96-105.
9. Giansanti, D., & Di Basilio, F. (2022, March). The artificial intelligence in digital radiology: part 1: the challenges, acceptance and consensus. In *Healthcare* (Vol. 10, No. 3, p. 509). MDPI.
10. Glielmo, P., Fusco, S., Gitto, S., Zantonelli, G., Albano, D., Messina, C., & Mauri, G. (2024). Artificial intelligence in interventional radiology: state of the art. *European Radiology Experimental*, *8*(1), 62.
11. Hong, G. S., Jang, M., Kyung, S., Cho, K., Jeong, J., Lee, G. Y. & Kim, N. (2023). Overcoming the challenges in the development and implementation of artificial intelligence in radiology: a comprehensive review of solutions beyond supervised learning. *Korean Journal of Radiology*, *24*(11), 1061.
12. Hosny, A., Parmar, C., Quackenbush, J., Schwartz, L. H., & Aerts, H. J. (2018). Artificial intelligence in radiology. *Nature Reviews Cancer*, *18*(8), 500-510.
13. Iezzi, R., Goldberg, S. N., Merlino, B., Posa, A., Valentini, V., & Manfredi, R. (2019). Artificial intelligence in interventional radiology: a literature review and future perspectives. *Journal of oncology*, *2019*(1), 6153041.
14. Katzman, B. D., van der Pol, C. B., Soyer, P., & Patlas, M. N. (2023). Artificial intelligence in emergency radiology: a review of applications and possibilities. *Diagnostic and Interventional Imaging*, *104*(1), 6-10.
15. Kim, B., Romeijn, S., van Buchem, M., Mehrizi, M. H. R., & Grootjans, W. (2024). A holistic approach to implementing artificial intelligence in radiology. *Insights Into Imaging*, *15*(1), 22.
16. Kitamura, F. C., Pan, I., Ferraciolli, S. F., Yeom, K. W., & Abdala, N. (2021). Clinical artificial intelligence applications in radiology: Neuro. *Radiologic Clinics*, *59*(6), 1003-1012.
17. Lee, J. G., Jun, S., Cho, Y. W., Lee, H., Kim, G. B., Seo, J. B., & Kim, N. (2017). Deep learning in medical imaging: general overview. *Korean journal of radiology, 18*(4), 570-584.
18. Lobig, F., Subramanian, D., Blankenburg, M., Sharma, A., Variyar, A., & Butler, O. (2023). To pay or not to pay for artificial intelligence applications in radiology. *NPJ Digital Medicine*, *6*(1), 117.
19. Marey, A., Arjmand, P., Alerab, A. D. S., Eslami, M. J., Saad, A. M., Sanchez, N., & Umair, M. (2024). Explainability, transparency and black box challenges of AI in radiology: impact on patient care in cardiovascular radiology. *Egyptian Journal of Radiology and Nuclear Medicine*, *55*(1), 1-14.
20. Meek, R. D., Lungren, M. P., & Gichoya, J. W. (2019). Machine learning for the interventional radiologist. *American Journal of Roentgenology*, *213*(4), 782-784.

21. Najjar, R. (2023). Redefining radiology: a review of artificial intelligence integration in medical imaging. *Diagnostics*, *13*(17), 2760.
22. Pesapane, F., & Summers, P. (2024). Ethics and regulations for AI in radiology. In *Artificial Intelligence for Medicine* (pp. 179-192). Academic Press.
23. Poortmans, P. M., Takanen, S., Marta, G. N., Meattini, I., & Kaidar-Person, O. (2020). Winter is over: the use of artificial intelligence to individualise radiation therapy for breast cancer. *The Breast*, *49*, 194-200.
24. Rezazade Mehrizi, M. H., van Ooijen, P., & Homan, M. (2021). Applications of artificial intelligence (AI) in diagnostic radiology: a technography study. *European radiology*, *31*, 1805-1811.
25. Sailer, A. M., Tipaldi, M. A., & Krokidis, M. (2019). AI in interventional radiology: there is momentum for high-quality data registries. *Cardiovascular and Interventional Radiology*, *42*, 1208-1209.
26. Stoel, B. (2020). Use of artificial intelligence in imaging in rheumatology–current status and future perspectives. *RMD open*, *6*(1), e001063.
27. Strohm, L., Hehakaya, C., Ranschaert, E. R., Boon, W. P., & Moors, E. H. (2020). Implementation of artificial intelligence (AI) applications in radiology: hindering and facilitating factors. *European radiology*, *30*, 5525-5532.
28. Waller, J., O'connor, A., Raafat, E., Amireh, A., Dempsey, J., Martin, C., & Umair, M. (2022). Applications and challenges of artificial intelligence in diagnostic and interventional radiology. *Polish journal of radiology*, *87*(1), 113-117.
29. Yildirim, N., Richardson, H., Wetscherek, M. T., Bajwa, J., Jacob, J., Pinnock, M. A., & Thieme, A. (2024, May). Multimodal healthcare AI: identifying and designing clinically relevant vision-language applications for radiology. In *Proceedings of the CHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems* (pp. 1-22).