Review of Contemporary Philosophy
ISSN: 1841-5261, e-ISSN: 2471-089X
Vol 22 (1), 2023
pp. 111 - 128

The Evolving Role of Physicians in Evidence-Based Practice and Collaborative Healthcare

[1] Amel Mohammed Alharbi, [2.] Hadel Fahad Y Alammar, [3]. Arwa Talal Algaidi,[4] Amal Bakheet Alzahrani,[5]. Hanin Khalid Karkashan,[6].Amal Seraj Almalki,[7] . Etemad Sami Basubrain,[8] Abdullah Shaker Alahmadi,[9] Ahmed Abdulaziz Al Harthy,[10]Mohammed Hassan Alzahrani,[11] Mustafa Abdullah Nasser Alshehri,[12] Maha Hassan Al Somali,[13] I. Ibrahim Majed Alotaibi,[14]Khalid Abdullah Salem, [15-]Sarah Abdulrahman Bin Morait,

[1] General Practice King Fahad Specialist Hospital-Buriydah

[2]Family Medicine Kfsh -King Fahad Specialist Hospital

[3]Family Medicine King Fahad General Hospital (Al Bawadi Phc)

[4]Gp Doctor Ibn Sina Hospital In Makkah

[5]family Medicine Specialist Umalsalam Phcc, Althager Hospita

[6]Family Medicine Specialist Alrabea Phcc, King Fahd Medical City

[7]Sergical Technician Maternity And Children Hospital

[8]Internal Medicine Alamal Hospital

[9]Surgical Technician Althagar Hospital

[10] General Physician King Abdulaziz Hospital

[11]General Dentist thadiq General Hospital, Saudi Arabia[12]General Dentist Dental Center North Riyadh

[13]physician Althagar Hospital - Phc Alharazat

[14]family Medicine Al - Althagur Hospital

[15]Family Medicine Consultant King Saud Medical City

Received: 25 April 2023 **Revised:** 2 June 2023 **Accepted:** 12 June 2023

Chapter 1: Introduction to Evidence-Based Practice and Collaborative Healthcare

Evidence-Based Practice (EBP) represents a transformative shift in modern medicine, prioritizing clinical decisions informed by the best available research, clinical expertise, and patient values **(Bhatarasakoon & Chiaranai,).** EBP provides a structured approach to delivering high-quality, patient-centered care by integrating scientific evidence into day-to-day medical practices. This approach ensures that healthcare decisions are not solely based on traditional methods, anecdotal experiences, or outdated guidelines but are rooted in up-to-date, validated research **(Engle et al., 2021).** The growing emphasis on EBP has redefined how physicians approach clinical decision-making, compelling them to continually adapt to emerging knowledge and incorporate evidence into their practice **Janikian & Maragakis,).**

The significance of EBP in modern medicine extends beyond improving patient outcomes; it also plays a crucial role in optimizing healthcare resources and ensuring the cost-effectiveness of medical interventions. By relying on evidence-supported treatments, physicians can minimize unnecessary procedures, reduce complications, and ensure that patients receive the most effective care **(Alaklobi et al.,).** Additionally,

EBP fosters a culture of accountability and transparency, as it demands that healthcare decisions are grounded in reliable data and shared with patients, empowering them to participate actively in their care **(Astutik,).**

Parallel to the rise of EBP, collaborative healthcare models have gained prominence as essential frameworks for addressing the complexities of modern patient care **(Da Mota Gomes & Nardi,).** Collaboration in healthcare involves interdisciplinary teamwork, where professionals from diverse fields, including physicians, nurses, pharmacists, and therapists, work together to deliver comprehensive care. These models recognize that no single provider can address the multifaceted needs of patients, particularly those with chronic or complex conditions **(Dilles et al., 2021).** Instead, collaborative care emphasizes the integration of expertise from multiple disciplines to create personalized, holistic treatment plans **(Rajan et al., 2020).**

Physicians play a pivotal role in these collaborative models, serving as coordinators and leaders within healthcare teams. Their ability to synthesize information from various disciplines and apply it to patient care is critical for the success of interdisciplinary efforts **(Witt Sherman et al., 2020).** Collaborative models also emphasize open communication and mutual respect among team members, ensuring that all voices are heard and that care decisions are made collectively **(Wei et al., 2020).** For physicians, this requires not only clinical expertise but also strong interpersonal skills and a commitment to fostering teamwork **(Shanafelt et al., 2021).**

The responsibilities of physicians are evolving in response to the dual demands of EBP and collaborative care. Historically, physicians were seen as the sole decision-makers in patient care, relying on their knowledge and experience to guide treatments **(Ruebling et al., 2023).** However, the rise of EBP and the shift toward team-based care have redefined this role, requiring physicians to balance individual expertise with collaborative input and evidence-based guidelines. This evolution reflects the growing recognition that healthcare outcomes are optimized when decisions are informed by diverse perspectives and robust data **(Samarasekera et al.,).**

One of the most significant changes in the physician's role is the increased emphasis on shared decision-making, where physicians actively involve patients in their care. This approach aligns with the principles of EBP, as it combines clinical evidence with patient preferences and values to tailor treatment plans **(Treichler et al., 2021).** Shared decision-making not only enhances patient satisfaction but also fosters trust and adherence to prescribed treatments, as patients feel empowered and respected in the decision-making process **(Lehane et al., 2023).**

Incorporating EBP into collaborative care models requires physicians to stay current with the latest research and guidelines, a task that demands continuous learning and adaptability **(Sibbald et al., 2022).** The sheer volume of new medical information can be overwhelming, but tools such as clinical decision support systems and systematic reviews help physicians navigate and apply evidence efficiently. By leveraging these resources, physicians can ensure that their recommendations are both scientifically valid and contextually relevant to individual patients **(Law & MacDermid,).**

Collaboration also necessitates that physicians embrace a culture of humility and openness, recognizing that their expertise is one part of a broader system of care **(Wadhwa & Mahant, 2022)**. In team-based models, the physician's role often includes facilitating discussions, mediating differing opinions, and ensuring that the patient's best interests remain at the forefront of care decisions. These skills are particularly important in complex cases, where input from multiple specialists is required to address various aspects of a patient's condition **(Kilpatrick et al., 2020).**

The integration of EBP into collaborative healthcare also benefits the broader healthcare system. When physicians and teams make evidence-informed decisions, they contribute to standardized practices that improve care quality across institutions. This consistency reduces variability in patient outcomes and ensures that all patients receive care aligned with the highest standards **(Tucker et al., 2021).** Additionally,

collaborative models that incorporate EBP can reduce duplication of efforts and streamline care processes, leading to greater efficiency and reduced costs **(Brenner & Pandian,).**

However, the transition to evidence-based and collaborative practices is not without challenges. Physicians often face time constraints, making it difficult to review and apply the latest research in a busy clinical setting **(Odeh et al.,).** Furthermore, fostering collaboration requires overcoming barriers such as hierarchical structures, communication gaps, and differing priorities among team members. Addressing these challenges demands institutional support, including investments in training, technology, and policies that promote interdisciplinary collaboration **(Ahsan,).**

The adoption of collaborative EBP models also requires a shift in medical education and training. Future physicians must be equipped with the skills to critically appraise evidence, work effectively in teams, and engage patients in shared decision-making **(Simons et al., 2022).** Medical schools and residency programs are increasingly incorporating these competencies into their curricula, recognizing their importance in preparing physicians for the demands of modern healthcare **(Gonzalo et al., 2022).**

Physicians who embrace EBP and collaboration often find their roles more rewarding, as these approaches align with the core values of medicine: improving patient outcomes and delivering compassionate, effective care **(Zuqayl et al.,).** By integrating evidence and teamwork into their practice, physicians can navigate the complexities of modern healthcare with greater confidence and efficacy. Additionally, these practices foster professional growth, as they encourage continuous learning and engagement with peers and patients **(Sun,).**

In conclusion, the evolving role of physicians in evidence-based practice and collaborative healthcare reflects the dynamic nature of medicine. Physicians are no longer isolated decision-makers but are integral members of interdisciplinary teams committed to delivering high-quality, patient-centered care **(Elendu,).** By embracing EBP and collaboration, physicians can enhance their clinical impact, improve patient outcomes, and contribute to a more efficient and equitable healthcare system. As healthcare continues to advance, the ability to integrate evidence and teamwork will remain essential to the physician's role, ensuring that they meet the challenges and opportunities of the future **(Alrwaili et al., 2022).**

Chapter 2: Core Principles of Evidence-Based Practice for Physicians

Evidence-Based Practice (EBP) is a cornerstone of modern medicine, providing a structured framework for integrating scientific research, clinical expertise, and patient values into clinical decision-making **(Medina et al.,).** For physicians, EBP ensures that their decisions are informed by the best available evidence while remaining patient-centered and practical. This approach bridges the gap between research and clinical application, enhancing the quality and consistency of care across healthcare systems **(Schueller & Torous, 2020).**

At the heart of EBP are three key components: **research evidence, clinical expertise, and patient values.** Research evidence provides the foundation of EBP, offering insights from well-designed studies and systematic reviews that guide clinical decisions. However, evidence alone is not sufficient **(Connor et al., 2023).** Clinical expertise is critical in interpreting and applying this evidence to specific patient scenarios, taking into account the unique challenges and nuances of each case. Lastly, incorporating patient values ensures that care aligns with the individual's preferences, cultural context, and life circumstances, making it more meaningful and effective **(Paparini et al., 2020).**

The implementation of EBP follows a systematic process, starting with the **formulation of a clinical question.** This step involves identifying a specific problem or uncertainty in patient care and framing it using tools like the PICOT framework (Population, Intervention, Comparison, Outcome, Time) **(Bermudez, 2021).** A well-constructed clinical question focuses the physician's search for evidence, ensuring efficiency and relevance in addressing the issue at hand **(Movsisyan et al., 2020).**

Once the clinical question is established, the next step is to **search for and appraise evidence.** Physicians

must critically evaluate research studies for their validity, reliability, and applicability to the clinical

scenario. This process involves assessing study design, sample size, and potential biases, as well as comparing findings from multiple sources **(Halalau et al., 2021).** Systematic reviews and meta-analyses are particularly valuable in this stage, as they synthesize large volumes of data to provide a comprehensive overview of evidence **(Abbruzzese et al., 2023).**

After appraising the evidence, physicians proceed to the **application stage,** where findings are integrated into clinical practice. This step requires balancing the evidence with clinical expertise and patient preferences to develop a tailored care plan **(McNett et al., 2021).** For instance, a physician may recommend a treatment based on strong evidence but modify the approach to accommodate a patient's specific health goals or concerns. This integration ensures that the care provided is both scientifically sound and personally relevant **(Damarell et al., 2020).**

Despite its benefits, implementing EBP presents significant challenges. One of the most pressing issues is the **time constraint** faced by physicians, who often work in fast-paced environments with limited opportunities to review and apply evidence **(Astutik,).** Accessing and appraising research requires dedicated time and resources, which may not always be feasible in busy clinical settings. Addressing this challenge demands institutional support and the use of technology, such as clinical decision support systems, to streamline the process **(Marren & Rosati,).**

Another challenge in EBP implementation is the **variability in evidence quality and relevance.** Not all research findings are directly applicable to individual patients, as study populations and conditions may differ from real-world clinical scenarios **(Neuman et al., 2020).** Physicians must navigate these limitations by using their clinical judgment to determine which evidence is most applicable, acknowledging that gaps in research may necessitate reliance on experience and patient input **(Tonelli & Shapiro, 2020).**

Balancing EBP with clinical realities also requires overcoming **resistance to change** among healthcare providers. Long-standing practices and personal biases can hinder the adoption of new evidence, particularly when it challenges traditional methods **(Pachankis et al., 2023).** Physicians must cultivate a mindset of adaptability and openness, recognizing that the integration of new evidence is essential for advancing patient care. Engaging in interdisciplinary discussions and continuous education can help physicians stay current and overcome resistance **(Khalili et al., 2023).**

Finally, patient engagement plays a crucial role in the success of EBP. Physicians must effectively communicate the rationale behind evidence-based recommendations, addressing any concerns or misconceptions patients may have **(Feldman et al., 2023).** Building trust and fostering shared decision-making are essential for aligning evidence-based care with patient preferences, ultimately improving adherence and satisfaction **(Kalariya et al., 2023).**

In summary, the core principles of EBP—research evidence, clinical expertise, and patient values—provide a robust framework for improving patient care. While challenges such as time constraints, variability in evidence, and resistance to change persist, physicians can navigate these barriers through continuous learning, collaboration, and patient engagement **(Barr et al., 2021).** By adhering to the systematic steps of EBP implementation and embracing its principles, physicians can deliver high-quality, personalized care that aligns with both scientific advancements and the needs of their patients **(Tamli & Sain, 2023).**

Chapter 3: The Physician's Role in Patient-Centered Care and Shared Decision-Making

Patient-centered care lies at the heart of modern healthcare, emphasizing respect for individual patient preferences, values, and cultural backgrounds **(Mapes et al., 2020).** Physicians play a pivotal role in delivering this model of care by fostering meaningful relationships with patients and tailoring medical decisions to meet their unique needs. The shift from physician-dominated care to a more collaborative approach reflects a growing recognition of the importance of understanding patients as active participants in their healthcare journeys **(Alselaml et al., 2023).**

One of the cornerstones of patient-centered care is recognizing and accommodating **patient preferences and cultural factors.** Patients bring their own values, beliefs, and experiences to the healthcare setting,

which influence how they perceive illness and make treatment decisions **(Ramala Jr et al., 2023).** For example, cultural norms may affect a patient's willingness to undergo specific procedures or adhere to medication regimens. Physicians who take the time to explore and understand these factors can provide care that aligns with the patient's values, ultimately improving satisfaction and outcomes **(Goto & Miura, 2023).**

Effective communication is essential for achieving patient-centered care and shared decision-making. Physicians must establish open channels of dialogue, ensuring that patients feel heard and respected. Active listening, where the physician focuses entirely on the patient's words without interruptions or assumptions, helps build rapport and demonstrates empathy **(Li,).** By validating the patient's feelings and concerns, physicians create an environment where patients are more likely to share important details about their health **(Buhagiar et al., 2020).**

Shared decision-making involves a collaborative process in which physicians and patients work together to choose the most appropriate course of action. This approach requires physicians to explain medical information in a clear, jargon-free manner, enabling patients to understand their options fully **(Siddiqui et al., 2021).** Visual aids, such as diagrams or decision-making tools, can enhance comprehension, particularly for patients who may struggle with complex medical terminology **(Alkhaibari et al., 2023).**

A critical component of shared decision-making is presenting evidence-based recommendations while respecting the patient's autonomy. Physicians must strike a balance between guiding patients with professional expertise and allowing them the freedom to make informed choices **(Gantayet-Mathur et al., 2022).** For instance, when discussing treatment options for a chronic condition, the physician can outline the benefits and risks of each option while considering the patient's lifestyle, goals, and preferences **(Schuttner et al., 2022).**

Transparent care is another vital aspect of building trust and collaboration between physicians and patients. Transparency involves openly discussing potential risks, uncertainties, and alternative treatments without withholding information **(Liu et al.,).** When physicians are honest and forthcoming, patients are more likely to trust their intentions and feel confident in the decisions being made. This trust is essential for fostering long-term relationships and ensuring adherence to care plans **(Moumjid et al., 2022).**

Cultural competence is integral to patient-centered care, as it equips physicians with the skills to navigate diverse patient populations effectively. Understanding cultural nuances helps physicians avoid misunderstandings and biases that can negatively impact care. For example, a culturally sensitive approach might involve recognizing the role of family in decision-making for certain patients or accommodating language preferences through interpreters **(Marques et al., 2021).**

Empathy is a powerful tool in patient-centered care, enabling physicians to connect with patients on a deeper level. Empathetic physicians can better understand the emotional and psychological aspects of a patient's condition, which are often as significant as physical symptoms. Expressing empathy not only enhances patient satisfaction but also strengthens the therapeutic alliance, leading to better health outcomes **(Wang et al., 2020).**

Building trust requires consistency and reliability in patient interactions. When physicians take the time to follow up on concerns, honor commitments, and admit uncertainties, they reinforce their credibility. Patients who trust their physicians are more likely to share sensitive information and adhere to treatment recommendations, which is critical for effective care **(Benjamins et al., 2021).**

Overcoming barriers to communication, such as health literacy challenges or language differences, is essential in shared decision-making **(Butler et al., 2020).** Physicians can address these obstacles by tailoring their communication style to the patient's needs, using simple language, and verifying understanding through techniques like the teach-back method. Ensuring that patients feel empowered and informed is a fundamental aspect of shared decision-making **(Sheeran et al., 2023).**

Shared decision-making is particularly important in cases involving chronic illnesses or life-altering diagnoses, where treatment decisions often carry significant personal and emotional implications **(Zegarra-Parodi et al., 2022).** By involving patients in these decisions, physicians can ensure that care plans reflect the patient's priorities and minimize potential regrets. For example, discussing palliative care options with a terminally ill patient requires sensitivity and a clear understanding of the patient's goals for quality of life **(Goldwater & Wenger, 2023).**

Technology can also enhance shared decision-making by providing patients with access to their health records, educational resources, and decision-support tools. These tools enable patients to review information at their own pace and come to consultations prepared to discuss their preferences. Physicians who leverage technology effectively can facilitate more informed and productive conversations with their patients **(Akseer et al., 2021).**

Ultimately, patient-centered care and shared decision-making require physicians to adopt a mindset of partnership rather than authority **(Bhidayasiri et al., 2020).** By engaging patients as active collaborators in their care, physicians can create a healthcare experience that is not only clinically effective but also personally meaningful. This approach fosters a sense of ownership and empowerment, encouraging patients to take an active role in managing their health **(Timmermans, 2020).**

In conclusion, the physician's role in patient-centered care and shared decision-making is multifaceted, requiring a combination of cultural sensitivity, effective communication, and transparent care practices **(Hussaini & Varon, 2023).** By understanding patient preferences, employing techniques that promote collaboration, and building trust through honesty and empathy, physicians can enhance the quality of care they provide. In a healthcare system that increasingly values patient involvement, these skills are indispensable for achieving optimal outcomes and fostering lasting physician-patient relationships **(AL-Ruzzieh et al.,).**

Chapter 4: Interprofessional Collaboration in Healthcare

Interprofessional collaboration is a cornerstone of modern healthcare, emphasizing teamwork among various healthcare professionals to deliver holistic and patient-centered care **(Peltonen et al., 2020).** Physicians, nurses, pharmacists, therapists, and other professionals work together to address the complex needs of patients, ensuring that every aspect of their care is considered. This collaborative approach not only enhances patient outcomes but also promotes a more efficient use of healthcare resources by leveraging the unique expertise of each team member **(Spaulding et al., 2021).**

Teamwork in healthcare is particularly crucial in managing chronic diseases and complex medical cases, where patients often require input from multiple disciplines. Physicians may focus on diagnosis and treatment, while nurses provide bedside care, and pharmacists ensure medication safety and efficacy **(Ansa et al., 2020).** Therapists and social workers contribute by addressing functional and psychosocial needs. This integration of roles ensures that care plans are comprehensive and tailored to the patient's individual circumstances **(Schot et al., 2020).**

Collaborative models, such as **multidisciplinary rounds, joint protocols, and care teams**, are widely recognized for their effectiveness in fostering interprofessional collaboration. Multidisciplinary rounds involve team members meeting regularly to discuss patient cases, share insights, and align care strategies **(Vaseghi et al., 2022).** Joint protocols establish standardized guidelines for managing specific conditions, ensuring consistency and clarity across disciplines. Care teams, which may include specialists from various fields, work cohesively to provide coordinated care, especially in primary care settings **(Ho et al., 2023).**

Case studies highlight the benefits of interprofessional collaboration. For instance, a hospital implemented multidisciplinary rounds in its intensive care unit (ICU) and observed a significant reduction in medical errors and length of stay **(Didier et al., 2020).** The inclusion of pharmacists in these rounds improved medication safety by addressing potential drug interactions and optimizing dosages. Similarly, a joint protocol for managing diabetes in a primary care clinic led to better glycemic control and increased patient adherence to treatment **(Carron et al., 2021).**

Effective communication is at the heart of successful interprofessional collaboration. Clear and respectful communication ensures that all team members understand their roles and responsibilities and that care plans are executed seamlessly **(Schmid et al., 2021).** Tools such as structured communication frameworks (e.g., SBAR: Situation, Background, Assessment, Recommendation) help facilitate discussions and minimize misunderstandings, particularly in high-stress environments like emergency departments **(Kurniasih et al., 2022).**

Leadership plays a vital role in fostering collaboration. Physician leaders can set the tone for teamwork by promoting an inclusive culture where all team members feel valued and respected **(Seaton et al., 2021).** Strong leadership ensures that conflicts are resolved constructively and that the team remains focused on delivering high-quality patient care. Leaders also advocate for the resources and policies needed to support effective collaboration **(Rawlinson et al., 2021).**

Interprofessional education is essential for preparing healthcare professionals to work effectively in teams. Medical, nursing, and pharmacy students can benefit from joint training programs that emphasize teamwork, communication, and shared decision-making **(Khemai et al., 2022).** By learning together, future healthcare providers develop mutual respect and an understanding of each other's roles, setting the stage for successful collaboration in their careers **(El-Awaisi et al., 2021).**

Despite its benefits, interprofessional collaboration can face challenges such as role ambiguity, hierarchical dynamics, and resistance to change. Addressing these barriers requires open dialogue, training, and institutional support **(Wei et al., 2022).** Healthcare organizations must prioritize collaboration by establishing clear policies, providing resources, and fostering a culture that values teamwork and shared accountability **(Bornman & Louw, 2023).**

In conclusion, interprofessional collaboration enhances patient care by integrating the expertise of diverse healthcare professionals. Collaborative models like multidisciplinary rounds and joint protocols provide structured frameworks for teamwork, while case studies demonstrate their real-world impact **(McNaughton et al., 2021).** By investing in communication, leadership, and education, healthcare systems can strengthen collaboration and deliver more holistic, patient-centered care **(Geese & Schmitt, 2023).**

Chapter 5: Technological Advancements Supporting EBP and Collaboration

Technological advancements have revolutionized evidence-based practice (EBP) and collaboration in healthcare, providing tools that enhance decision-making, streamline workflows, and improve patient outcomes **(Huddart et al., 2022).** Among these innovations, **electronic health records (EHRs)**, **clinical decision support systems (CDSS)**, and **telemedicine** have become integral to modern medical practice, supporting physicians and teams in delivering high-quality care **(Chen et al., 2023).**

EHRs are at the forefront of healthcare technology, offering a centralized repository for patient data. Physicians can access comprehensive medical histories, lab results, imaging, and treatment plans, enabling informed decision-making and reducing redundancy **(Prabhod, 2023).** EHRs also facilitate communication among team members by providing a shared platform where updates are recorded in real-time, ensuring that all providers are aligned in their approach to patient care **(Suganthi & Kalaiselvi,).**

CDSS further supports EBP by integrating evidence-based guidelines into the clinical workflow. These systems provide alerts, recommendations, and diagnostic support based on patient-specific data, helping physicians identify potential risks and optimize treatment plans **(Gencturk et al.).** For example, CDSS can flag potential drug interactions or suggest dosage adjustments for high-risk patients, enhancing safety and efficiency in prescribing **(Ostropolets et al., 2020).**

Telemedicine has expanded the reach of healthcare, enabling physicians to provide care to patients in remote or underserved areas. By leveraging video consultations, remote monitoring, and digital communication tools, telemedicine facilitates timely access to care and enhances collaboration among providers **(Jacobsohn et al., 2022).** Physicians can consult with specialists, review diagnostic results, and

coordinate care plans without geographical constraints, improving outcomes for patients who might otherwise face barriers to access **(Lu et al., 2021).**

The integration of **artificial intelligence (AI)** and **precision medicine** offers additional benefits, particularly in complex decision-making scenarios. AI-powered tools can analyze vast datasets to identify patterns, predict outcomes, and suggest tailored interventions **(Matson-Koffman et al., 2023).** For instance, machine learning algorithms can assist in diagnosing rare diseases or predicting treatment responses based on genetic data, aligning with the principles of precision medicine **(Amin et al., 2021).**

Precision medicine, which focuses on individualized care, benefits significantly from advancements in genomic technologies and data analytics **(Araujo et al., 2020).** Physicians can use these tools to identify genetic predispositions, tailor therapies, and optimize treatment outcomes. This personalized approach not only improves efficacy but also minimizes adverse effects, ensuring that care aligns with each patient's unique biological profile **(Shah et al., 2021).**

Despite its promise, healthcare technology is not without challenges. One major concern is the risk of **alert fatigue**, where physicians become desensitized to frequent notifications from CDSS or EHR systems **(Jing et al., 2022).** Overwhelming alerts can lead to errors or missed critical warnings, undermining the effectiveness of these tools. Addressing this issue requires careful system design and customization to prioritize meaningful alerts **(Hou et al., 2021).**

Another limitation is the potential for **data overload**, as the increasing volume of patient information can be difficult to manage. Physicians must balance the need for comprehensive data with the ability to synthesize and apply relevant information effectively. Training and decision-support tools are essential in helping providers navigate this complexity **(Sbaffi et al., 2020).**

Privacy and security concerns also pose challenges in healthcare technology. The sensitive nature of patient data requires robust safeguards to prevent breaches and unauthorized access. Physicians must remain vigilant about cybersecurity risks, particularly as telemedicine and digital communication tools become more prevalent **(Kataria & Ravindran, 2020).**

Finally, the high cost of implementing and maintaining healthcare technology can be a barrier, particularly for smaller practices or resource-constrained institutions. Investing in technology must be balanced with ensuring its accessibility and usability across diverse healthcare settings **(Fu et al., 2020).**

In conclusion, technological advancements such as EHRs, CDSS, telemedicine, AI, and precision medicine are transforming EBP and collaboration in healthcare. While these tools offer significant benefits, addressing challenges like alert fatigue, data overload, and security concerns is crucial for maximizing their impact **(Prabhod, 2023).** By integrating technology thoughtfully, healthcare systems can support physicians in delivering evidence-based, collaborative, and patient-centered care **(Baporikar,).**

Chapter 7: Barriers to Implementing EBP and Collaborative Practices

The implementation of Evidence-Based Practice (EBP) and collaborative practices often faces significant barriers, including **time constraints**, resource limitations, and institutional resistance **(Ayoubian et al., 2020).** Physicians frequently work in fast-paced environments with heavy workloads, leaving limited time to review the latest research, critically appraise evidence, and apply findings to patient care. This challenge is exacerbated by the volume of emerging medical knowledge, which can overwhelm even the most diligent practitioners **(Alatawi et al., 2020).**

Resource limitations pose another major hurdle. Smaller healthcare facilities or those in resource-constrained settings may lack access to critical tools such as clinical decision support systems, online medical databases, or interdisciplinary training programs. These limitations hinder the ability of physicians and other team members to engage in EBP and collaborate effectively **(Naghibi et al., 2021).** Additionally, financial constraints often restrict the implementation of new protocols or technologies designed to promote EBP and teamwork **(Poveda-Moral et al., 2021).**

Institutional resistance to change is a less tangible but equally significant barrier. Established workflows, entrenched hierarchies, and skepticism toward new approaches can create resistance among healthcare providers and administrators **(Crawford et al., 2023).** Physicians may encounter pushback from colleagues who are reluctant to move away from traditional practices or who question the applicability of evidence-based recommendations in specific clinical contexts **(Milam et al.,**

).

To overcome these barriers, healthcare organizations must adopt targeted **strategies to promote a culture of EBP and collaboration.** Providing protected time for physicians to engage in research, participate in team discussions, and attend training sessions is crucial **(Fohlin et al., 2021).** Institutions should also invest in user-friendly technologies that streamline the integration of EBP into clinical workflows, making it easier for busy practitioners to access and apply evidence **(Augustino et al., 2020).**

Education and training play a vital role in overcoming resistance to EBP and collaborative practices. Workshops, simulations, and interdisciplinary training programs can help healthcare providers develop the skills needed to navigate the complexities of EBP and team-based care **(Alqahtani et al., 2022).** Encouraging continuous education fosters a culture of adaptability and openness to new approaches, reducing resistance and building confidence in EBP **(Lai et al., 2022).**

Leadership is a critical factor in facilitating change and overcoming barriers to EBP and collaboration. Physician leaders, in particular, can set an example by championing evidence-based approaches, promoting teamwork, and addressing resistance within their organizations **(Rowe et al., 2021).** Effective leaders advocate for the resources and policies necessary to support EBP and create an environment where interdisciplinary collaboration thrives **(Megersa et al., 2023).**

Ultimately, the successful implementation of EBP and collaborative practices requires a commitment to cultural change within healthcare organizations **(Melnyk et al., 2021).** By addressing barriers systematically, fostering leadership, and providing ongoing support for education and resources, institutions can empower physicians and other healthcare professionals to deliver high-quality, evidence-based, and team-oriented care **(Scheibel,).**

Chapter 8: Physicians as Advocates for Patient Safety

Physicians play a pivotal role in **identifying and preventing medical errors**, which are among the leading causes of morbidity and mortality worldwide. By incorporating EBP into their clinical decision-making, physicians can reduce errors related to incorrect diagnoses, inappropriate treatments, and preventable complications **(Brickley et al., 2021).** EBP provides a structured framework for evaluating the risks and benefits of interventions, ensuring that care decisions are informed by the most reliable and up-to-date evidence **(Nsiah et al., 2020).**

A **culture of safety** within healthcare organizations is essential for reducing medical errors and enhancing patient care. Physicians can promote this culture by encouraging open communication **(Levine et al., 2020),** supporting the reporting of errors and near-misses, and fostering a non-punitive environment where mistakes are treated as opportunities for learning and improvement. This approach not only reduces the stigma associated with error reporting but also helps identify systemic issues that may contribute to recurring mistakes **(Alsabri et al., 2022).**

Physicians are uniquely positioned to lead **quality improvement initiatives** that address patient safety concerns. These initiatives often involve analyzing error patterns, developing evidence-based protocols, and implementing process changes to prevent future occurrences **(Myers et al., 2020).** For example, a physician-led effort to standardize handoff procedures between shifts can significantly reduce communication-related errors, ensuring continuity of care and minimizing the risk of missed information **(Basson et al., 2021).**

To advocate effectively for patient safety, physicians must also collaborate closely with interdisciplinary teams **(Ibrahim Shire et al., 2020).** Nurses, pharmacists, and other healthcare professionals provide

valuable perspectives that can help identify potential risks and develop comprehensive safety strategies.

Collaborative safety rounds, where team members discuss ongoing concerns and potential solutions, are an effective way to integrate these diverse insights **(Brown et al., 2023).**

Physician education plays a crucial role in advancing patient safety. Training in risk management, human factors, and error prevention equips physicians with the knowledge and skills needed to identify vulnerabilities in the healthcare system **(Carayon & Wooldridge, 2020).** Additionally, continuous education ensures that physicians remain current on best practices and emerging safety technologies, enhancing their ability to lead and advocate for change **(Liu et al., 2022).**

Technology is another powerful tool for improving patient safety. EHRs and CDSS provide alerts for potential errors, such as drug interactions or dosing discrepancies, helping physicians make safer decisions**(Naghibi et al., 2021).**. However, technology must be used thoughtfully to avoid issues like alert fatigue, which can undermine its effectiveness. Physicians must advocate for the optimization of these tools to support, rather than hinder, clinical workflows **(Olakotan & Mohd Yusof, 2021).**

In conclusion, physicians are central to promoting patient safety through EBP, collaboration, and leadership in quality improvement initiatives **(Goto & Miura, 2023).**.By fostering a culture of safety, engaging in interdisciplinary efforts, and leveraging education and technology, physicians can advocate effectively for systemic changes that reduce errors and enhance care. Their role as safety advocates is critical for ensuring that healthcare systems deliver the highest standards of care to every patient **(Ye, 2023).**

References

1. Abbruzzese, E., Levine, S. B., & Mason, J. W. (2023). The myth of "reliable research" in pediatric gender medicine: a critical evaluation of the Dutch studies—and research that has followed. *Journal of Sex & Marital Therapy*, *49*(6), 673-699.
2. Ahsan, S. (). Cross-Disciplinary Collaboration: Enhancing Creativity and Problem-Solving in the Workplace. *Kashf Journal of Multidisciplinary Research*, *1*(05), 12-23.
3. Akseer, R., Connolly, M., Cosby, J., Frost, G., Kanagarajah, R. R., & Lim, S. H. E. (2021). Clinician–patient relationships after two decades of a paradigm of patient-centered care. *International Journal of Healthcare Management*, *14*(3), 888-897.
4. Alaklobi, A. A. D., Alaklabi, S. M., Alkurbi, Z. A., Alqarni, H. M., Alqarni, F. M., Alqarni, M. A., ... & Almalki, S. M. (). Pharmacoeconomics and Health Policy: Assessing the Cost-Effectiveness of Pharmaceutical Interventions and its Insinuations for Policy Decision-Making Strategy. *Azerbaijan Pharmaceutical and Pharmacotherapy Journal*, *23*, 1-19.
5. Alatawi, M., Aljuhani, E., Alsufiany, F., Aleid, K., Rawah, R., Aljanabi, S., & Banakhar, M. (2020). Barriers of implementing evidence-based practice in nursing profession: A literature review. *American Journal of Nursing Science*, *9*(1), 35-42.
6. Alkhaibari, R. A., Smith-Merry, J., Forsyth, R., & Raymundo, G. M. (2023). Patient-centered care in the Middle East and North African region: a systematic literature review. *BMC Health Services Research*, *23*(1), 135.
7. Alqahtani, J. M., Carsula, R. P., Alharbi, H. A., Alyousef, S. M., Baker, O. G., & Tumala, R. B. (2022). Barriers to implementing evidence-based practice among primary healthcare nurses in Saudi Arabia: A cross-sectional Study. *Nursing Reports*, *12*(2), 313-323.
8. AL-Ruzzieh, M. A., AL-Helih, Y. M., Haroun, A., & Ayaad, O. (). Higher and Middle Management Perspectives on Patient-Centered Care in an Oncology Setting: A Qualitative Study. *Nursing Reports*, *14*(4), 3378-3390.
9. Alrwaili, I. A., Alanazi, R. R. S., Alhazmi, S. H. B., Awadalbanagi, N. H., Alenizi, W., Alenazi, N. G. K., & Alenezi, H. S. (2022). The role of nursing care in advancing health equity. *Neuropsychopharmacologia Hungarica*, *20*(4).
10. Alsabri, M., Boudi, Z., Lauque, D., Dias, R. D., Whelan, J. S., Östlundh, L., ... & Bellou, A. (2022). Impact of teamwork and communication training interventions on safety culture and patient safety in emergency departments: a systematic review. *Journal of patient safety*, *18*(1), e351-e361.

11. Alselaml, M. M. A., Shahbal, S., Alharbi, A. M. A., Al-Bishri, K. O. E., Alhrbi, S. E. M., Allehyani, A. A., ... & Al Sharif, K. A. (2023). Enhancing patient-centered care IN primary nursing strategies, cultural competence, AND shared decision-making; systematic review findings. *Journal of Namibian Studies: History Politics Culture*, *37*, 80-105.
12. Amin, S., Gupta, V., Du, G., McMullen, C., Sirrine, M., Williams, M. V., ... & Li, J. (2021). Developing and demonstrating the viability and availability of the multilevel implementation strategy for syncope optimal care through engagement (mission) syncope app: Evidence-based clinical decision support tool. *Journal of medical Internet research*, *23*(11), e25192.
13. Ansa, B. E., Zechariah, S., Gates, A. M., Johnson, S. W., Heboyan, V., & De Leo, G. (2020, September). Attitudes and behavior towards interprofessional collaboration among healthcare professionals in a large academic medical center. In *Healthcare* (Vol. 8, No. 3, p. 323). MDPI.
14. Araujo, S. M., Sousa, P., & Dutra, I. (2020). Clinical decision support systems for pressure ulcer management: systematic review. *JMIR medical informatics*, *8*(10), e21621.
15. Astutik, W. S. (). The Role of Evidence-Based Management in Enhancing Nursing Performance and Patient Care Outcomes. *The Journal of Academic Science*, *1*(5), 541-552.
16. Augustino, L. R., Braun, L., Heyne, R. E., Shinn, A., Lovett-Floom, L., King, H., ... & Hatzfeld, J. (2020). Implementing evidence-based practice facilitators: A case series. *Military medicine*, *185*(Supplement_2), 7-14.
17. Ayoubian, A., Nasiripour, A. A., Tabibi, S. J., & Bahadori, M. (2020). Evaluation of facilitators and barriers to implementing evidence-based practice in the health services: A systematic review. *Galen Medical Journal*, *9*, e1645.
18. Baporikar, N. (). Role of Information Technology in Enhancing Healthcare Services. In *Digital Technologies for a Resource Efficient Economy* (pp. 63-87). IGI Global.
19. Barr, J., Paulson, S. S., Kamdar, B., Ervin, J. N., Lane-Fall, M., Liu, V., & Kleinpell, R. (2021). The coming of age of implementation science and research in critical care medicine. *Critical care medicine*, *49*(8), 1254-1275.
20. Basson, T., Montoya, A., Neily, J., Harmon, L., & Watts, B. V. (2021). Improving patient safety culture: a report of a multifaceted intervention. *Journal of patient safety*, *17*(8), e1097-e1104.
21. Benjamins, J., Haveman-Nies, A., Gunnink, M., Goudkuil, A., & De Vet, E. (2021). How the use of a patient-accessible health record contributes to patient-centered care: scoping review. *Journal of medical Internet research*, *23*(1), e17655.
22. Bermudez, N. (2021). Formulating well-written clinical practice questions and research questions. *Nursing & Health Sciences Research Journal*, *4*(1), 70-82.
23. Bhatarasakoon, P., & Chiaranai, C. (). Bridging the Gap from Theory to Practice: Teaching Evidence-based Nursing Strategies for Developing Critical Thinkers and Lifelong Learners. *Pacific Rim International Journal of Nursing Research*, *28*(3).
24. Bhidayasiri, R., Panyakaew, P., Trenkwalder, C., Jeon, B., Hattori, N., Jagota, P., ... & Fernandez, H. H. (2020). Delivering patient-centered care in Parkinson's disease: challenges and consensus from an international panel. *Parkinsonism & Related Disorders*, *72*, 82-87.
25. Bornman, J., & Louw, B. (2023). Leadership development strategies in interprofessional healthcare collaboration: A rapid review. *Journal of healthcare leadership*, 175-192.
26. Brenner, M. J., & Pandian, V. (). Elevating Tracheostomy Care Through Data-Driven Innovation: What Can Education, Evidence-Based Practice, and Quality Improvement Learn from One Another?. *Tracheostomy (Warrenville, Ill.)*, *1*(2), 1.
27. Brickley, B., Williams, L. T., Morgan, M., Ross, A., Trigger, K., & Ball, L. (2021). Putting patients first: development of a patient advocate and general practitioner-informed model of patient-centred care. *BMC Health Services Research*, *21*, 1-9.
28. Brown, S. A., Sparapani, R., Osinski, K., Zhang, J., Blessing, J., Cheng, F., ... & Olson, J. (2023). Team principles for successful interdisciplinary research teams. *American heart journal plus: cardiology research and practice*, *32*, 100306.

29. Buhagiar, T. M., Schoenlein, M. H., & Smith, D. S. (2020). Ethical decision making in critical care: Communication, coordination of care, and the practice of the clinical nurse specialist. *Clinical Nurse Specialist*, *34*(3), 93-95.
30. Butler, J. M., Gibson, B., Lewis, L., Reiber, G., Kramer, H., Rupper, R., ... & Nebeker, J. (2020). Patient-centered care and the electronic health record: exploring functionality and gaps. *Jamia Open*, *3*(3), 360-368.
31. Carayon, P., & Wooldridge, A. R. (2020). Improving patient safety in the patient journey: contributions from human factors engineering. *Women in industrial and systems engineering: Key advances and perspectives on emerging topics*, 275-299.
32. Carron, T., Rawlinson, C., Arditi, C., Cohidon, C., Hong, Q. N., Pluye, P., & Peytremann-Bridevaux, I. (2021). An overview of reviews on interprofessional collaboration in primary care: effectiveness. *International journal of integrated care*, *21*(2).
33. Chen, Z., Liang, N., Zhang, H., Li, H., Yang, Y., Zong, X., & Shi, N. (2023). Harnessing the power of clinical decision support systems: challenges and opportunities. *Open Heart*, *10*(2), e002432.
34. Connor, L., Dean, J., McNett, M., Tydings, D. M., Shrout, A., Gorsuch, P. F., & Gallagher-Ford, L. (2023). Evidence-based practice improves patient outcomes and healthcare system return on investment: Findings from a scoping review. *Worldviews on Evidence-Based Nursing*, *20*(1), 6-15.
35. Crawford, C. L., Rondinelli, J., Zuniga, S., Valdez, R. M., Tze-Polo, L., & Titler, M. G. (2023). Barriers and facilitators influencing EBP readiness: Building organizational and nurse capacity. *Worldviews on Evidence-Based Nursing*, *20*(1), 27-36.
36. Da Mota Gomes, M., & Nardi, A. E. (). Integrating Neuroscience and Humanities in Healthcare Evolution: A Multidisciplinary Perspective. *Rev. Bras. Neurol*, *60*(1), 25-28.
37. Damarell, R. A., Morgan, D. D., & Tieman, J. J. (2020). General practitioner strategies for managing patients with multimorbidity: a systematic review and thematic synthesis of qualitative research. *BMC Family Practice*, *21*, 1-23.
38. Didier, A., Dzemaili, S., Perrenoud, B., Campbell, J., Gachoud, D., Serex, M., & Maya, Z. S. (2020). Patients' perspectives on interprofessional collaboration between health care professionals during hospitalization: a qualitative systematic review. *JBI Evidence Synthesis*, *18*(6), 1208-1270.
39. Dilles, T., Heczkova, J., Tziaferi, S., Helgesen, A. K., Grøndahl, V. A., Van Rompaey, B., & Jordan, S. (2021). Nurses and pharmaceutical care: interprofessional, evidence-based working to improve patient care and outcomes. *International journal of environmental research and public health*, *18*(11), 5973.
40. El-Awaisi, A., Awaisu, A., Aboelbaha, S., Abedini, Z., Johnson, J., & Al-Abdulla, S. A. (2021). Perspectives of healthcare professionals toward interprofessional collaboration in primary care settings in a Middle Eastern Country. *Journal of multidisciplinary healthcare*, 363-379.
41. Elendu, C. (). The evolution of ancient healing practices: From shamanism to Hippocratic medicine: A review. *Medicine*, *103*(28), e39005.
42. Engle, R. L., Mohr, D. C., Holmes, S. K., Seibert, M. N., Afable, M., Leyson, J., & Meterko, M. (2021). Evidence-based practice and patient-centered care: doing both well. *Health care management review*, *46*(3), 174-184.
43. Feldman, R., Haleva-Amir, S., Pincus, T., & Ben Ami, N. (2023). Physiotherapists' perceptions of implementing evidence-based practice for patients with low back pain through the Enhanced Transtheoretical Model Intervention: A qualitative study. *Physiotherapy Theory and Practice*, *39*(9), 1952-1963.
44. Fohlin, L., Sedem, M., & Allodi, M. W. (2021, April). Teachers' experiences of facilitators and barriers to implement theme-based cooperative learning in a Swedish context. In *Frontiers in Education* (Vol. 6, p. 663846). Frontiers Media SA.
45. Fu, S., Li, H., Liu, Y., Pirkkalainen, H., & Salo, M. (2020). Social media overload, exhaustion, and use discontinuance: Examining the effects of information overload, system feature overload, and social overload. *Information Processing & Management*, *57*(6), 102307.

46. Gantayet-Mathur, A., Chan, K., & Kalluri, M. (2022). Patient-centered care and interprofessional collaboration in medical resident education: Where we stand and where we need to go. *Humanities and Social Sciences Communications*, *9*(1), 1-24.
47. Geese, F., & Schmitt, K. U. (2023, January). Interprofessional collaboration in complex patient care transition: A qualitative multi-perspective analysis. In *Healthcare* (Vol. 11, No. 3, p. 359). MDPI.
48. Gencturk, M., Laleci Erturkmen, G. B., Akpinar, A. E., Pournik, O., Ahmad, B., Arvanitis, T. N., ... & Abizanda, P. (). Transforming evidence-based clinical guidelines into implementable clinical decision support services: the CAREPATH study for multimorbidity management. *Frontiers in Medicine*, *11*, 1386689.
49. Goldwater, D., & Wenger, N. K. (2023). Patient-centered care in geriatric cardiology. *Trends in Cardiovascular Medicine*, *33*(1), 13-20.
50. Gonzalo, J. D., Wolpaw, D. R., Cooney, R., Mazotti, L., Reilly, J. B., & Wolpaw, T. (2022). Evolving the systems-based practice competency in graduate medical education to meet patient needs in the 21st-century health care system. *Academic Medicine*, *97*(5), 655-661.
51. Goto, Y., & Miura, H. (2023, May). Evaluation of an advanced care planning training program incorporating online skills in shared decision making: A preintervention and postintervention comparative study. In *Healthcare* (Vol. 11, No. 9, p. 1356). MDPI.
52. Halalau, A., Holmes, B., Rogers-Snyr, A., Donisan, T., Nielsen, E., Cerqueira, T. L., & Guyatt, G. (2021). Evidence-based medicine curricula and barriers for physicians in training: a scoping review. *International journal of medical education*, *12*, 101.
53. Ho, J. T., See, M. T. A., Tan, A. J. Q., Levett-Jones, T., Lau, T. C., Zhou, W., & Liaw, S. Y. (2023). Healthcare professionals' experiences of interprofessional collaboration in patient education: a systematic review. *Patient Education and Counseling*, *116*, 107965.
54. Hou, B., Zhang, H., Ladizhinsky, G., Yang, S., Kuleshov, V., Wang, F., & Yang, Q. (2021). Clinical evidence engine: proof-of-concept for a clinical-domain-agnostic decision support infrastructure. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2111.00621*.
55. Huddart, J. E., Crawford, A. J., Luna-Tapia, A. L., Restrepo, S., & Di Palma, F. (2022). EBP-Colombia and the bioeconomy: Genomics in the service of biodiversity conservation and sustainable development. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, *119*(4), e2115641119.
56. Hussaini, N., & Varon, J. (2023). Fostering trust in critical care medicine: A comprehensive analysis of patient-provider relationships. *Critical Care & Shock*, *26*(6).
57. Ibrahim Shire, M., Jun, G. T., & Robinson, S. (2020). Healthcare workers' perspectives on participatory system dynamics modelling and simulation: designing safe and efficient hospital pharmacy dispensing systems together. *Ergonomics*, *63*(8), 1044-1056.
58. Jacobsohn, G. C., Leaf, M., Liao, F., Maru, A. P., Engstrom, C. J., Salwei, M. E., ... & Patterson, B. W. (2022, March). Collaborative design and implementation of a clinical decision support system for automated fall-risk identification and referrals in emergency departments. In *Healthcare* (Vol. 10, No. 1, p. 100598). Elsevier.
59. Janikian, M., & Maragakis, A. (). History and Key Concepts of Evidence-Based Practices. In *Evidence-Based Behavioral Health Practices in Pediatric Specialty Settings* (pp. 1-14). Cham: Springer Nature Switzerland.
60. Jing, X., Min, H., Gong, Y., Sittig, D. F., Biondich, P., Robinson, D., ... & Gimbel, R. (2022). A systematic review of ontology-based clinical decision support system rules: usage, management, and interoperability. *medRxiv*, 2022-05.
61. Kalariya, Y., Kumar, A., Ullah, A., Umair, A., Neha, F. N. U., Madhurita, F. N. U., ... & Khatri, M. (2023). Integrative medicine approaches: bridging the gap between conventional and renal complementary therapies. *Cureus*, *15*(9).
62. Kataria, S., & Ravindran, V. (2020). Electronic health records: a critical appraisal of strengths and limitations. *Journal of the Royal College of Physicians of Edinburgh*, *50*(3), 262-268.

63. Khalili, H., Pandey, J., Langlois, S., Park, V., Brown, R., El-Awaisi, A., ... & Breitbach, A. P. (2023). Forward thinking and adaptability to sustain and advance IPECP in healthcare transformation following the COVID-19 pandemic. *Internet Journal of Allied Health Sciences and Practice*, *22*(1), 18.
64. Khemai, C., Meijers, J. M., Mujezinovic, I., Bolt, S. R., Pieters, S., Moser, A., ... & Janssen, D. J. (2022). Interprofessional collaboration in palliative dementia care through the eyes of informal caregivers. *Dementia*, *21*(6), 1890-1913.
65. Kilpatrick, K., Paquette, L., Jabbour, M., Tchouaket, E., Fernandez, N., Al Hakim, G., ... & Dubois, C. A. (2020). Systematic review of the characteristics of brief team interventions to clarify roles and improve functioning in healthcare teams. *PLoS One*, *15*(6), e0234416.
66. Kurniasih, D. A. A., Setiawati, E. P., Pradipta, I. S., & Subarnas, A. (2022). Interprofessional collaboration in the breast cancer unit: how do healthcare workers see it?. *BMC Women's Health*, *22*(1), 227.
67. Lai, J., Brettle, A., Zhang, Y., Zhou, C., Li, C., Fu, J., & Wu, Y. (2022). Barriers to implementing evidence-based nursing practice from the hospitals' point of view in China: A regional cross-sectional study. *Nurse Education Today*, *116*, 105436.
68. Law, M., & MacDermid, J. C. (). Introduction to evidence-based practice. In *Evidence-based rehabilitation* (pp. 1-14). Routledge.
69. Lehane, E., Curtin, C., & Corrigan, M. (2023). Teaching strategies for shared decision-making within the context of evidence-based healthcare practice: a scoping review. *Patient Education and Counseling*, *109*, 107630.
70. Levine, K. J., Carmody, M., & Silk, K. J. (2020). The influence of organizational culture, climate and commitment on speaking up about medical errors. *Journal of nursing management*, *28*(1), 130-138.
71. Li, K. N. (). The Role of the ICE Model in Doctor-Patient Shared Decision Making. *JURNAL KESMAS DAN GIZI (JKG)*, *6*(2), 311-317.
72. Liu, C., Chen, H., Cao, X., Sun, Y., Liu, C. Y., Wu, K., ... & Chiou, W. K. (2022). Effects of mindfulness meditation on doctors' mindfulness, patient safety culture, patient safety competency and adverse event. *International journal of environmental research and public health*, *19*(6), 3282.
73. Liu, P. L., Yeo, T. E. D., & Ye, J. F. . Examining the intervening roles of patient-centered care and patient activation in the health impacts of offline healthcare obstacles and online health consultations among deaf and hard-of-hearing patients. *Health Communication*, *39*(11), 2366-2375.
74. Lu, S. C., Brown, R. J., & Michalowski, M. (2021). A clinical decision support system design framework for nursing practice. *ACI Open*, *5*(02), e84-e93.
75. Mapes, M. V., DePergola, P. A., & McGee, W. T. (2020). Patient-centered care and autonomy: shared decision-making in practice and a suggestion for practical application in the critically ill. *Journal of intensive care medicine*, *35*(11), 1352-1355.
76. Marques, M. D. C., Pires, R., Perdigao, M., Sousa, L., Fonseca, C., Pinho, L. G., & Lopes, M. (2021). Patient-centered care for patients with cardiometabolic diseases: An integrative review. *Journal of Personalized Medicine*, *11*(12), 1289.
77. Marren, J. M., & Rosati, R. J. (). Evidence-Based Practice Improvement in 10 Years: Challenges and Opportunities, a Business Perspective. *Evidence-Based Practice Improvement: Merging Evidence-Based Practice and Quality Improvement*, 417.
78. Matson-Koffman, D. M., Robinson, S. J., Jakhmola, P., Fochtmann, L. J., Willett, D., Lubin, I. M., ... & Michaels, M. (2023). An integrated process for co-developing and implementing written and computable clinical practice guidelines. *American Journal of Medical Quality*, *38*(5S), S12-S34.
79. McNaughton, S. M., Flood, B., Morgan, C. J., & Saravanakumar, P. (2021). Existing models of interprofessional collaborative practice in primary healthcare: a scoping review. *Journal of Interprofessional Care*, *35*(6), 940-952.
80. McNett, M., Masciola, R., Sievert, D., & Tucker, S. (2021). Advancing evidence-based practice through implementation science: Critical contributions of doctor of nursing practice-and doctor of philosophy-prepared nurses. *Worldviews on Evidence-Based Nursing*, *18*(2), 93-101.

81. Medina, L. S., Blackmore, C. C., Applegate, K. E., Alvarado, E., & MacKenzie, S. (). Principles of evidence-based imaging. In *Evidence-Based Imaging in Pediatrics: Clinical Decision Support for Optimized Imaging in Pediatric Care* (pp. 1-16). Cham: Springer International Publishing.
82. Megersa, Y., Dechasa, A., Shibru, A., Mideksa, L., & Tura, M. R. (2023). Evidence-based practice utilisation and its associated factors among nurses working at public hospitals in West Shoa zone, central Ethiopia: a cross-sectional study. *BMJ open*, *13*(1), e063651.
83. Melnyk, B. M., Tan, A., Hsieh, A. P., & Gallagher-Ford, L. (2021). Evidence-based practice culture and mentorship predict EBP implementation, nurse job satisfaction, and intent to stay: Support for the ARCC© model. *Worldviews on Evidence-Based Nursing*, *18*(4), 272-281.
84. Milam, A. J., Bonner, T., Burton, B. N., Williams, B., Youssef, M. R., Smith, R. N., ... & Pittet, J. F.). The difficulty implementing evidence-based practice in the perioperative setting. *Anesthesia & Analgesia*, *139*(4), 874-880.
85. Moumjid, N., Durand, M. A., Carretier, J., Charuel, E., Daumer, J., Haesebaert, J., ... & Blot, F. (2022). Implementation of shared decision-making and patient-centered care in France: Towards a wider uptake in 2022. *Zeitschrift für Evidenz, Fortbildung und Qualität im Gesundheitswesen*, *171*, 42-48.
86. Movsisyan, A., Rehfuess, E., & Norris, S. L. (2020). When complexity matters: a step-by-step guide to incorporating a complexity perspective in guideline development for public health and health system interventions. *BMC medical research methodology*, *20*, 1-13.
87. Myers, J. S., Lane-Fall, M. B., Perfetti, R. H., Humphrey, K., Sato, L., Shaw, K. N., ... & Tess, A. (2020). Demonstrating the value of postgraduate fellowships for physicians in quality improvement and patient safety. *BMJ Quality & Safety*, *29*(8), 645-654.
88. Naghibi, D., Mohammadzadeh, S., & Azami-Aghdash, S. (2021). Barriers to evidence-based practice in health system: a systematic review. *Evidence Based Care*, *11*(2), 74-82.
89. Neuman, M. D., Kappelman, M. D., Israel, E., Ellenberg, S. S., Girman, C., Robb, J., ... & Trontell, A. (2020). Real-world experiences with generating real-world evidence: Case Studies from PCORI's pragmatic clinical Studies program. *Contemporary Clinical Trials*, *98*, 106171.
90. Nsiah, C., Siakwa, M., & Ninnoni, J. P. (2020). Barriers to practicing patient advocacy in healthcare setting. *Nursing Open*, *7*(2), 650-659.
91. Odeh, Y., Al-Ruzzieh, M., Al Rifai, A., Mustafa, H., & Odeh, M. (). Towards digital readiness of evidence-based practice in a regional cancer center using role-based business process and data modeling. *Digital Health*, *10*, 20552076241281193.
92. Olakotan, O. O., & Mohd Yusof, M. (2021). The appropriateness of clinical decision support systems alerts in supporting clinical workflows: a systematic review. *Health Informatics Journal*, *27*(2), 14604582211007536.
93. Ostropolets, A., Zhang, L., & Hripcsak, G. (2020). A scoping review of clinical decision support tools that generate new knowledge to support decision making in real time. *Journal of the American Medical Informatics Association*, *27*(12), 1968-1976.
94. Pachankis, J. E., Soulliard, Z. A., Morris, F., & van Dyk, I. S. (2023). A model for adapting evidence-based interventions to be LGBQ-affirmative: Putting minority stress principles and case conceptualization into clinical research and practice. *Cognitive and Behavioral Practice*, *30*(1), 1-17.
95. Paparini, S., Green, J., Papoutsi, C., Murdoch, J., Petticrew, M., Greenhalgh, T., ... & Shaw, S. (2020). Case study research for better evaluations of complex interventions: rationale and challenges. *BMC medicine*, *18*, 1-6.
96. Peltonen, J., Leino-Kilpi, H., Heikkilä, H., Rautava, P., Tuomela, K., Siekkinen, M., ... & Stolt, M. (2020). Instruments measuring interprofessional collaboration in healthcare–a scoping review. *Journal of Interprofessional Care*, *34*(2), 147-161.
97. Poveda-Moral, S., Falcó-Pegueroles, A., Ballesteros-Silva, M. P., & Bosch-Alcaraz, A. (2021). Barriers to advance care planning implementation in health care: An umbrella review with implications for evidence-based practice. *Worldviews on Evidence-Based Nursing*, *18*(5), 254-263.

98. Prabhod, K. J. (2023). Integrating Large Language Models for Enhanced Clinical Decision Support Systems in Modern Healthcare. *Journal of Machine Learning for Healthcare Decision Support*, *3*(1), 18-62.
99. Rajan, R., Brennan, L., Bloem, B. R., Dahodwala, N., Gardner, J., Goldman, J. G., ... & Eggers, C. (2020). Integrated care in Parkinson's disease: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Movement Disorders*, *35*(9), 1509-1531.
100. Ramala Jr, S. R., Chandak, S., Chandak, M. S., & Annareddy, S. (2023). A comprehensive review of breast fibroadenoma: correlating clinical and pathological findings. *Cureus*, *15*(12).
101. Rawlinson, C., Carron, T., Cohidon, C., Arditi, C., Hong, Q. N., Pluye, P., ... & Gilles, I. (2021). An overview of reviews on interprofessional collaboration in primary care: barriers and facilitators. *International Journal of Integrated Care*, *21*(2).
102. Rowe, D. A., Collier-Meek, M. A., Kittelman, A., & Pierce, J. (2021). Ensuring effective implementation of evidence-based practices. *TEACHING Exceptional Children*, *53*(6), 396-399.
103. Ruebling, I., Eggenberger, T., Frost, J. S., Gazenfried, E., Greer, A., Khalili, H., ... & Stein, S. M. (2023). Interprofessional collaboration: a public policy healthcare transformation call for action. *Journal of Interprofessional Education & Practice*, *33*, 100675.
104. Samarasekera, D. D., Chong, Y. S., Ban, K., Lau, L. S. T., Gallagher, P. J., Zhi Xiong, C., ... & Lee, S. S.). Transforming healthcare with integrated inter-professional education in a research-driven medical school. *Medical Teacher*, 1-8.
105. Sbaffi, L., Walton, J., Blenkinsopp, J., & Walton, G. (2020). Information overload in emergency medicine physicians: a multisite case study exploring the causes, impact, and solutions in Four North England national health service trusts. *Journal of medical Internet research*, *22*(7), e19126.
106. Scheibel, G. Addressing barriers to evidence-based practice: Using evidence-based decision making and high-leverage practices to meet student needs. *TEACHING Exceptional Children*, *56*(6), 492-501.
107. Schmid, F., Rogan, S., & Glässel, A. (2021). A swiss health care professionals' perspective on the meaning of interprofessional collaboration in health care of people with ms—a focus group study. *International journal of environmental research and public health*, *18*(12), 6537.
108. Schot, E., Tummers, L., & Noordegraaf, M. (2020). Working on working together. A systematic review on how healthcare professionals contribute to interprofessional collaboration. *Journal of interprofessional care*, *34*(3), 332-342.
109. Schueller, S. M., & Torous, J. (2020). Scaling evidence-based treatments through digital mental health. *American Psychologist*, *75*(8), 1093.
110. Schuttner, L., Hockett Sherlock, S., Simons, C. E., Johnson, N. L., Wirtz, E., Ralston, J. D., ... & Sayre, G. (2022). My goals are not their goals: barriers and facilitators to delivery of patient-centered care for patients with multimorbidity. *Journal of General Internal Medicine*, *37*(16), 4189-4196.
111. Seaton, J., Jones, A., Johnston, C., & Francis, K. (2021). Allied health professionals' perceptions of interprofessional collaboration in primary health care: an integrative review. *Journal of Interprofessional Care*, *35*(2), 217-228.
112. Shah, S., Switzer, S., Shippee, N. D., Wogensen, P., Kosednar, K., Jones, E., ... & Tignanelli, C. J. (2021). Implementation of an anticoagulation practice guideline for COVID-19 via a clinical decision support system in a large academic health system and its evaluation: Observational study. *JMIR Medical Informatics*, *9*(11), e30743.
113. Shanafelt, T., Trockel, M., Rodriguez, A., & Logan, D. (2021). Wellness-centered leadership: equipping health care leaders to cultivate physician well-being and professional fulfillment. *Academic Medicine*, *96*(5), 641-651.
114. Sheeran, N., Jones, L., Pines, R., Jin, B., Pamoso, A., Eigeland, J., & Benedetti, M. (2023). How culture influences patient preferences for patient-centered care with their doctors. *Journal of Communication in Healthcare*, *16*(2), 186-196.

115. Sibbald, S. L., Misra, V., DaSilva, M., & Licskai, C. (2022). A framework to support the progressive implementation of integrated team-based care for the management of COPD: a collective case study. *BMC health services research*, *22*(1), 420.
116. Sibbald, S. L., Misra, V., DaSilva, M., & Licskai, C. (2022). A framework to support the progressive implementation of integrated team-based care for the management of COPD: a collective case study. *BMC health services research*, *22*(1), 420.
117. Siddiqui, S., Zhang, W. W., Platzbecker, K., Douglas, M. J., Rock, L. K., & Eikermann, M. (2021). Ethical, legal, and communication challenges in managing goals-of-care discussions in chronically critically ill patients. *Journal of Critical Care*, *63*, 231-237.
118. Simons, M., Rapport, F., Zurynski, Y., Stoodley, M., Cullis, J., & Davidson, A. S. (2022). Links between evidence-based medicine and shared decision-making in courses for doctors in training: a scoping review. *BMJ open*, *12*(4), e057335.
119. Spaulding, E. M., Marvel, F. A., Jacob, E., Rahman, A., Hansen, B. R., Hanyok, L. A., ... & Han, H. R. (2021). Interprofessional education and collaboration among healthcare students and professionals: a systematic review and call for action. *Journal of interprofessional care*, *35*(4), 612-621.
120. Suganthi, V., & Kalaiselvi, K. (). Decision Support System in Healthcare Monitoring. *Artificial Intelligence-Based System Models in Healthcare*, 55-78.
121. Sun, J.). Development of Medical Leadership in Primary Health Care: A Core Skill for General Practitioners. *International Multidisciplinary Journal of Research for Innovation, Sustainability, and Excellence (IMJRISE)*, *1*(5), 464-470.
122. Tamli, N., & Sain, M. (2023). Exploring Innovative Strategies For Patient-Centered Care In The Nursing Profession. *A Bi-annual South Asian Journal of Research & Innovation*, *10*(1), 19-30.
123. Timmermans, S. (2020). The engaged patient: The relevance of patient–physician communication for twenty-first-century health. *Journal of Health and Social Behavior*, *61*(3), 259-273.
124. Tonelli, M. R., & Shapiro, D. (2020). Experiential knowledge in clinical medicine: use and justification. *Theoretical Medicine and Bioethics*, *41*, 67-82.
125. Treichler, E. B., Rabin, B. A., Cohen, A. N., & Light, G. A. (2021). How shared is shared decision making? Reaching the full potential of patient-clinician collaboration in mental health. *Harvard review of psychiatry*, *29*(5), 361-369.
126. Tucker, S., McNett, M., Mazurek Melnyk, B., Hanrahan, K., Hunter, S. C., Kim, B., ... & Kitson, A. (2021). Implementation science: Application of evidence-based practice models to improve healthcare quality. *Worldviews on Evidence-Based Nursing*, *18*(2), 76-84.
127. Vaseghi, F., Yarmohammadian, M. H., & Raeisi, A. (2022). Interprofessional collaboration competencies in the health system: A systematic review. *Iranian journal of nursing and midwifery research*, *27*(6), 496-504.
128. Wadhwa, A., & Mahant, S. (2022). Humility in medical practice: a qualitative study of peer-nominated excellent clinicians. *BMC medical education*, *22*(1), 88.
129. Wang, D., Liu, C., & Zhang, X. (2020). Do physicians' attitudes towards patient-centered communication promote physicians' intention and behavior of involving patients in medical decisions?. *International journal of environmental research and public health*, *17*(17), 6393.
130. Wei, H., Corbett, R. W., Ray, J., & Wei, T. L. (2020). A culture of caring: the essence of healthcare interprofessional collaboration. *Journal of interprofessional care*, *34*(3), 324-331.
131. Wei, H., Corbett, R. W., Ray, J., & Wei, T. L. (2020). A culture of caring: the essence of healthcare interprofessional collaboration. *Journal of interprofessional care*, *34*(3), 324-331.
132. Wei, H., Horns, P., Sears, S. F., Huang, K., Smith, C. M., & Wei, T. L. (2022). A systematic meta-review of systematic reviews about interprofessional collaboration: facilitators, barriers, and outcomes. *Journal of Interprofessional Care*, *36*(5), 735-749.
133. Witt Sherman, D., Flowers, M., Rodriguez Alfano, A., Alfonso, F., De Los Santos, M., Evans, H., ... & Walsh, S. (2020, October). An integrative review of interprofessional collaboration in health care: building the case for university support and resources and faculty engagement. In *Healthcare* (Vol. 8, No. 4, p. 418). MDPI.

134. Ye, J. (2023). Patient safety of perioperative medication through the lens of digital health and artificial intelligence. *JMIR Perioperative Medicine*, *6*, e34453.
135. Zegarra-Parodi, R., Baroni, F., Lunghi, C., & Dupuis, D. (2022, December). Historical osteopathic principles and practices in contemporary care: An anthropological perspective to foster evidence-informed and culturally sensitive patient-centered care: A commentary. In *Healthcare* (Vol. 11, No. 1, p. 10). MDPI.
136. Zuqayl, E. M. Y., Agdi, J. Z. Y., Jalal, A. A. M., Moh'd Oriji, A., Ogdi, W. Z. Y., Alharbi, B. N. H., & Zuqayl, A. M. (). Nurse Managers Role in Promoting a Positive Work Environment: Scoping Review. *Journal of International Crisis and Risk Communication Research*, *7*(2), 91-109.