



# The Role of Patient Safety Culture in Reducing Medical Errors and Enhancing Outcomes

**<sup>1</sup>-Sumaia Aman Felimban, <sup>2</sup>-Ibrahim Abdulaziz Almuhammizi, <sup>3</sup>Muath Saud Ghazi Alharbi, <sup>4</sup>Hana Mohammed Taher Alyousef, <sup>5</sup>Zainab Abdulkareem Almousa, <sup>6</sup>Fatimah Mohammed Al-Alawi, <sup>7</sup>Hadeel Hidayatalla Saleh, <sup>8</sup>Amjad Wahab Alquraini, <sup>9</sup>Ahmed Ibrahim Almazrui, <sup>10</sup>Ahmad Ali Essa Al Ben Hamad, <sup>11</sup>Sana Abdulrazaq Alrebh, <sup>12</sup>Fatimah Sameer Albagshi, <sup>13</sup>Rabea Mohammed Ali Khalifa, <sup>14</sup>Abdulaziz Jameel Musayfir Alrabie**

1. Senior Registrar Of Internal Medicine Ibn Sena Hospital
2. Health Security Qassim Health Cluster
3. Health Security King Fahad Specialist Hospital
4. Clinical Nutrition King Fahad Hospital Hufuf
5. Physical Therapy Ras Tanura General Hospital
6. Physical Therapy Ras Tanura General Hospital
7. General Physician Health Surveillance Center At King Abdulaziz Airport, Jeddah Second Health Cluster
8. Obstetrics And Gynaecology Maternity & Children Hospital In Al-Ahsa
9. Physiotherapy Shaqra General Hospital
10. Specialist Food And Nutrition Prince Sultan Hospital In Urayrah
11. Bachelor Of Medicine And Surgery Anak General Hospital
12. Bachelor Of Medicine And Surgery Anak General Hospital
13. Family Medicine Al-Taghar Hospital -Taiba Phc
14. Physical Therapy King Fahad Hospital Jeddah

**Received:** 07 october 2023    **Revised:** 22 November 2023    **Accepted:** 06 December 2023

## Chapter 1: Introduction to Patient Safety Culture

Patient Safety Culture (PSC) refers to the shared values, beliefs, and norms within a healthcare organization that prioritize patient safety as a fundamental principle. It encompasses attitudes and behaviors at all levels, from leadership to frontline staff, aimed at minimizing harm and promoting safe practices. PSC is not limited to error prevention but extends to fostering a non-punitive environment where staff feel empowered to report mistakes and participate in continuous improvement (**Macedo et al., 2020**). A robust safety culture ensures transparency, accountability, and teamwork, which are crucial for delivering high-quality care. By embedding PSC into the organizational framework, healthcare institutions can establish systems that proactively address risks and improve patient outcomes (**Eliyana et al., 2020**).

The significance of PSC lies in its ability to mitigate medical errors, which are a leading cause of preventable harm in healthcare. Errors often stem from systemic failures rather than individual negligence, making a strong safety culture essential for identifying and addressing these root causes (**Querstreet et al., 2020**). PSC promotes open communication, encouraging healthcare workers to report errors without fear of punishment, enabling organizations to learn from mistakes and implement corrective measures. Research has shown that hospitals with a robust safety culture experience fewer adverse events, such as medication errors, surgical complications, and hospital-acquired infections. Thus, fostering PSC is integral to building a safer and more effective healthcare system (**Newman et al., 2020**).

PSC directly impacts patient outcomes by creating an environment where safety is prioritized in every aspect of care delivery. When healthcare teams work in a culture of safety, they are more likely to follow evidence-based practices, adhere to protocols, and maintain high standards of care. This, in turn, reduces complications, shortens hospital stays, and enhances patient satisfaction **(Darling-Hammond et al., 2020)**. Additionally, a strong PSC improves staff morale and teamwork, further contributing to better patient outcomes. Institutions that invest in safety culture initiatives often see a ripple effect, where improvements in safety also lead to cost savings and increased trust from both patients and staff **(Reynolds et al., 2022)**.

The concept of patient safety gained prominence in the late 20th century, with landmark publications such as the 1999 Institute of Medicine report, *To Err is Human*. This report highlighted that medical errors were a significant cause of preventable deaths in the United States, bringing attention to the need for systemic changes **(Shin & Shin, 2020)**. Before this, errors were often viewed as individual failures rather than systemic issues, and punitive approaches dominated healthcare settings. The shift toward viewing errors as opportunities for improvement marked the beginning of a broader focus on creating a culture of safety within healthcare organizations **(Jerg-Bretzke et al., 2020)**.

Over the past few decades, the healthcare industry has made significant strides in developing and implementing PSC **(Nyanyiswa, Peters & Murphy, 2022)**. Early efforts focused on improving processes and systems, such as standardizing protocols and introducing checklists. However, the emphasis gradually shifted toward fostering open communication and teamwork. Key frameworks, like the Swiss Cheese Model and the High-Reliability Organization (HRO) principles, have guided the integration of safety culture into healthcare. These models emphasize resilience, error detection, and system-wide accountability, marking a departure from the blame culture of the past **(Tajalli et al., 2021)**.

Leadership has played a pivotal role in the evolution of PSC. Effective leaders set the tone for safety by demonstrating commitment, allocating resources, and fostering a supportive environment. They encourage transparency and ensure that safety goals are prioritized alongside operational objectives **(Uwannah, Onyekachi & Filade, 2021)**. Over time, leadership practices have evolved to include regular safety briefings, open forums for staff feedback, and visible engagement in safety initiatives. By modeling safety-oriented behaviors, leaders inspire their teams to embrace a culture of continuous improvement and accountability, significantly enhancing the overall safety culture within their organizations **(Kim & Sim, 2020)**.

The evolution of PSC has also been influenced by regulatory bodies and accreditation organizations, which have incorporated safety culture principles into healthcare policies. For example, The Joint Commission and the World Health Organization (WHO) have developed guidelines and tools to assess and strengthen PSC **(Xing, Sun & Jepsen, 2021)**. These efforts have led to the standardization of practices, such as error reporting systems, safety checklists, and quality improvement initiatives. The integration of PSC into policies underscores its importance as a foundational element of healthcare delivery, ensuring consistency and accountability across diverse settings **(Spagnoli et al., 2020)**.

Despite advancements, PSC remains a work in progress. Healthcare organizations continue to face challenges, such as resistance to change, resource limitations, and communication barriers. However, ongoing research and innovation are driving new approaches to enhance PSC **(Zarrin, Gracia & Paixão, 2020)**. The incorporation of technology, such as real-time monitoring systems and predictive analytics, is providing fresh opportunities to identify risks and prevent errors. As the field evolves, the focus is shifting toward creating more inclusive, adaptive, and patient-centered safety cultures. The journey toward a stronger PSC is a continuous one, requiring sustained commitment and collaboration from all stakeholders in the healthcare ecosystem **(Yun, Lim & Choi, 2020)**.

## **Chapter 2: Understanding Patient Safety Culture**

Leadership plays a crucial role in shaping and sustaining a strong patient safety culture (PSC). Leaders set the tone for safety priorities by demonstrating commitment, allocating resources, and establishing clear

policies that promote a culture of safety. Their active involvement in safety initiatives, such as participating in rounds and addressing staff concerns, reinforces the importance of PSC (**Siyal et al .,2020**).Transformational leadership, which emphasizes vision, support, and empowerment, has been shown to enhance staff engagement in safety practices. Leaders must also foster psychological safety, ensuring that staff feel comfortable voicing concerns without fear of retaliation. Ultimately, leadership accountability and visibility are critical for embedding safety as a core organizational value and driving continuous improvement in healthcare systems (**World Alliance for Patient Safety,2021**).

Effective communication is fundamental to a robust PSC, enabling the timely exchange of critical information among healthcare professionals. Open and transparent communication fosters trust and ensures that safety concerns are promptly addressed. Structured communication tools, such as SBAR (Situation, Background, Assessment, Recommendation), improve clarity during handoffs and shift changes, reducing the risk of errors (**Yuniati& Sitinjak, 2022**). Additionally, regular safety huddles and debriefings allow teams to discuss challenges, share lessons learned, and reinforce a collective focus on patient safety. However, hierarchical structures in healthcare can create barriers to open communication. Organizations must train staff to communicate assertively while promoting a culture where all voices are valued, regardless of rank. Prioritizing effective communication strengthens teamwork and enhances the overall safety environment (**Adel et al ., 2021**).

Teamwork is essential for delivering safe, high-quality care, as healthcare is inherently collaborative. A strong PSC relies on cohesive, interdisciplinary teams that work together to achieve shared safety goals. Trust, mutual respect, and clear role delineation are key components of effective teamwork. Simulation-based training, such as team-based scenarios, enhances collaboration and prepares teams to handle high-pressure situations (**Brown, Krammer & Bratton, 2019**). Interprofessional education also fosters understanding of each team member's role, improving coordination and reducing conflicts. However, challenges such as poor communication, cultural differences, and time constraints can undermine teamwork. Addressing these barriers by promoting team-building activities and regular feedback strengthens relationships and supports the establishment of a strong PSC (**Ramos et al ., 2020**).

A learning environment is critical for a sustainable PSC, as it encourages continuous improvement through the analysis of errors and near misses. Organizations with strong PSC view mistakes as opportunities to learn and enhance processes rather than as reasons to assign blame. Regular safety training, workshops, and simulation exercises keep staff informed about best practices and emerging risks (**Zwedberg, Alnervik& Barimani, 2021**). Furthermore, fostering a culture of curiosity, where staff are encouraged to ask questions and seek innovative solutions, drives improvements. Leadership must support learning initiatives by providing resources and protecting time for education. When organizations prioritize learning, they not only reduce errors but also cultivate a workforce committed to delivering safer care (**Segev, 2019**).

The shift from a blame culture to a learning culture is pivotal for fostering PSC. In a blame culture, staff fear punishment for reporting errors, leading to underreporting and missed opportunities to address systemic issues (**Holland, 2019**). Conversely, a learning culture emphasizes understanding the root causes of errors and implementing solutions to prevent recurrence. Non-punitive reporting systems encourage transparency and promote accountability without fear. Leadership plays a key role in this transition by modeling behaviors that prioritize learning and improvement over assigning fault. Organizations that successfully adopt a learning culture see increased reporting of near misses and errors, leading to proactive risk management and safer patient outcomes(**Lee et al .,2020**).

Transparency is a cornerstone of PSC, fostering trust and accountability within healthcare organizations. When transparency is prioritized, staff are more likely to report errors, share concerns, and collaborate on solutions (**Khosravi, Ghiasi& Ganjali, 2021**).Open communication about adverse events and near misses allows teams to identify patterns and implement preventative measures. Additionally, being transparent with patients and families about errors strengthens trust and demonstrates a commitment to ethical care. However, achieving transparency requires clear policies, robust reporting systems, and a non-punitive

environment. Leadership must actively promote transparency by openly discussing safety challenges and celebrating improvements. By embedding transparency into organizational practices, healthcare teams can drive meaningful change and reinforce PSC (**Syahrina& Mutya, 2023**).

Accountability in PSC ensures that all team members take responsibility for maintaining safety standards. It involves recognizing the role of individuals and systems in patient safety while avoiding undue blame. Clear expectations, regular performance reviews, and feedback mechanisms help establish accountability at all levels of the organization. Leadership accountability is especially crucial, as leaders must model ethical behavior, respond to safety concerns, and provide resources for improvement (**Fernández-Salinero & Topa, 2020**). Team accountability fosters collective ownership of safety goals, encouraging staff to support one another in achieving high standards. Balancing accountability with a supportive, non-punitive approach enables healthcare organizations to strengthen their PSC and improve patient outcomes (**Zurman, Hoffmann & Ruff-Stahl ,2019**).

The integration of leadership, communication, teamwork, and a learning environment is essential for building a strong PSC. These elements are interconnected, with each reinforcing the other to create a cohesive safety culture. For example, leadership drives the establishment of open communication channels, which support effective teamwork and foster a learning environment (**Kim, Jilapali& Boyd, 2021**). Simultaneously, a learning culture promotes the development of innovative solutions, strengthening leadership and team dynamics. Organizations must assess their PSC regularly to identify strengths and areas for improvement, ensuring that these elements are consistently addressed. By aligning these key components, healthcare institutions can reduce errors, enhance safety, and deliver better patient care (**Chang et al ., 2020**).

### **Chapter 3: The Relationship Between Patient Safety Culture and Medical Errors**

Patient Safety Culture (PSC) encourages a proactive approach to error reporting, fostering an environment where staff feel safe to disclose mistakes without fear of punishment. By creating a culture that prioritizes learning from errors rather than assigning blame, healthcare organizations can identify systemic weaknesses and implement corrective measures. For example, open discussions in staff meetings about near-misses help uncover patterns and prevent future incidents (**Afota, Robert& Vandenberghe, 2021**). Error reporting also enables organizations to track trends over time, facilitating data-driven improvements in policies and procedures. This transparency promotes continuous learning and system refinement, ultimately reducing medical errors and enhancing patient outcomes (**Even, 2020**).

A strong PSC focuses on systemic improvements rather than individual blame. Errors are often the result of system failures, such as miscommunication or workflow inefficiencies, rather than individual negligence. PSC emphasizes addressing these root causes by redesigning processes and ensuring robust safety protocols (**Jiang et al .,2019**). For instance, organizations with effective PSC frequently review error data to improve equipment functionality, standardize procedures, and enhance training programs. These improvements create safer environments for both patients and healthcare providers. By shifting the focus from punitive responses to system-level changes, PSC fosters a culture of accountability and safety (**Baris, Intepeler & Unal, 2023**).

Non-punitive reporting systems are a cornerstone of PSC, enabling staff to report errors and near-misses without fear of disciplinary action. These systems prioritize learning and improvement over punishment, fostering trust and openness among healthcare providers (**Moghadari-Koosha et al .,2020**). For example, when staff report errors, organizations can analyze contributing factors and implement preventive measures, such as adjusting workflows or enhancing training. Research shows that healthcare facilities with non-punitive systems report higher rates of error disclosure, which correlates with a reduction in preventable incidents. By removing fear from the equation, these systems encourage transparency and accountability, leading to safer healthcare environments (**Ismail, 2021**).

PSC also emphasizes the importance of reporting near-misses, which are often overlooked but provide valuable insights into potential risks. Near-misses highlight weaknesses in the system that could lead to

errors if left unaddressed (**Liu et al .,2019**).For instance, a near-miss involving medication administration might reveal issues with labeling or storage practices. Healthcare organizations that promote near-miss reporting can identify these vulnerabilities early and take corrective actions before they result in harm. Encouraging near-miss reporting also reinforces a culture of vigilance and continuous improvement, key elements of PSC that contribute to error prevention (**Cherkasov et al .,2019**).

Effective communication is a central tenet of PSC and plays a crucial role in reducing medical errors. Miscommunication among healthcare teams is a leading cause of errors, particularly in high-pressure environments like emergency departments. PSC initiatives, such as standardized handoff protocols and multidisciplinary safety huddles, improve information sharing and reduce the likelihood of misunderstandings (**Dedahanov, Bozorov& Sung ,2019**).For example, using structured communication tools like SBAR (Situation, Background, Assessment, Recommendation) ensures clarity during patient transitions. Improved communication not only enhances teamwork but also significantly reduces the risk of errors, leading to better patient outcomes (**Cinar, 2019**).

Leadership commitment is essential for fostering PSC and reducing medical errors. Leaders set the tone for safety by prioritizing transparency, providing resources for error reporting systems, and actively addressing reported issues. Organizations where leaders demonstrate a visible commitment to safety tend to have higher rates of staff engagement and error reporting (**Ghafouri et al .,2022**).For example, healthcare executives who regularly participate in safety rounds and acknowledge staff contributions to safety initiatives build trust and encourage open dialogue. Strong leadership ensures that PSC principles are embedded in the organization's culture, driving sustained improvements in safety and error reduction (**Gupta, Shaheen& Das, 2019**).

#### **Chapter 4: Impact of Patient Safety Culture on Healthcare Outcomes**

A strong patient safety culture (PSC) significantly reduces hospital-acquired infections (HAIs), such as catheter-associated urinary tract infections (CAUTIs) and surgical site infections (SSIs). In healthcare settings with robust PSC, staff are more likely to adhere to infection prevention protocols, including proper hand hygiene, sterilization practices, and timely catheter removal (**Abd El Rahman et al .,2022**). Open communication and regular training ensure that all team members are vigilant about recognizing and mitigating infection risks. For example, implementing safety-focused checklists and fostering accountability has been shown to decrease HAIs in hospitals. By prioritizing prevention, PSC not only improves patient outcomes but also reduces the financial burden on healthcare systems, which often face high costs associated with treating preventable infections (**Mauro, 2022**).

Healthcare organizations with a strong PSC achieve lower hospital readmission rates by addressing the root causes of patient deterioration after discharge. A culture that emphasizes safety ensures effective discharge planning, clear communication with patients, and proper follow-up care (**Khalid et al ., 2021**).For example, providing detailed medication instructions and ensuring patients understand their treatment plans significantly reduces the likelihood of complications leading to readmission. Team collaboration across departments also plays a critical role, as seamless coordination prevents gaps in care transitions (**Aklil et al .,2021**). Studies have shown that hospitals with high PSC scores consistently outperform others in reducing 30-day readmission rates for chronic conditions such as heart failure and diabetes. These outcomes highlight PSC's role in enhancing long-term patient recovery and reducing healthcare costs (**Kim& Gatling, 2019**).

Patient safety culture directly contributes to lowering mortality rates in healthcare facilities. By promoting error reporting, continuous learning, and evidence-based practices, PSC reduces preventable deaths caused by medication errors, misdiagnoses, or delays in care. Safety-focused hospitals often implement early warning systems that detect deteriorating patient conditions, enabling timely interventions (**Yoon et al .,2020**). Additionally, fostering open communication ensures that healthcare teams feel empowered to escalate concerns without fear of blame. For example, a well-established PSC in intensive care units has been linked to improved survival rates for critically ill patients. By prioritizing safety and accountability, healthcare organizations can significantly enhance patient outcomes and save lives (**Gawad, 2022**).

Patient satisfaction is closely tied to perceptions of safety and quality in healthcare. In organizations with a strong PSC, patients feel reassured that their care is being delivered in a safe, well-coordinated manner. Practices such as transparent communication, involving patients in decision-making, and promptly addressing concerns build trust and confidence. For example, explaining procedures clearly and ensuring informed consent fosters a sense of control and comfort for patients **(Raeissi et al., 2019)**. Moreover, fewer medical errors and complications in safety-oriented settings contribute to higher satisfaction scores. Surveys consistently show that patients in hospitals with robust PSC are more likely to recommend the facility to others, highlighting the impact of safety on overall patient experience **(Hiver & Al-Hoorie, 2020)**.

A strong PSC fosters trust not only between patients and healthcare providers but also within the organization. Patients who observe diligent safety practices, such as double-checking medications or using surgical timeouts, develop greater confidence in their care teams. This trust is further reinforced by the organization's transparency in addressing errors and taking corrective actions **(Ko & Kang, 2019)**. From the staff perspective, an environment that values safety encourages openness, collaboration, and shared responsibility. When patients and providers trust one another, communication improves, leading to better adherence to treatment plans and improved health outcomes. Trust, therefore, becomes a cornerstone of both patient satisfaction and organizational success **(Eslamlou, Karatepe & Uner, 2021)**.

A supportive PSC significantly boosts staff morale by fostering a positive and collaborative work environment. When healthcare workers feel valued and supported, they are more engaged and motivated to deliver high-quality care. For example, organizations that adopt non-punitive responses to errors encourage employees to report incidents and learn from mistakes, reducing stress and fear of blame **(Al-Turfi & Al-Jubouri, 2022)**. Regular training and recognition programs further enhance job satisfaction and build a sense of professional growth. High staff morale translates into better teamwork, fewer conflicts, and improved communication, all of which contribute to safer patient care. By prioritizing staff well-being, organizations with strong PSC create a culture where employees thrive, and patients benefit **(Faisal, 2022)**.

Organizations with robust PSC experience higher retention rates among healthcare professionals. A culture that values safety and continuous learning creates a sense of belonging and purpose for employees. Nurses, physicians, and allied health workers are more likely to remain in workplaces that prioritize their safety, provide opportunities for professional development, and promote teamwork **(Spilg et al., 2022)**. Additionally, reducing burnout through manageable workloads and supportive leadership further strengthens retention. Retaining experienced staff is critical for maintaining continuity of care and building institutional knowledge. Studies have shown that facilities with high PSC scores report lower turnover rates, underscoring the importance of cultivating a positive and safety-focused work environment **(Crafter, Maunder & Soulsby, 2019)**.

The cumulative effects of a strong PSC create a cycle of continuous improvement in healthcare outcomes. Reduced errors, enhanced patient satisfaction, and higher staff morale reinforce one another, creating a feedback loop of success. For example, satisfied patients are more likely to adhere to treatment plans, leading to better outcomes, while motivated staff are more proactive in identifying and resolving safety issues **(Talebian et al., 2022)**. This dynamic fosters a culture of excellence where safety becomes ingrained in every aspect of care delivery. Organizations that sustain this cycle not only achieve superior outcomes but also set benchmarks for others to follow, demonstrating the transformative power of patient safety culture in healthcare **(Abe & Chikoko, 2020)**.

## **Chapter 5: Challenges in Implementing Patient Safety Culture**

Resistance to change is one of the most significant barriers to implementing a robust patient safety culture. Many healthcare professionals may fear repercussions or punishment when reporting errors, leading to underreporting and missed opportunities for improvement. Additionally, ingrained practices and traditional hierarchies in healthcare organizations often make staff reluctant to adopt new safety protocols or systems. This resistance stems from a lack of trust in the system and skepticism about whether the changes will yield meaningful outcomes **(Durrah, Chaudhary & Gharib, 2019)**. To address this challenge, leaders must foster an environment of psychological safety where employees feel encouraged to report

mistakes without fear of blame. Open communication, transparency, and involving staff in the decision-making process can help ease resistance and pave the way for a more collaborative and safety-focused culture **(Olatunji, Idemudia& Owoseni, 2020)**.

A punitive approach to error management is a critical factor inhibiting the growth of patient safety culture. Healthcare workers often avoid reporting mistakes due to concerns about disciplinary action, reputational damage, or legal consequences. This fear creates a culture of silence, where learning opportunities from errors are lost. Transitioning to a non-punitive, learning-oriented environment is essential **(Çingöl et al .,2020)**. Organizations must shift their focus from individual blame to system-level solutions, ensuring that errors are treated as opportunities for improvement. Training sessions and workshops on just culture principles can help employees understand that the goal is not to assign blame but to enhance patient safety. By addressing these fears, healthcare organizations can promote openness and transparency, key pillars of a robust safety culture **( Pålsson et al ., 2022)**.

Ingrained practices and long-standing cultural norms within healthcare organizations often hinder the adoption of patient safety initiatives. Staff members may resist new protocols or technologies, preferring to stick with familiar processes even if they are less effective. This resistance can be exacerbated by a lack of understanding of the benefits of change or inadequate communication about the rationale behind new initiatives **(Jansen et al .,2020)**. Addressing this requires a multifaceted approach that includes education, leadership support, and demonstration of positive outcomes from change efforts. Pilot programs showcasing the effectiveness of new safety practices can also help overcome skepticism and build momentum for broader adoption. Overcoming these cultural barriers is crucial for creating an environment that prioritizes safety and continuous improvement **(Molazem, Bagheri& Najafi Kalyani, 2022)**.

Effective communication is vital for a strong patient safety culture, yet barriers often arise in multidisciplinary teams. Differences in professional roles, hierarchical structures, and communication styles can lead to misunderstandings or information gaps. For example, a nurse may hesitate to speak up about a potential safety issue during a team meeting due to perceived power dynamics. These barriers can result in missed opportunities to address safety concerns and improve patient outcomes **(Mostafa et al .,2021)**. To enhance communication, organizations should implement structured tools such as SBAR (Situation, Background, Assessment, Recommendation) to standardize information exchange. Team training programs, including simulation exercises, can also help improve collaboration and understanding among team members. Building a culture of mutual respect and open dialogue is essential for overcoming communication barriers and fostering teamwork **(Razmerita et al ., 2020)**.

In multidisciplinary healthcare settings, teamwork challenges often arise due to differing priorities, perspectives, and expertise among team members. While diversity of skills is essential for comprehensive care, it can also lead to conflicts or misaligned goals. For instance, a physician may prioritize efficiency, while a nurse focuses on thorough patient education, creating tension within the team **(Echebiri , Amundsen & Engen, 2020)**. These challenges are compounded by heavy workloads and time constraints, which limit opportunities for collaboration. Strategies to address these issues include team-building activities, regular interprofessional meetings, and training programs focused on conflict resolution and communication. Encouraging shared decision-making and defining clear roles within the team can also help align objectives and enhance collaboration, ultimately strengthening patient safety culture **(Yun, 2019)**.

Staffing shortages pose a significant challenge to implementing patient safety culture, as overburdened healthcare workers struggle to manage heavy workloads while maintaining safety standards. Insufficient staffing often leads to fatigue, burnout, and reduced attention to detail, increasing the likelihood of errors. For example, understaffed units may experience delays in care or missed safety checks, compromising patient outcomes **(Ferri et al .,2020)**. Addressing staffing shortages requires long-term workforce planning, investment in recruitment and retention strategies, and optimization of task distribution through support staff or technology. Temporary measures, such as hiring agency nurses during peak periods, can provide immediate relief, but sustainable solutions are essential for fostering a safety culture. Prioritizing

adequate staffing levels ensures that healthcare workers can focus on delivering safe, high-quality care **(Abd El-Salam, Metwally& Abdeen, 2022)**.

Time constraints are a persistent challenge in healthcare settings, limiting the ability of staff to engage in safety initiatives. Nurses and physicians often face competing demands, such as patient care, administrative tasks, and documentation, leaving little time for reporting errors or participating in safety training. This lack of time can hinder efforts to implement and sustain patient safety culture **(Akinbadewa & Sofowora, 2020)**. Solutions include streamlining workflows through technology, such as electronic health records (EHRs) with integrated safety reporting features, and delegating non-clinical tasks to support staff. Scheduling regular, short safety briefings during shift changes can also keep safety top of mind without overburdening staff. By addressing time constraints, organizations can create opportunities for healthcare workers to actively contribute to safety initiatives **(Sheta& Hammouda, 2022)**.

Financial limitations can significantly hinder the implementation of patient safety culture, particularly in resource-constrained settings. Investments in safety programs, technology, and training require substantial funding, which may not always be available. For example, small hospitals may struggle to afford electronic health record (EHR) systems or hire safety officers, despite their proven benefits. Addressing financial constraints requires innovative solutions, such as seeking external grants, forming partnerships, and prioritizing cost-effective safety interventions **(Mahmoud, 2019 )**. Governments and policymakers can play a role by allocating dedicated funding for patient safety initiatives. Additionally, organizations can emphasize the long-term financial benefits of reduced medical errors, such as lower litigation costs and improved patient retention. Balancing financial realities with safety goals is essential for building and sustaining a culture of safety **(Yurtseven& Dogan, 2019)**.

## **Chapter 6: Strategies for Strengthening Patient Safety Culture**

Strong leadership is the cornerstone of fostering a robust patient safety culture. Leaders set the tone for safety by prioritizing it in organizational policies, resource allocation, and daily practices. They should actively demonstrate a commitment to safety by addressing errors transparently, celebrating successes, and creating a non-punitive environment that encourages error reporting **(Badawy, 2021)**. Regular communication from leadership, such as safety briefings and newsletters, reinforces the importance of safety at all levels. Additionally, leaders must ensure that frontline staff feel supported, providing them with the necessary tools and resources to prioritize patient safety. Leadership engagement not only drives the implementation of safety initiatives but also inspires a shared commitment among staff, creating a cohesive and proactive safety culture **(Yu, Guan& Zhang, 2019)**.

Leaders must act as role models, embodying the values and behaviors of a strong safety culture. This includes actively participating in safety rounds, engaging in open discussions about errors, and demonstrating accountability. When leaders prioritize safety over operational pressures, such as speed or cost-saving measures, it communicates to staff that patient well-being is the ultimate goal **(Canu, 2023)**. Encouraging participation in safety initiatives, such as multidisciplinary safety committees, ensures that staff at all levels contribute to decision-making. Leadership training programs focused on patient safety principles can enhance leaders' ability to drive cultural change effectively. By visibly championing safety efforts, leaders instill trust and confidence among staff, fostering a unified approach to reducing errors and improving outcomes **(Vikstrom& Johansson, 2019)**.

Regular training and education are critical for equipping healthcare staff with the knowledge and skills necessary to uphold patient safety. Training programs should cover topics such as error prevention strategies, communication techniques, and the importance of teamwork. Simulation-based training is particularly effective, allowing staff to practice responding to complex, high-pressure scenarios in a controlled environment. Incorporating patient safety modules into onboarding programs ensures that new hires understand the organization's commitment to safety from the outset **(Faisal, Naushad& Faridi, 2020)**. Furthermore, continuing education opportunities, such as workshops and e-learning modules, keep staff updated on emerging safety practices and technologies. By fostering a culture of learning, healthcare



organizations empower their workforce to contribute to a safer care environment **(Nanjundeswaraswamy, 2021)**.

Interdisciplinary training programs enhance collaboration and communication, key components of a strong safety culture. These programs bring together professionals from different disciplines to learn and practice teamwork skills in realistic clinical scenarios. For example, team-based simulation exercises can improve coordination during high-stakes events, such as code blue situations **(Fentaw, Moges & Ismail, 2022)**. Training on communication frameworks, like SBAR (Situation-Background-Assessment-Recommendation), helps streamline information exchange and reduce misunderstandings. Interdisciplinary learning also fosters mutual respect and understanding among team members, breaking down silos that can hinder effective care delivery. By investing in cross-disciplinary training, healthcare organizations strengthen their teams' ability to work cohesively, ultimately reducing errors and enhancing patient outcomes **(Parizad et al., 2021)**.

Electronic health records (EHRs) are powerful tools for improving patient safety when used effectively. EHRs centralize patient data, ensuring that critical information, such as allergies, test results, and medication histories, is readily accessible to providers. Integrated alert systems within EHRs can flag potential errors, such as drug interactions or duplicate orders, in real time **(Sengul & Seyfi, 2020)**. Additionally, EHRs enable better communication and coordination among care teams by providing a shared platform for documentation and updates. To maximize the safety benefits of EHRs, healthcare organizations should invest in user training and system optimization. While EHRs can sometimes introduce new challenges, such as alert fatigue, addressing these issues through thoughtful design and feedback ensures they remain a cornerstone of a robust safety culture **(Vasconcelos et al., 2019)**.

Predictive analytics is transforming patient safety by enabling proactive error prevention. Advanced algorithms analyze large datasets to identify patterns and predict potential risks, such as patient deterioration, medication errors, or surgical complications. For example, predictive models can alert care teams to patients at high risk of sepsis, prompting early interventions that save lives **(Huang et al., 2020)**. Incorporating predictive analytics into EHRs and clinical workflows allows healthcare providers to address issues before they escalate. However, successful implementation requires collaboration between data scientists, clinicians, and IT teams to ensure models are accurate and actionable. By integrating predictive analytics into their safety strategies, healthcare organizations can transition from reactive to preventive approaches, reducing errors and improving outcomes **(Twidwell, Dial & Fehr, 2022)**.

Effective feedback systems are vital for strengthening patient safety culture by fostering continuous learning and improvement. Healthcare organizations should implement mechanisms that allow staff to report errors and near misses without fear of reprisal. Anonymous reporting systems and open debriefing sessions encourage transparency and accountability **(King, 2021)**. Additionally, timely feedback to staff about reported incidents and subsequent improvements demonstrates that their input is valued and leads to meaningful change. Regular safety performance reviews, supported by data from incident reporting systems, can highlight trends and guide targeted interventions. Creating a culture where feedback is seen as an opportunity for growth, rather than criticism, ensures that safety remains a dynamic and evolving priority **(Mahran, Abd Al & Saleh, 2022)**.

Technology plays a key role in improving communication systems, a critical component of patient safety. Tools such as secure messaging platforms, telehealth systems, and team collaboration apps streamline information exchange among healthcare providers. These technologies reduce delays and errors associated with traditional communication methods, such as pagers or handwritten notes **(Gillet et al., 2021)**. For example, a centralized messaging app integrated with EHRs can alert care teams to critical lab results or patient status changes in real time. Additionally, technologies that facilitate virtual team huddles or consultations enhance decision-making in complex cases. Training staff on the effective use of these tools ensures they maximize their potential to improve communication and coordination. By embracing technological advancements, healthcare organizations can create a more connected and informed workforce, enhancing patient safety culture **(Balducci, Avanzi & Fraccaroli, 2020)**.

## Chapter 7: Measuring and Monitoring Patient Safety Culture

Effective tools for assessing patient safety culture (PSC) are essential for identifying strengths and areas for improvement within healthcare organizations. One widely used tool is the Hospital Survey on Patient Safety Culture (HSOPSC), developed by the Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality (AHRQ) (**Svartdal et al ., 2020**). This survey assesses multiple dimensions of PSC, such as teamwork, communication, non-punitive response to errors, and leadership support. Other tools, like the Safety Attitudes Questionnaire (SAQ) and the Manchester Patient Safety Framework (MaPSaF), offer complementary insights by evaluating staff perceptions of safety. These assessments provide quantitative and qualitative data to guide decision-making and prioritize interventions. Regular use of such tools allows organizations to benchmark their performance and track progress over time, ensuring that PSC initiatives are effectively embedded into practice (**Nomany , 2022**).

Key performance indicators (KPIs) play a pivotal role in monitoring the effectiveness of PSC initiatives. Common KPIs include rates of reported errors, adverse events, and near misses, which reflect the willingness of staff to report and address safety concerns. Other indicators, such as patient satisfaction scores, staff turnover rates, and hospital-acquired infection rates, provide indirect measures of safety culture's impact. For instance, a decrease in central line-associated bloodstream infections (CLABSI) may signal improved adherence to safety protocols (**Fortes et al ., 2022**). Monitoring KPIs helps organizations identify trends, evaluate interventions, and allocate resources more effectively. However, selecting the right KPIs is crucial to ensure they align with organizational goals and accurately reflect the state of PSC. A balanced approach to quantitative and qualitative metrics ensures a comprehensive evaluation of safety efforts (**Sein Myint, Kunaviktikul& Stark, 2021**).

PSC is not a static concept; it requires continuous monitoring to remain relevant and effective. Regular assessments using surveys and KPIs provide snapshots of safety culture, but ongoing observation is necessary to capture real-time challenges and successes. Healthcare organizations can establish safety committees or task forces to review data, address emerging issues, and implement corrective actions promptly (**Ohnishi et al .,2019**). Feedback loops, where staff receive updates on safety initiatives and outcomes, foster transparency and encourage engagement. Additionally, integrating real-time monitoring systems, such as electronic dashboards, can help track safety indicators and alert leaders to deviations from expected performance. Continuous monitoring ensures that PSC initiatives adapt to organizational changes, maintaining their effectiveness in promoting a safe and high-quality healthcare environment (**Ramírez Molina et al ., 2019**).

Technology plays a transformative role in measuring and monitoring PSC. Digital platforms can streamline data collection from surveys, track KPIs, and provide automated insights through predictive analytics. For instance, dashboards connected to electronic health records (EHRs) can analyze trends in medication errors or patient falls, offering actionable intelligence to improve safety practices. Tools like mobile apps allow frontline staff to report incidents quickly, reducing barriers to error reporting (**Abdillah et al .,2022**). Moreover, integrating artificial intelligence (AI) enables predictive modeling, identifying potential risks before they escalate into adverse events. While technology enhances PSC monitoring, organizations must ensure staff are trained to use these tools effectively. Balancing human oversight with technological innovation is key to achieving a robust safety culture supported by real-time, data-driven insights (**Kachaturoff et al .,2020**).

As healthcare systems evolve, PSC initiatives must adapt to new challenges and dynamics. Changes such as staffing fluctuations, technological advancements, or policy updates can impact safety culture, requiring organizations to reassess their strategies. Regular evaluations using tools like HSOPSC, combined with feedback from staff, ensure initiatives remain aligned with current needs (**Jalili et al .,2021**) . Adapting PSC efforts might involve revising training programs, updating protocols, or implementing new technologies. For example, the rise of telemedicine has necessitated safety adaptations in virtual care environments. Continuous engagement with frontline staff and leadership fosters resilience, ensuring PSC initiatives thrive even amid organizational shifts. By maintaining flexibility and responsiveness, healthcare organizations can

sustain a strong safety culture that consistently prioritizes patient and staff well-being (Clark, Smith & Haynes, 2020).

## References

1. Abd El Rahman, S., Ali, H., Ali, R., Mohamed, A. (2022): Effect of Organizational Cynicism on Quality of Work Life and Employee Effectiveness among Nursing Staff. *Minia Scientific Nursing Journal*. 11 (1), 2785-9797.
2. Abd El-Salam, A. I., Metwally, F. G., & Abdeen, M. A. (2022): Academic Procrastination and Self-control of Faculty Nursing Students. *Zagazig Nursing Journal*, 18(2), 15-29.
3. Abdillah, H. Z., Rahman, F., Husna, M., Sitinjak, C., Hidayah, N., & Mujidin, M. (2022): School well-being in terms of self-determination and patience in vocational high school students. *International Journal of Islamic Educational Psychology*, 3(1), 19-34 .
4. Abe, E. N., & Chikoko, V. (2020): Exploring the factors that influence the career decision of STEM students at a university in South Africa. *International Journal of STEM Education*, 7(1), 1-14.
5. Adel, " E., Lofmark, " A., Pålsson, Y., Mårtensson, G., Engstrom, " M., Lindberg, M., 2021: Health-promoting and impeding aspects of using peer-learning during clinical practice education: a qualitative study. *Nurse Educ. Pract.* 55, 103169.
6. Afota, M. C., Robert, V., & Vandenberghe, C. (2021): The interactive effect of leader-member exchange and psychological climate for overwork on subordinate workaholism and job strain. *European Journal of Work and Organizational Psychology*, 30(4), 495-509.
7. Akinbadewa, B. O., & Sofowora, O. A. (2020): The effectiveness of multimedia instructional learning packages in enhancing secondary school students' attitudes toward Biology. *International Journal on Studies in Education*, 2(2), 119-133.
8. Aklil, M., Perizade, B., Hanafi, A., & Bemby, B. (2021): The Effect of Resonant Leadership on Work Engagement through Ethnic Culture in Pangkalpinang City Civil Servants. *Italienisch*, 11(2), 358-371.
9. Al-Turfi, M. K. & Al-Jubouri, M. B. (2022): "Effect of moral distress on decision making among nurses in intensive care units," *Pakistan Journal of Medical and Health Sciences*, vol. 16, no. 3, pp. 915-918.
10. Badawy, A.A. (2021): Relationship between organizational justice and work engagement among staff nurses, unpublished master thesis, Faculty of Nursing, Ain Shams University .
11. Balducci, C., Avanzi, L., & Fraccaroli, F. (2020): The individual "costs" of workaholism: An analysis based on multisource and prospective data. *Journal of Management*, 44(7), 2961-2986 .
12. • Baris, V. K., Intepeler, S. S., & Unal, A. (2023): Development and psychometric validation of the Sickness Sickness presenteeism Scale-Nurse. *International Journal of Nursing Practice*, e13168.
13. Brown, M., Kraimer, M. L., & Bratton, V. K. (2019): The influence of employee performance appraisal cynicism on intent to quit and sportsmanship. *Personnel Review*.
14. Canu, Z. (2023): The Relationship Between Family-Work Conflict and Work-Family Conflict Among Special Education Teachers. *Jurnal Multidisiplin Madani*, 3(4), 811-816 .
15. Chang, Y., et al. (2020): Work Ability and Quality of Life in Patients with Work- Related Musculoskeletal Disorders. P.p.20-40.
16. Cherkasov, A., Bratanovskii, S. N., Koroleva, L. A., & Zimovets, L. G. (2019): Development of the School Education System in the Province of Vologda (1725-1917). Part 2. *European Journal of Contemporary Education*, 8(2), 418-424.
17. Cinar, E. (2019): The effect of person-organization fit on the organizational identification: The mediating role of organizational attractiveness. *Eurasian Journal of Business and Management*, 7(1), 74-84.
18. Çingöl N., Karakaş M., Zengin S., and Çelebi E. (2020): The effect of psychiatric nursing students' internships on their beliefs about and attitudes toward mental health problems; a single-group experimental study. *Nurse Educ Today*. 2020;84:104243 .

19. Clark, M. A., Smith, R. W., & Haynes, N. J. (2020): The Multidimensional Workaholism Scale: Linking the conceptualization and measurement of workaholism. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 105(11), 1281 .
20. Crafter, S., Maunder, R., & Soulsby, L. (2019): *Developmental transitions: Exploring stability and change through the lifespan*. Routledge.
21. Darling-Hammond L., Flook L., Cook-Harvey C., Barron B., and Osher D. (2020): Implications for educational practice of the science of learning and development. *Applied Developmental Science*, 24(2), 97–140 .
22. Dedahanov. A.T, Bozorov. F and Sung S. (2019): Paternalistic Leadership and Innovative Behavior: Psychological Empowerment as a Mediator. *Sustainability*. 11(6) 1-14.
23. Durrah, O., Chaudhary, M., & Gharib, M. (2019): Organizational cynicism and its impact on organizational pride in industrial organizations. *International journal of environmental research and public health*, 16(7), 1203.
24. Echebiri, C., Amundsen, S., & Engen, M. (2020): Linking Structural Empowerment to Employee-Driven Innovation: the Mediating Role of Psychological Empowerment. *MDPI*, 10(42).
25. Eliyana, A., Rohmatul, S., Rohmatul, S., Sridadi, A. R., Razaq, A., & Gunawan, D. R. (2020): The role of motivation on attitudes and entrepreneur achievement. *Systematic Reviews in Pharmacy*, 11(8), 335-343.
26. • Eslamlou, A., Karatepe, O. M., & Uner, M. M. (2021): Does job Embeddedness mediate the effect of resilience on cabin attendants' career satisfaction and creative performance? *Sustainability*, 13(9), 5104 .
27. Even, A. (2020): The Evolution of Work: Best Practices for Avoiding Social and Organizational Isolation in Telework Employees. *SSRN*2020.
28. Faisal .S, .(2022): Job embeddedness and its connection with person organization fit among Saudi Arabian employees. *Problems and Perspectives in Management*, 20(2), 348- 360. doi:10.21511/ppm.20(2).2022.29.
29. • Faisal, S., Naushad, M., & Faridi, M. (2020): A study on the level and relationship of job embeddedness and turnover intentions among Saudi Arabian working- class. *Management Science Letters*, 10(13), 3167- 3172. <https://doi.org/10.5267/j.msl.2020.5.005>
30. Fentaw, Y., Moges, B. T., & Ismail, S. M. (2022): Academic procrastination behavior among public university students. *Education Research International*, 2022.
31. Fernández-Salinero, S.& Topa, G. (2020): Intergroup Discrimination as a Predictor of Conflict within the Same Organization. *The Role of Organizational Identity*. *Eur. J. Invest.Health Psychol. Educ.* 2020, 10, 1.
32. Ferri, P., Stifani, S., Morotti, E., Nuvoletta, M., Bonetti, L., Rovesti, S., Cutino, A., & Di Lorenzo, R. (2020): Perceptions of Caring Behavior Among Undergraduate Nursing Students: A Three-Cohort Observational Study. *Psychology research and behavior management*, 13, 1311-1322.
33. Fortes, K., Latham, C.L., Vaughn, S., Preston, K., 2022: The influence of social determinants of education on nursing student persistence and professional values. *J. Prof. Nurs.* 39, 41–53.
34. Gawad, S.A., (2022): Work Place Incivility and its Effect on Quality of Work Life among Staff Nurses. *Egyptian Journal of Health Care*, 13(3), 809-821. doi: 10.21608/ejhc.2022.255400 .
35. Ghafouri R, Bajestani S.I, Nasiri M, Ohnishi K and Foroozan A.S (2022): Psychometrics of the moral distress scale in Iranian mental health nurses. *BMC Nursing* (2021) 20:166 <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12912-021-00674-4>
36. Gillet, N., Austin, S., Fernet, C., Sandrin, E., Lorho, F., Brault, S., ... & Aubouin Bonnaventure, J. (2021): Workaholism, presenteeism, work–family conflicts and personal and work outcomes: Testing a moderated mediation model. *Journal of Clinical Nursing*, 30(19-20), 2842-2853 .
37. Gupta, M., Shaheen, M., & Das, M. (2019): Engaging employees for quality of life: mediation by psychological capital. *The Service Industries Journal*, 39(5-6), 403-419.
38. Hiver, P., & Al-Hoorie, A. H. (2020): Reexamining the role of vision in second language motivation: A preregistered conceptual replication of You, Dörnyei, and Csizér (2016). *Language Learning*,

- 70(1), 48-102. Malinauskas, R. K., & Pozeriene, J. (2020). Academic motivation among traditional and online university students. *European journal of contemporary education*, 9(3), 584-591
39. Holland, K. (2019): Does the Market Subvert Health Care Reform? An Examination of Recent Attempts to Cut Costs and increase Accessibility to Health Care by Harnessing the Market (Doctoral dissertation, State University of New York at Stony Brook.
40. Huang, et al.(2020): Self-reported confidence in patient safety competencies among Chinese nursing students: a multi-site cross-sectional survey. *BMC Medical Education* (2020) 20:32.
42. Ismail, E.(2021): The relationship between Ethical work climate and Organizational commitment among staff nurses p7.
43. Jalili, M., Niroomand, M., Hadavand, F., Zeinali, K., & Fotouhi, A. (2021) : Burnout among healthcare professionals during COVID-19 pandemic: a cross-sectional study. *International Archives of occupational and Environmental Health*, 1-8.
44. Jansen, T. L. Hem, M. H. Dambolt, L. J. and Hanssen, I. .( 2020): "Moral distress in acute psychiatric nursing: multifaceted dilemmas and demands," *Nursing ethics.*, vol. 27, no. 5, pp. 1315–1326.
45. Jerg-Bretzke, L., Limbrecht-Ecklundt, K., Walter, S., Spohrs, J., & Beschoner, P. (2020): Correlations of the "Work–Family Conflict" with occupational stress—a cross-sectional study among university employees. *Frontiers in psychiatry*, 11, 134 .
46. Jiang, Z., Hu, X., Wang, Z., & Jiang, X. (2019): Knowledge hiding as a barrier to thriving: The mediating role of psychological safety and moderating role of organizational cynicism. *Journal of Organizational Behavior*, 40(7), 800-818.
47. Kachaturoff, M., Caboral-Stevens, M., Gee, M., Lan, V.M., 2020: Effects of peermentoring on stress and anxiety levels of undergraduate nursing students: an integrative review. *J. Prof. Nurs.* 36, 223–228 .
48. Khalid, U., Mushtaq, T., Khan, A. Z., & Mahmood, F. (2021): Probing the impact of transformational leadership on job embeddedness: the moderating role of job characteristics. *Management Research Review*, 44(8), 1139-1156 .
49. • Khosravi, M., Ghiasi, Z., & Ganjali, A. (2021): Burnout in hospital medical staff during the COVID-19 pandemic: Diagnosis, treatment, and prevention. *Journal of Natural Remedies*, 21(12 (1)), 3644.
50. Kim, A.Y., Sim, I.O., 2020: Communication skills, problem-solving ability, understanding of patients' conditions, and nurse's perception of professionalism among clinical nurses: a structural equation model analysis. *Int. J. Environ. Res. Public Health* 17, 4896 .
51. Kim, J., & Gatling, A. (2019): Impact of employees' job, organizational and technology fit on engagement and organizational citizenship behavior. *Journal of Hospitality and Tourism Technology*.
52. Kim, S.C., Jilapali, R., Boyd, S., 2021: Impacts of peer tutoring on academic performance of first-year baccalaureate nursing students: a quasi-experimental study. *Nurse Educ. Today* 96, 104658 .
53. King, J. L. (2021): Research review: work-family/family-work conflict. *International Journal of Leadership Studies*, 1(1), 102-105 .
54. • Ko, W., & Kang, H. (2019): Effect of leadership style and organizational climate on employees' food safety and hygiene behaviors in the institutional food service of schools. *Food Science & Nutrition published by Wiley Periodicals, Inc.*; 7 (6): 2131-2143.
55. Lee, S.E., Lee, M.H., Peters, A.B. and Gwon, S.H., (2020): Assessment of Patient Safety and Cultural Competencies among Senior Baccalaureate Nursing Students. *nt. J. Environ. Res. Public Health*, 17, 4225.
56. Liu, F., Chow, I. H.-S., Zhang, J.-C., & Huang, M. (2019): Organizational innovation climate and individual innovative behavior: exploring the moderating effects of psychological ownership and psychological empowerment. *Rev. Manag. Sci.* 13, 771–789.

57. Macedo, L.L., Silva, A.M.R., Silva, J.F., Mdcfl, H., & Giroto, E. (2020): The culture regarding the safety of the patient in primary health care: distinctions among professional categories. *Trab Educ Saúde* Rio de Janeiro. 2020;18(1).
58. Mahmoud, S.R., 2019: Nursing students' attitudes toward nursing profession and its relation to study adjustment. *Int. J. Nurs. Didact.* 9 (7), 9–16.
59. Mahran, H. M., Abd Al, M. A. A. H., & Saleh, N. M. (2022): Relationship between ethical leadership and workaholism among nursing supervisors as perceived by staff nurses. *Egyptian Nursing Journal*, 19(2), 79.
60. Mauro, L. B. (2022): Exploring Moral Distress, Ethical Climate, and Psychological Empowerment among New Registered Nurses (Doctoral dissertation, Walden University).
61. Moghadari-Koosha, M., Moghadasi-Amiri, M., Cheraghi, F., Mozafari, H., Imani, B., & Zandieh, M. (2020): Self-efficacy, self-regulated learning, and motivation as factors influencing academic achievement among paramedical students: A correlation study. *Journal of allied health*, 49(3), 145E-152E.
62. Molazem, Z., Bagheri, L., & Najafi Kalyani, M. (2022): Evaluation of the Moral Distress Intensity and Its Relationship with the Quality of Work Life among Nurses Working in Oncology Wards in Shiraz, Southwest of Iran. *BioMed Research International*, 2022.
63. • Mostafa, B. A., El-Borsaly, A. A. E., Hafez, E. A. E., & Hassan, S. A. (2021): The Mediating Effect of Person-Organization Value Fit on the Relationship Between University branding and Academic Staff Citizenship Behavior. *Academic Journal of Interdisciplinary Studies*, 10(1), 313-313.
64. Nanjundeswaraswamy T. (2021): Nurses quality of work life: scale
65. development and validation. *Journal of Economic and Administrative Sciences*, DOI 10.1108/JEAS-09-2020-0154 .
66. Newman, A., Round, H., Wang, S. L., & Mount, M. (2020): Innovation climate: a systematic review of the literature and agenda for future research. *J. Occup. Organ. Psychol.* 93, 73–109.
67. Nomany , N.F. (2022): Perceived Nursing Supervisor Support and Its Influence on Job Embeddedness among Staff Nurses, un published master thesis, faculty of nursing, Ain Shams University, p 148- 150.
68. Nyanyiwa, S., Peters, K., & Murphy, G. (2022): A scoping review: Treatment attitudes and adherence for adults with schizophrenia. *Journal of clinical nursing*.
69. Ohnishi, K., Kitaoka, K., Nakahara, J., Välimäki, M., Kontio, R., & Anttila, M. (2019): Impact of moral sensitivity on moral distress among psychiatric nurses. *Nursing ethics*, 26(5), 1473-1483.
70. Olatunji, O. A., Idemudia, E. S., & Owoseni, O. O. (2020): Investigating the role of emotional intelligence and role conflict on job burnout among special education teachers. *Journal of Intellectual Disability–Diagnosis and Treatment*, 9(1), 128-136 .
71. Pålsson, Y., Engstrom, " M., Swenne, C.L., Mårtensson, G., 2022: A peer learning intervention in workplace introduction-managers' and new graduates' perspectives. *BMC Nurs.* 21 (12), 1–13 .
72. Parizad, N., Lopez, V., Jasemi, M., Gharaaghaji Asl, R., Taylor, A., & Taghinejad, R. (2021): Job stress and its relationship with nurses' autonomy and nurse–physician collaboration in intensive care unit. *Journal of Nursing Management*. 22-24.
73. Querstret, D., O'Brien, K., Skene, D. J., & Maben, J. (2020): Improving fatigue risk management in healthcare: A systematic scoping review of sleep-related/fatigue-management interventions for nurses and midwives. *International journal of nursing studies*, 106, 103513.
74. studies, 106, 103513.
75. Raeissi, P., Rajabi, M. R., Ahmadizadeh, E., Rajabkhah, K., & Kakemam, E. (2019): Quality of work life and factors associated with it among nurses in public hospitals, Iran. *Journal of the Egyptian Public Health Association*, 94(1), 1-8
76. Ramírez Molina, R. J., del Valle Marcano, M., Ramírez Molina, R. I., Lay Raby, N. D., & Herrera Tapias, B. A. (2019): Relationship Between social intelligence and resonant leadership in public health Institutions.

77. Ramos, F. R., Barth, P. O., Brehmer, L. C., Dalmolin, G. D., Fargas, M. A., and Schneider, D. G. (2020): "Intensity and frequency of moral distress in Brazilian nurses," *Revista da Escola de Enfermagem da USP*, vol. 54.
78. Razmerita, L., Kirchner, K., Hockerts, K., & Tan, C. W. (2020): Modeling collaborative intentions and behavior in Digital Environments: The case of a Massive Open Online Course (MOOC). *Academy of Management Learning & Education*, 19(4), 469-502.
79. Reynolds, P. O. F., Dias, B. M., Flores, C. A. D. S., Balsanelli, A. P., Gabriel, C. S., & Bernardes, A. (2022): Resonant leadership practices of nurse managers in the hospital setting: a cross-sectional study. *Texto & Contexto-Enfermagem*, 31 .
80. Segev, E. (2019): "Volume and control: the transition from information to power". *Journal of Multicultural Discourses*. 14 (3): 240-257. doi:10.1080/17447143.2019.1662028. ISSN 1744-7143.
81. Sein Myint, N. N., Kunaviktikul, W., & Stark, A. (2021): A contemporary understanding of organizational climate in healthcare setting: A concept analysis. *Nursing Forum*, 56(1), 172-180.
82. Sengul, M., & Seyfi, R. O. (2020): Investigation of the relationship between academic procrastination behaviours and academic self-efficacy of Turkish language teacher candidates. *Cumhuriyet International Journal of Education*, 9(3), 755-773 .
83. Sheta, S. S., & Hammouda, M. A. (2022): Risk for Workaholism among Working Physicians of Zagazig University Hospitals: A Message for Achieving Productive Work and Balanced Life. *The Egyptian Journal of Hospital Medicine*, 89(1), 4402-4409 .
84. Shin, J., & Shin, H. (2020): Impact of job insecurity on hotel workers' workaholism and work-family conflict in Korea. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, 17(21), 7783 .
85. Siyal, S., Xin, C., Peng, X., Siyal, A. W., & Ahmed, W. (2020): role of person-organization fit mechanism. *Sage Open*, 10(3), 2158244020947424.
86. Spagnoli, P., Haynes, N. J., Kovalchuk, L. S., Clark, M. A., Buono, C., & Balducci, C. (2020): Workload, workaholism, and job performance: Uncovering their complex relationship. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, 17(18), 6536 .
87. Spilg, E. G., Rushton, C. H., Phillips, J. L., Kendzerska, T., Saad, M., Gifford, W., Gautam, M., Bhatla, R., Edwards, J. D., Quilty, L., Leveille, C., & Robillard, R. (2022): The new frontline: Exploring the links between moral distress, moral resilience and mental health in healthcare workers during the COVID-19 pandemic. *BMC Psychiatry*, 22(1), 19-19. <https://psycnet.apa.org/doi/10.1186/s12888-021-03637-w>
88. Svartdal, F., Klingsieck, K. B., Steel, P., & Gamst-Klaussen, T. (2020): Measuring implemental delay in procrastination: Separating onset and sustained goal striving. *Personality and Individual Differences*, 156, 109762.
89. Syahrina, I. A., & Mutya, M. T. (2023): Academic Self-Efficacy and Academic Procrastination: The Mediating Role of Academic Motivation. In *International Conference of Psychology* (Vol. 2, No. 1, pp. 122-129).
90. Tajalli, S., Rostamli, S., Dezvaree, N., Shariat, M., and Kadivar, M. (2021): "Moral distress among Iranian neonatal intensive care units' health care providers: a multi-center cross sectional study," *Journal of Medical Ethics and History of Medicine*, p. 14.
91. Talebian, F., Hesamzadeh, A., Hosseinnataj, A., & Azimi-Lolaty, H. (2022): Relationship between academic procrastination and perceived competence, self-esteem and general self-efficacy of nursing students. *Journal of Nursing and Midwifery Sciences*, 9(4), 310-316.
92. Twidwell, J., Dial, D., Fehr, C., 2022: Gender, career choice confidence, and perceived faculty support in baccalaureate nursing students. *J. Prof. Nurs.* 39, 96-100 .
93. Uwannah, N. C., Onyekachi, C. N., & Filade, B. A. (2021): Hardiness, Supervisor Support and Work Engagement: Empirical Evidence from Tertiary Institutions in Ogun State, Nigeria. *American Journal of Applied Psychology*, 9(1), 8-14.

94. Vasconcelos PF, de Freitas CHA, Jorge MSB, et al. (2019): Safety attributes in primary care: understanding the needs of patients, health professionals, and managers. *Public Health*. 2019;171:31–40.
95. Vikstrom, S., Johansson, K., 2019: Professional pride: a qualitative descriptive study of nursing home staff's experiences of how a quality development project influenced their work. *J. Clin. Nurs*. 28, 2760–2768.
96. World Alliance for Patient Safety. (2021): WHO cubiculum guide for medical schools addressed to WHO Press, at the above address (fax: +41 22 791 4806.)
97. Xing, L., Sun, J.M. and Jepsen, D. (2021): "Feeling shame in the workplace: examining negative feedback as an antecedent and performance and well-being as consequences", *Journal of Organizational Behavior*, (42).9.1244-1260.
98. Yoon, S. K., Kim, J. H., Park, J. E., Kim, C. J., & Song, J. H. (2020): Creativity and knowledge creation: the moderated mediating effect of perceived organizational support on psychological ownership. *Eur. J. Train. Dev.* 44, 743–760.
99. Yu, H., Guan, X. & Zhang, X. (2019): Paternalistic Leadership Creates Work Performance, Servant Leadership Delivers Job Satisfaction: Integration of Two Types of Leadership Behaviors. *Science of Science and Management of S. & T.*, 35 (06), 172-180.
100. Yun, L. (2019): The relation between academic motivation and academic procrastination among university students. *Faculty Of Social Science And Humanities Tunku Abdul Rahman University College Kuala Lumpur*.
101. Yun, M. R., Lim, E. J., Yu, B., & Choi, S. (2020): Effects of Academic Motivation on Clinical Practice-Related Post-Traumatic Growth among Nursing Students in South Korea: Mediating Effect of Resilience. *International journal of environmental research and public health*, 17(13), 4901 .
102. Yuniati, R., & Sitinjak, C. (2022): Upward Comparison at the Workplace: A Review. *East Asian Journal of Multidisciplinary Research*, 1(7), 1377-1394 .
103. Yurtseven, N., & Dogan, S. (2019): Structural Relationships among Academic Procrastination, Academic Motivation, and Problem-Solving Skill in Prep Class College Students. *Pegem Journal of Education and Instruction*, 9(3), 849-876.
104. Zarrin, S. A., Gracia, E., & Paixão, M. P. (2020): Prediction of academic procrastination by fear of failure and self-regulation. *Educational Sciences: Theory & Practice*, 20(3), 34-43.
105. Zurman C., Hoffmann H. O., and Ruff-Stahl H. K. (2019): Difference in attitudes toward Crew Resource Management based on nationality. *International Journal of Aviation, Aeronautics, and Aerospace*, 6(4.)
106. Zwedberg, S., Alnervik, M., Barimani, M., 2021: Student midwives' perception of peer learning during their clinical practice in an obstetric unit: a qualitative study. *Nurse Educ. Today* 99, 104785.