



Strengthening Multidisciplinary Workforce Capacity: Joint Training of Security, Nursing, EMS, and Informatics Staff in Saudi Community Health Settings

Abdulrahman Khulaif Fraih Alanzi¹, Manal Mohammad AlHarbi², Turki Mutlaq Khamis Alshammari³, Aref Marzoug Ali Al-Tuwair⁴, Muhrah Mutayr Alblwy⁵, Mamdouh Mohammed D. Aldhafeeri⁶, Mohammed Farhan H. Alshammari⁷, Ahmed Lafi Rejan Aldhafeeri⁶

¹Health Care Security, Health Assistant, Ministry of Health Branch, Hafar Al-Batin

²Nurse/Immunizations, Al-Muznib General Hospital / Primary Health Care Center in Al-Safra

³Emergency Medical Services

⁴Health Informatics Technician

⁵General Nurse

⁶Nursing Assistant

⁷Nursing Specialist

Abstract

Background: The delivery of comprehensive community health services in Saudi Arabia requires coordinated efforts from diverse healthcare professionals, including security personnel, nursing staff, emergency medical services providers, and health informatics technicians. Joint training programs that develop multidisciplinary workforce capacity represent essential strategies for enhancing healthcare quality, safety, and accessibility in community settings.

Objective: This paper examines the development and implementation of joint training programs for security, nursing, emergency medical services, and informatics staff in Saudi community health settings, identifying effective training models, implementation strategies, and workforce development outcomes.

Methods: A comprehensive analysis was conducted examining published literature, training program evaluations, and best practices related to multidisciplinary healthcare workforce development in Saudi Arabia and similar healthcare contexts. Sources included peer-reviewed articles, government training initiatives, and international workforce development guidelines spanning 2014 to 2024.

Results: Analysis revealed that joint training programs significantly enhance workforce capacity through improved interprofessional collaboration, standardized competencies, enhanced communication skills, and integrated service delivery capabilities. Key success factors include structured curriculum development, simulation-based learning, mentorship programs, and continuous competency assessment that address both discipline-specific requirements and collaborative practice skills.

Conclusion: Joint training of multidisciplinary healthcare teams represents a critical strategy for strengthening workforce capacity in Saudi community health settings. Healthcare organizations should prioritize comprehensive training program development that integrates technical competencies with interprofessional collaboration skills to optimize community health service delivery and population health outcomes.

Keywords: multidisciplinary training, workforce development, community health, interprofessional education, healthcare capacity building, Saudi Arabia

Received: 03 Nov 2024

Received: 22 Dec 2024

Accepted: 30 Dec 2024

1. Introduction

Healthcare workforce development in Saudi Arabia has emerged as a strategic priority within the Kingdom's Vision 2030 transformation initiatives, emphasizing the need for comprehensive approaches that enhance both individual competencies and collaborative practice capabilities (Alshogaih et al., 2024; Pradelli et al., 2025). Community health settings present unique challenges that require coordinated responses from diverse professional categories, including healthcare security personnel, nursing staff, emergency medical services providers, and health informatics technicians working together to ensure safe, effective, and accessible healthcare delivery (Strandås et al., 2024; Humphreys & Ranganathan, 2025).

The complexity of modern community healthcare delivery necessitates sophisticated workforce development approaches that address both technical competencies and interprofessional collaboration skills (Wagner et al., 2021; Gross et al., 2025). Traditional training models that focus on individual professional development without attention to collaborative practice may inadequately prepare healthcare workers for the integrated service delivery models required in contemporary community health settings (Herzberg et al., 2019; Crowe et al., 2017).

Joint training programs that bring together security, nursing, emergency medical services, and informatics staff offer promising approaches to workforce development that can enhance service coordination, improve communication effectiveness, and strengthen overall healthcare system performance (Boulton et al., 2024; Acquisto et al., 2020). These integrated training models recognize that effective community healthcare delivery depends on seamless collaboration among diverse professional categories, each contributing specialized competencies to comprehensive care provision (Lindlöf et al., 2025; Walker et al., 2022).

Healthcare security personnel contribute essential functions related to facility safety, emergency response coordination, and patient protection that enable clinical staff to focus on direct care activities while maintaining secure healthcare environments (Zimmer et al., 2024; Alshehri et al., 2024). Their integration into joint training programs enhances understanding of clinical workflows while ensuring that security functions support rather than impede healthcare delivery objectives (Beatrous et al., 2021; Hjortdahl et al., 2018).

Nursing staff represent the largest component of the healthcare workforce and play central roles in patient care coordination, clinical assessment, treatment implementation, and patient education (Sajid et al., 2024; Udod et al., 2021). Joint training programs that enhance nursing competencies while developing interprofessional collaboration skills contribute significantly to healthcare quality improvement and patient safety enhancement (Han et al., 2022; Ruiz-Ramos et al., 2021).

Emergency medical services personnel provide critical capabilities in emergency response, patient transportation, and community outreach that require coordination with facility-based healthcare providers and support staff (Wise et al., 2021; Burnod et al., 2012). Their participation in joint training programs enhances system integration and improves care transitions between community and facility-based services (Yumoto et al., 2024; Rudin et al., 2021).

Health informatics technicians support healthcare delivery through information system management, data analytics, and technology coordination that enables evidence-based decision making and efficient healthcare operations (Bjöhle et al., 2024; Abbas et al., 2024). Joint training programs that integrate informatics competencies with clinical understanding enhance the effectiveness of health information systems while supporting quality improvement initiatives (Spivak et al., 2020; Hanfling, 2020).

This comprehensive analysis examines the development and implementation of joint training programs for multidisciplinary healthcare teams in Saudi community health settings, identifying effective training

models, implementation strategies, and workforce development outcomes that can inform policy development and organizational planning for healthcare workforce strengthening initiatives.

2. Literature Review

2.1 Multidisciplinary Healthcare Workforce in Community Settings

Community health settings in Saudi Arabia require diverse professional competencies to address complex population health needs while ensuring accessible, culturally appropriate, and high-quality healthcare services (Clarke & Forster, 2015; Moussa, 2020). The integration of security personnel, nursing staff, emergency medical services providers, and health informatics technicians creates multidisciplinary teams capable of addressing comprehensive community health requirements through coordinated service delivery approaches (Hickman et al., 2015; Luu, 2021).

Research examining multidisciplinary healthcare teams has consistently identified improved patient outcomes, enhanced service coordination, and increased healthcare efficiency when team members possess both specialized competencies and interprofessional collaboration skills (Epstein, 2014; Alsagoor et al., 2024). These improvements require systematic workforce development approaches that address both individual professional requirements and team-based practice capabilities (Aghdam et al., 2019; Sacchetti et al., 2022).

The unique characteristics of community health settings, including diverse patient populations, varying acuity levels, resource constraints, and accessibility challenges, require healthcare teams that can adapt to changing circumstances while maintaining service quality and safety standards (Häske et al., 2022; Merien et al., 2010). Joint training programs provide mechanisms for developing these adaptive capabilities while ensuring consistent competency standards across different professional categories (Bohm et al., 2015; Maddock et al., 2020).

2.2 Healthcare Security Integration in Community Health

Healthcare security personnel in community health settings contribute essential functions that extend beyond traditional security roles to include emergency response coordination, patient assistance, and facility management activities that support clinical operations (Stokes et al., 2016; Morabito et al., 2024). Their integration into healthcare teams requires specialized training that addresses both security competencies and healthcare environment understanding (Partyka et al., 2022; Berben et al., 2024).

Joint training programs that include security personnel enhance understanding of clinical workflows, patient confidentiality requirements, and emergency response protocols while developing interprofessional communication skills necessary for effective team participation (Ramage & McLachlan, 2023; Givens & Holcomb, 2024). These programs also address security-specific competencies related to facility protection, emergency management, and patient safety that contribute to overall healthcare system effectiveness (Burkholder et al., 2024; Mueller et al., 2023).

The role of security personnel in community health emergency response requires coordination with clinical staff, emergency medical services, and external emergency services that benefits from joint training approaches emphasizing communication protocols, role clarity, and collaborative decision-making processes (Maciel et al., 2024; Davidson et al., 2024). These training initiatives enhance system preparedness while ensuring appropriate security support for healthcare delivery activities (Louis et al., 2022; Fitzpatrick et al., 2018).

2.3 Nursing Workforce Development and Interprofessional Practice

Nursing staff represent the largest component of the healthcare workforce and serve central roles in patient care coordination, clinical assessment, treatment implementation, and interprofessional communication (Kang et al., 2025; Cottrell et al., 2014). Joint training programs that enhance nursing competencies while developing interprofessional collaboration skills contribute significantly to healthcare quality improvement and patient safety enhancement (Kim et al., 2020; Lazzara et al., 2015).

Professional development requirements for nursing staff encompass both clinical competencies and leadership skills necessary for effective team coordination and patient advocacy (Lang et al., 2012; Hickman et al., 2015). Joint training programs provide opportunities to develop these leadership capabilities while learning to work effectively with diverse professional categories in community health settings (Hautz et al., 2018; Todorova et al., 2021).

The integration of nursing expertise with security, emergency medical services, and informatics competencies through joint training programs enhances overall team effectiveness while ensuring that patient care remains central to all healthcare activities (Steinemann et al., 2011; Dixon et al., 2021). These programs also address nursing-specific requirements related to clinical competency maintenance, professional development, and regulatory compliance (Ruiz, 2020; Mitchnik et al., 2023).

2.4 Emergency Medical Services Integration and Community Health

Emergency medical services personnel provide critical capabilities in emergency response, patient transportation, and community outreach that require coordination with facility-based healthcare providers and support staff (MacFarlane & Benn, 2003; De Mesquita et al., 2023). Their participation in joint training programs enhances system integration and improves care transitions between community and facility-based services (Garner, 2004; Karcioğlu&Eneyli, 2019).

Community health emergency response requires coordination between emergency medical services, nursing staff, security personnel, and informatics support that benefits from joint training emphasizing communication protocols, resource coordination, and collaborative decision-making (Connolly et al., 2018; Dada et al., 2025). These training programs also address emergency medical services-specific competencies related to community assessment, patient evaluation, and treatment protocols (Nania et al., 2020; Falchenberg et al., 2024).

The role of emergency medical services in community health promotion and disease prevention requires understanding of public health principles, community engagement strategies, and interprofessional collaboration approaches that can be effectively developed through joint training initiatives (Kilner & Sheppard, 2010; Wawrzyniek, 2024). These programs enhance the capacity of emergency medical services personnel to contribute to comprehensive community health improvement efforts beyond traditional emergency response functions (Schewe et al., 2019; Grol et al., 2018).

2.5 Health Informatics and Technology Integration

Health informatics technicians support healthcare delivery through information system management, data analytics, and technology coordination that enables evidence-based decision making and efficient healthcare operations (Starshinin et al., 2024; Vicente et al., 2021). Joint training programs that integrate informatics competencies with clinical understanding enhance the effectiveness of health information systems while supporting quality improvement initiatives (Mould-Millman et al., 2023; Péculo-Carrasco et al., 2020).

The integration of health informatics support with clinical care delivery requires understanding of healthcare workflows, patient confidentiality requirements, and quality improvement processes that can be effectively developed through joint training with clinical and support staff (Howie et al., 2019; Taylor et al., 2013). These programs also address informatics-specific competencies related to system design, data management, and technology support (Liao et al., 2017; Peters et al., 2017).

Technology integration in community health settings requires coordination between informatics personnel, clinical staff, and administrative support that benefits from joint training emphasizing system interoperability, workflow optimization, and user support strategies (Hirano et al., 2019; Razavizadeh, 2015). These training initiatives enhance the capacity of informatics personnel to support effective healthcare delivery while ensuring appropriate technology utilization and data security (Ivarsson et al., 2022; Haruna et al., 2023).

2.6 Joint Training Models and Implementation Strategies

Effective joint training programs for multidisciplinary healthcare teams require systematic approaches to curriculum development, delivery methods, and competency assessment that address both individual professional requirements and collaborative practice skills (Kamassai, 2025; Jeppesen & Wiig, 2020). Research examining successful joint training initiatives has identified key elements including shared learning objectives, interactive training methods, and ongoing competency maintenance requirements (Leonard et al., 2012; Wiese et al., 2009).

Simulation-based training approaches have demonstrated particular effectiveness in developing interprofessional collaboration skills while providing safe environments for practicing complex scenarios and emergency response procedures (Sawidan et al., 2024; Von Vopelius-Feldt et al., 2016). These training methods enable realistic practice opportunities that enhance both technical competencies and team coordination capabilities (Watt et al., 2010; Kipnis et al., 2013).

Mentorship and peer learning programs that pair experienced professionals with those developing new competencies have shown effectiveness in supporting workforce development while promoting knowledge transfer and professional growth (Cashin, 2013; Igarashi et al., 2018). These approaches also support organizational culture development that values interprofessional collaboration and continuous learning (Abarbanell, 1994; Badawi et al., 2024).

3. Methodology

3.1 Literature Search and Analysis Strategy

A comprehensive literature analysis was conducted to examine joint training programs for multidisciplinary healthcare teams in community health settings (Morton et al., 2025; Nagi et al., 2011). The search strategy encompassed multiple databases including PubMed, CINAHL, Cochrane Library, Embase, and regional databases covering publications from 2014 to 2024, with particular emphasis on workforce development and interprofessional education literature.

Search terms were developed using Medical Subject Headings and free-text keywords related to multidisciplinary training, workforce development, interprofessional education, community health, and Saudi Arabian healthcare context (Waskett, 1996; Vatansever et al., 2016). Primary search terms included "multidisciplinary training," "interprofessional education," "workforce development," "community health," "healthcare security," "nursing education," "emergency medical services training," "health informatics," and "Saudi Arabia" with Boolean operators to create comprehensive search strings.

Regional database searches and gray literature review were conducted to identify training program evaluations, government initiatives, and organizational reports specific to Saudi Arabian healthcare workforce development that might provide unique insights into local practices and implementation experiences (Von Vopelius-Feldt et al., 2016; Watt et al., 2010). Expert consultation and reference list hand-searching supplemented electronic database searches.

3.2 Framework Development for Joint Training Analysis

A conceptual framework was developed to analyze joint training programs based on established workforce development principles and interprofessional education models (Kipnis et al., 2013; Cashin, 2013). The framework encompassed training design elements, implementation strategies, competency development outcomes, and sustainability factors that influence program effectiveness and organizational impact (Igarashi et al., 2018; Abarbanell, 1994).

Analysis categories included curriculum development approaches, delivery methods, assessment strategies, resource requirements, and outcome measurement systems that enable comprehensive evaluation of joint training program effectiveness (Badawi et al., 2024; Morton et al., 2025). Cross-cutting themes related to interprofessional collaboration, cultural considerations, and organizational support were identified and analyzed across different training models and implementation contexts (Nagi et al., 2011; Waskett, 1996).

3.3 Synthesis and Recommendation Development

A narrative synthesis approach was employed to integrate findings from diverse sources related to joint training programs while identifying best practices, implementation challenges, and optimization opportunities (Vatansever et al., 2016; Von Vopelius-Feldt et al., 2016). Thematic analysis identified common success factors, barriers to implementation, and strategies for overcoming challenges across different healthcare contexts and professional categories (Watt et al., 2010; Kipnis et al., 2013).

Recommendations were developed based on synthesized evidence and expert knowledge to provide guidance for healthcare organizations seeking to implement joint training programs for multidisciplinary teams in community health settings (Cashin, 2013; Igarashi et al., 2018). These recommendations address curriculum development, implementation planning, resource allocation, and sustainability strategies that support effective workforce development initiatives.

4. Results

4.1 Joint Training Program Design Framework

Analysis revealed that effective joint training programs for multidisciplinary healthcare teams require comprehensive design frameworks that address both individual professional competencies and interprofessional collaboration skills. These frameworks encompass needs assessment, curriculum development, delivery method selection, competency assessment, and continuous improvement mechanisms that ensure training effectiveness and sustainability.

Successful program design integrates discipline-specific learning objectives with shared competencies related to communication, teamwork, cultural sensitivity, and quality improvement. This integration requires careful balance between maintaining professional identity and developing collaborative practice capabilities that enhance overall team performance and patient care outcomes.

Table 1: Joint Training Program Design Components

Design Element	Core Components	Implementation Requirements
Needs Assessment	Competency gaps, organizational priorities, stakeholder input	Systematic evaluation, data collection, analysis
Curriculum Development	Learning objectives, content integration, method selection	Educational expertise, professional input, resource planning
Delivery Methods	Classroom learning, simulation, clinical practice, online modules	Technology infrastructure, facility requirements, instructor preparation
Assessment Strategies	Competency evaluation, team performance, outcome measurement	Valid instruments, standardized procedures, feedback systems
Quality Improvement	Program evaluation, stakeholder feedback, continuous enhancement	Data systems, analytical capabilities, improvement processes

4.2 Interprofessional Competency Development

Joint training programs demonstrate significant effectiveness in developing interprofessional competencies that enhance healthcare team performance and patient care quality. These competencies encompass communication skills, collaborative decision-making, role clarity, cultural sensitivity, and quality improvement capabilities that are essential for effective multidisciplinary practice in community health settings.

Competency development requires systematic approaches that combine theoretical learning with practical application through simulation exercises, case-based learning, and supervised clinical practice. Assessment strategies must capture both individual competency achievement and team performance outcomes that reflect collaborative practice effectiveness.

Table 2: Interprofessional Competency Domains

Competency Domain	Learning Objectives	Assessment Methods	Application Settings
Communication	Effective interprofessional communication, active listening, conflict resolution	Standardized scenarios, peer evaluation, 360-degree feedback	Team meetings, patient care, emergency response
Collaboration	Shared decision-making, role clarity, mutual respect	Team assessment, performance simulation, evaluation	Quality improvement, care planning, problem-solving
Cultural Competency	Cultural awareness, sensitivity, adaptation	Cultural tools, assessment, feedback	Patient community interaction, engagement, service delivery
Quality Improvement	Data analysis, process improvement, outcome measurement	Project evaluation, performance indicators	Service enhancement, safety improvement, efficiency optimization

4.3 Training Delivery Methods and Effectiveness

Multiple training delivery methods demonstrate effectiveness in joint training programs, with optimal outcomes achieved through blended approaches that combine classroom learning, simulation-based practice, online modules, and supervised clinical experience. Each delivery method offers unique advantages for developing specific competencies while contributing to comprehensive workforce development.

Simulation-based training emerges as particularly effective for developing emergency response capabilities, interprofessional communication skills, and team coordination abilities in safe environments that allow for repeated practice and immediate feedback. Online modules provide flexibility for knowledge acquisition while classroom sessions enable interactive learning and relationship building among team members.

Table 3: Training Delivery Methods and Applications

Delivery Method	Advantages	Best Applications	Resource Requirements
Classroom Learning	Interactive discussion, relationship building, expert instruction	Theoretical knowledge, policy understanding, case analysis	Instructors, materials, facilities, scheduling, coordination
Simulation Training	Safe practice environment, realistic scenarios, immediate feedback	Emergency response, procedures, coordination	Simulation equipment, trained facilitators, scenario development
Online Modules	Flexibility, self-paced learning, standardized content	Knowledge acquisition, policy review, continuing education	Technology platform, content development, technical support
Clinical Practice	Real-world application, mentorship, responsibility	Skill development, gradual competency demonstration, confidence building	Preceptors, patient access, supervision systems

4.4 Assessment and Competency Validation

Comprehensive assessment strategies in joint training programs encompass both individual competency evaluation and team performance measurement to ensure that training objectives are achieved and sustained over time. These assessment approaches must be valid, reliable, and practical for implementation in diverse healthcare settings while providing actionable feedback for continuous improvement.

Competency validation requires multiple assessment methods including written examinations, practical demonstrations, simulation performance, and supervisor evaluations that capture different aspects of professional competency and collaborative practice effectiveness. Ongoing assessment through performance monitoring and peer feedback ensures competency maintenance and continuous professional development.

Table 4: Assessment Methods and Applications

Assessment Method	Purpose	Frequency	Quality Assurance
Written Examination	Knowledge verification, policy understanding	Pre/post training, annual review	Validated instruments, standardized scoring
Practical Demonstration	Skill verification, competency	procedure Initial certification, periodic review	Standardized checklists, trained evaluators
Simulation Performance	Team coordination, emergency response	Training completion, refresher sessions	Scenario standardization, observer training
Supervisor Evaluation	Workplace performance, professional behavior	Ongoing, performance reviews	Training for supervisors, structured feedback

4.5 Organizational Implementation Strategies

Successful implementation of joint training programs requires comprehensive organizational strategies that address leadership support, resource allocation, scheduling coordination, and culture change initiatives. These strategies must overcome traditional professional silos while maintaining individual professional identity and competency standards.

Implementation success depends on strong leadership commitment, adequate resource allocation, and systematic change management approaches that engage all stakeholders in training program development and implementation. Communication strategies that emphasize benefits for both individual professionals and organizational performance help build support and participation.

Table 5: Implementation Success Factors

Success Factor	Implementation Strategies	Expected Outcomes
Leadership Support	Executive sponsorship, resource commitment, policy development	Program sustainability, staff engagement, organizational priority
Resource Allocation	Budget planning, facility provision, technology investment	Adequate infrastructure, quality delivery, participant satisfaction
Scheduling Coordination	Flexible timing, workload management, coverage planning	High participation, minimal disruption, consistent attendance

Success Factor	Implementation Strategies	Expected Outcomes
Culture Change	Communication campaigns, alignment, recognition programs	incentive Collaborative attitudes, interprofessional respect, team identity

4.6 Outcomes and Impact Measurement

Joint training programs demonstrate measurable impacts on multiple outcome domains including individual competency development, team performance improvement, patient care quality enhancement, and organizational effectiveness indicators. These outcomes require systematic measurement approaches that capture both short-term training effects and longer-term performance improvements.

Impact measurement encompasses clinical outcomes, operational efficiency, staff satisfaction, and patient experience indicators that reflect the comprehensive benefits of enhanced interprofessional collaboration and workforce development. Longitudinal evaluation approaches enable assessment of training sustainability and identification of factors that support long-term effectiveness.

5. Discussion

5.1 Integration of Diverse Professional Competencies

The analysis demonstrates that joint training programs effectively integrate diverse professional competencies from security, nursing, emergency medical services, and informatics personnel to create cohesive healthcare teams capable of addressing complex community health challenges. This integration requires systematic attention to both discipline-specific requirements and shared competencies that enable effective collaboration while maintaining professional identity and specialized expertise.

The success of integration efforts depends on recognition and respect for the unique contributions of each professional category while developing shared understanding of roles, responsibilities, and collaborative practice principles. Training programs must balance the need for interprofessional learning with maintenance of professional competency standards and regulatory requirements specific to each discipline.

Organizational support for integration includes policy development, resource allocation, and culture change initiatives that promote collaborative practice while recognizing individual professional achievements and career development needs. Leadership commitment to interprofessional collaboration emerges as a critical factor in successful program implementation and sustainability.

5.2 Competency Development and Assessment Challenges

Joint training programs face significant challenges in developing and assessing competencies that span multiple professional domains while maintaining validity and reliability in measurement approaches. These challenges require innovative assessment strategies that capture both individual professional competencies and collaborative practice effectiveness through multiple evaluation methods and stakeholder perspectives.

The complexity of interprofessional competencies requires assessment approaches that move beyond traditional professional evaluation methods to include team performance indicators, patient outcome measures, and organizational effectiveness metrics. These comprehensive assessment strategies provide more complete pictures of training effectiveness while supporting continuous improvement initiatives.

Standardization of assessment approaches across different professional categories and healthcare settings requires careful attention to validity and reliability considerations while maintaining flexibility for local adaptation and implementation requirements. National or regional competency frameworks may provide foundations for standardized assessment while allowing organizational customization.

5.3 Technology Integration and Innovation Opportunities

Technology integration represents both an opportunity and a challenge for joint training programs, offering potential for enhanced learning experiences, improved accessibility, and comprehensive performance monitoring while requiring significant investment in infrastructure, content development, and technical support. Successful technology integration requires careful attention to user needs, workflow integration, and ongoing technical support that ensures effective utilization.

Innovation opportunities include virtual reality simulation, mobile learning applications, and artificial intelligence-enhanced assessment systems that can provide personalized learning experiences and real-time performance feedback. These technological advances may enhance training effectiveness while reducing costs and improving accessibility for diverse professional categories and geographic locations.

The implementation of technology solutions requires careful planning and change management approaches that address user adoption challenges, technical support requirements, and integration with existing healthcare information systems. Successful technology implementation also requires ongoing investment in system maintenance, content updates, and user support that may challenge resource-constrained healthcare organizations.

5.4 Cultural and Organizational Considerations

Joint training programs in Saudi community health settings must address cultural and organizational considerations that influence professional relationships, learning preferences, and collaborative practice development. These considerations include traditional professional hierarchies, cultural values regarding teamwork and authority, and organizational structures that may support or impede interprofessional collaboration.

Cultural competency development represents an essential component of joint training programs that addresses both healthcare provider cultural competency for serving diverse patient populations and interprofessional cultural competency for working effectively across different professional backgrounds and perspectives. These competencies require specialized training approaches that respect cultural values while promoting collaborative practice principles.

Organizational culture change initiatives that support interprofessional collaboration require sustained effort and systematic approaches that address formal policies, informal practices, and individual attitudes toward collaborative practice. These initiatives benefit from leadership modeling, incentive alignment, and recognition programs that reinforce collaborative behaviors and team achievements.

5.5 Sustainability and Continuous Improvement

Long-term sustainability of joint training programs requires comprehensive strategies that address funding mechanisms, organizational commitment, and continuous improvement processes that adapt to changing healthcare needs and evidence-based practices. Sustainability challenges include maintaining leadership support, securing adequate resources, and demonstrating ongoing value to organizational stakeholders.

Continuous improvement approaches that incorporate participant feedback, outcome evaluation, and emerging evidence enable training programs to remain current and effective while adapting to changing healthcare environments and professional requirements. These approaches require systematic data collection, analysis capabilities, and organizational commitment to evidence-based program enhancement.

The development of learning organizations that support continuous professional development and interprofessional collaboration represents an important goal for healthcare systems implementing joint training programs. These organizations demonstrate characteristics including knowledge sharing, innovation support, and adaptive capacity that enable ongoing improvement in healthcare delivery and workforce development.

5.6 Policy and Regulatory Implications

The implementation of joint training programs requires supportive policy frameworks and regulatory environments that enable innovative workforce development approaches while maintaining appropriate oversight and quality assurance mechanisms. Current professional licensing and certification requirements may need adaptation to accommodate interprofessional competency development and collaborative practice models.

Professional development requirements should incorporate interprofessional collaboration competencies while maintaining discipline-specific standards and regulatory compliance requirements. These requirements may benefit from national or regional frameworks that provide consistency across healthcare organizations while allowing local adaptation and implementation flexibility.

Funding policies and resource allocation mechanisms should recognize the value of interprofessional workforce development and provide adequate support for comprehensive training program implementation and sustainability. These policies should address both direct training costs and indirect costs related to staff time, facility utilization, and technology requirements.

6. Conclusion

This analysis demonstrates that joint training programs for security, nursing, emergency medical services, and informatics staff represent effective strategies for strengthening multidisciplinary workforce capacity in Saudi community health settings. These programs enhance individual professional competencies while developing interprofessional collaboration skills necessary for effective team-based healthcare delivery and improved patient outcomes.

Successful implementation of joint training programs requires comprehensive design frameworks that integrate discipline-specific learning objectives with shared competencies related to communication, teamwork, cultural sensitivity, and quality improvement. Multiple delivery methods including classroom learning, simulation-based practice, online modules, and supervised clinical experience provide optimal outcomes when combined in blended training approaches.

Assessment and competency validation strategies must encompass both individual professional competencies and team performance outcomes through multiple evaluation methods that capture the complexity of interprofessional practice. Organizational implementation requires strong leadership support, adequate resource allocation, systematic change management, and culture development initiatives that promote collaborative practice.

Healthcare organizations in Saudi Arabia should prioritize joint training program development as essential components of workforce strengthening initiatives that enhance community health service delivery and population health outcomes. Policy frameworks should support innovative workforce development approaches while maintaining appropriate professional standards and regulatory compliance requirements.

The evolution of healthcare delivery through interprofessional collaboration and joint training initiatives provides opportunities to enhance healthcare quality, safety, and accessibility while demonstrating the value of comprehensive workforce development investments. Success in these efforts requires sustained commitment to collaboration principles, evidence-based training approaches, and continuous improvement methodologies that adapt to changing healthcare needs and emerging best practices.

Future research should focus on evaluating the long-term effectiveness of different joint training models in improving healthcare outcomes while examining the cost-effectiveness of interprofessional workforce development investments and identifying optimal approaches for program sustainability and continuous improvement in diverse healthcare contexts.

References

1. Abarbanell, N. (1994). Prehospital pharmacotherapeutic interventions: recommendations for medication administration by EMT-A and EMT-I personnel. *The American Journal of Emergency Medicine*, 12(6), 625-630. doi:10.1016/0735-6757(94)90027-2

2. Abbas, H. M. A. A., Hussin, Y. M. M. A., Hussain, A. M. A., Alabbas, M. A. S., Al-Duways, R. M., Alhareth, H. S. M., ... Alwadai, A. M. T. (2024). Evaluating the Impact of Emergency Medical Services on Patient Outcomes: A Systematic Review. *Journal of Ecohumanism*. doi:10.62754/joe.v3i8.5522
3. Acquisto, N., Cushman, J., Rice, A., & Edwards, C. (2020). Collaboration by emergency medicine pharmacists and prehospital services providers. *American Journal of Health-System Pharmacy*, 77(15), 1185-1194. doi:10.1093/ajhp/zxaa082
4. Aghdam, M., Vodovnik, A., & Hameed, R. A. (2019). Role of Telemedicine in Multidisciplinary Team Meetings. *Journal of Pathology Informatics*, 10, 35. doi:10.4103/jpi.jpi_20_19
5. Alsagoor, H. S., Haydar, N. A. A., Haydar, F. A. A., Alasiri, S. M., Alsagoor, M. A. H., Gassim, A. M., ... Alhaydar, I. M. (2024). Improving Prehospital Interventions: A Review of Evidence-Based Practices in Emergency Medical Services. *Journal of Ecohumanism*. doi:10.62754/joe.v3i7.4678
6. Alshehri, A. M., Alanazi, S. B., Alenezi, M. A., Alanazi, F. F., Alanazi, B. A. F., Alanazi, F. E., ... Alanazi, A. S. (2024). Critical Analysis of The Effectiveness of Pre-Hospital Emergency Care Models. *Journal of Ecohumanism*. doi:10.62754/joe.v3i8.5082
7. Alshogaih, M. H. Y., Almansour, A. H., Alyami, A. M. A., Almostneer, I. M. S., Alsulayyim, F. D., Khamsan, H. S. M. A., ... Alzuraya, H. A. H. (2024). Comprehensive Review of Prehospital Emergency Care: Enhancing Outcomes through Interdisciplinary Collaboration. *Journal of Ecohumanism*. doi:10.62754/joe.v3i8.5455
8. Badawi, M. A., Alshehri, M. A., Aldeen, H. A., Almalawi, A. A., Alghamdi, M. A., Alshehri, A. S., ... Lasslom, M. S. (2024). Critical Analysis of the Synergy between Laboratory Technicians, Nurses, and Epidemiology Experts in Public Health Surveillance. *Journal of Ecohumanism*. doi:10.62754/joe.v3i8.5403
9. Beatrous, K., Tesseneer, S., & Darsey, D. (2021). Pharmacy in Flight: Impact of Clinical Pharmacist in Prehospital Care. *Air Medical Journal*, 41(1), 128-132. doi:10.1016/j.amj.2021.10.002
10. Berben, K., Walgrave, E., Bergs, J., Van Hecke, A., Dierckx, E., & Verhaeghe, S. (2024). The Patient's Role Development in the Process of Participating in Multidisciplinary Team Meetings: From Passive Attendees to Active Members or Dropouts. *International Journal of Mental Health Nursing*, 34(1), e13488. doi:10.1111/inm.13488
11. Bjöhle, S., Vicente, V., Eriksson, C., Bohm, K., Dodd, M., Wahlin, R., & Lederman, J. (2024). Prehospital emergency nurses' experiences of caring for patients with suspected acute myocardial infarction: an interview study. *BMJ Open*, 14(8), e088754. doi:10.1136/bmjopen-2024-088754
12. Bohm, K., Lindström, V., & Kurland, L. (2015). Prehospital care in Sweden. *Notfall + Rettungsmedizin*, 18(2), 107-109. doi:10.1007/s10049-015-1989-1
13. Boulton, A., Edwards, R., Gadie, A., Clayton, D., Leech, C., Smyth, M., ... Yeung, J. (2024). Prehospital critical care beyond advanced life support for out-of-hospital cardiac arrest: A systematic review. *Resuscitation Plus*, 21, 100803. doi:10.1016/j.resplu.2024.100803
14. Burkholder, T., Osei-Ampofo, M., & Bonney, J. (2024). Governance and legal considerations supporting prehospital emergency care in low and middle-income countries-For the Special Series on Prehospital Care in LMICs. *Surgery*, 176(2), 456-462. doi:10.1016/j.surg.2024.05.029
15. Burnod, A., Lenclud, G., Ricard-Hibon, A., Juvin, P., Mantz, J., & Duchateau, F. (2012). Collaboration between prehospital emergency medical teams and palliative care networks allows a better respect of a patient's will. *European Journal of Emergency Medicine*, 19(1), 46-48. doi:10.1097/MEJ.0b013e328347fa9c
16. Cashin, M. (2013). Board 328 - Research Abstract Planning, Implementation and Evaluation of PediSTEPPS: A Simulation-Based Pediatric Resuscitation Course for Prehospital Providers (Submission #496). *Simulation in Healthcare*, 8(6), 532. doi:10.1097/01.SIH.0000441580.19567.6c
17. Clarke, D., & Forster, A. (2015). Improving post-stroke recovery: the role of the multidisciplinary health care team. *Journal of Multidisciplinary Healthcare*, 8, 433-442. doi:10.2147/JMDH.S68764

18. Connolly, M., Broad, J., Bish, T., Zhang, X., Bramley, D., Kerse, N., ... Boyd, M. (2018). Reducing emergency presentations from long-term care: A before-and-after study of a multidisciplinary team intervention. *Maturitas*, 117, 45-50. doi:10.1016/j.maturitas.2018.08.014
19. Cottrell, E., O'Brien, K., Curry, M., Meckler, G., Engle, P., Jui, J., ... Guise, J. (2014). Understanding Safety in Prehospital Emergency Medical Services for Children. *Prehospital Emergency Care*, 18(3), 350-358. doi:10.3109/10903127.2013.869640
20. Crowe, R., Wagoner, R., Rodriguez, S., Bentley, M., & Page, D. (2017). Defining Components of Team Leadership and Membership in Prehospital Emergency Medical Services. *Prehospital Emergency Care*, 21(5), 645-651. doi:10.1080/10903127.2017.1315200
21. Dada, O. D., Amankwaa, I., & Brownie, S. (2025). Perspectives of community mental health nurses as care coordinators within a multidisciplinary team: A systematic review. *Journal of Interprofessional Care*, 39(3), 499-509. doi:10.1080/13561820.2025.2487032
22. Davidson, T., Waxenegger, H., Mohamed, I., McConnell, D., & Sanderson, P. (2024). Exploring the Effect of Head-Worn Displays on Prehospital Teamwork Using Online Simulation. *Simulation in Healthcare*, 19(4), 256-264. doi:10.1097/SIH.0000000000000770
23. De Mesquita, N. S., Lago, P. N. D., Corrêa, C. F., Mendes, R. C., & Monteiro, R. L. (2023). Multiprofessional Team Performance InThe Intensive Care Unit: Challenges And Perspectives. *Australian Journal of Basic and Applied Sciences*, 17(11), 1-8. doi:10.22587/ajbas.2023.17.11.1
24. Dixon, J., Burkholder, T., Pigoga, J., Lee, M., Moodley, K., De Vries, S., ... Mould-Millman, N. (2021). Using the South African Triage Scale for prehospital triage: a qualitative study. *BMC Emergency Medicine*, 21(1), 234. doi:10.1186/s12873-021-00522-3
25. Epstein, N. (2014). Multidisciplinary in-hospital teams improve patient outcomes: A review. *Surgical Neurology International*, 5(12), S295-S303. doi:10.4103/2152-7806.139612
26. Falchenberg, Å., Andersson, U., Boysen, G., Andersson, H., & Sterner, A. (2024). Hybrid emergency care at the home for patients -- A multiple case study. *BMC Emergency Medicine*, 24(1), 123. doi:10.1186/s12873-024-01087-7
27. Fitzpatrick, D., McKenna, M., Duncan, E., Laird, C., Lyon, R., & Corfield, A. (2018). Critcomms: a national cross-sectional questionnaire based study to investigate prehospital handover practices between ambulance clinicians and specialist prehospital teams in Scotland. *Scandinavian Journal of Trauma, Resuscitation and Emergency Medicine*, 26(1), 45. doi:10.1186/s13049-018-0512-3
28. Garner, A. (2004). The role of physician staffing of helicopter emergency medical services in prehospital trauma response. *Emergency Medicine Australasia*, 16(4), 318-323. doi:10.1111/j.1742-6723.2004.00636.x
29. Givens, M., & Holcomb, J. (2024). Red line the red line: Optimizing emergency medicine physicians and surgeons collaborative roles on trauma teams. *Journal of Trauma and Acute Care Surgery*, 97(2), 234-240. doi:10.1097/TA.0000000000004409
30. Grol, S., Molleman, G., Kuijpers, A., Van Der Sande, R., Fransen, G., Assendelft, W., & Schers, H. (2018). The role of the general practitioner in multidisciplinary teams: a qualitative study in elderly care. *BMC Family Practice*, 19(1), 45. doi:10.1186/s12875-018-0726-5
31. Gross, C., Cowgill, C., Selph, B., Cowgill, J., Saqr, Z., Allen, B., ... Hwang, C. (2025). Prehospital to emergency department handoff: can team-based reporting improve markers of clinical efficiency in an adult emergency department? *BMJ Open Quality*, 14(1), e002948. doi:10.1136/bmjopen-2024-002948
32. Han, S., Park, H.-J., Jeong, W., Kim, G., Choi, H., Moon, H., ... Lee, C. (2022). Application of the Team Emergency Assessment Measure for Prehospital Cardiopulmonary Resuscitation. *Journal of Clinical Medicine*, 11(18), 5390. doi:10.3390/jcm11185390
33. Hanfling, D. (2020). Prehospital Care in the Disaster Setting. In *Ciotto's Disaster Medicine* (pp. 290-296). Elsevier. doi:10.1017/9781316493489.030
34. Haruna, J., Hayasaka, N., Taguchi, Y., Muranaka, S., Niiyama, S., Inamura, H., ... Narimatsu, E. (2023). Prehospital emergency care patient satisfaction scale [PECPSS] for care provided by

- emergency medical teams: Scale development and validation. *AIMS Public Health*, 10(1), 129-144. doi:10.3934/publichealth.2023011
35. Häske, D., Beckers, S., Dieroff, M., Gliwitzky, B., Hofmann, M., Lefering, R., & Münzberg, M. (2022). Training Effectiveness and Impact on Safety, Treatment Quality, and Communication in Prehospital Emergency Care: The Prospective Longitudinal Mixed-Methods EPPTC Trial. *Journal of Patient Safety*, 18(1), 71-76. doi:10.1097/PTS.0000000000000969
 36. Hautz, W., Sauter, T., Lehmann, B., & Exadaktylos, A. (2018). Professionalisation rather than monopolisation is the future of emergency medicine in Europe. *European Journal of Anaesthesiology*, 35(4), 234-235. doi:10.1097/EJA.0000000000000744
 37. Herzberg, S., Hansen, M., Schoonover, A., Skarica, B., McNulty, J., Harrod, T., ... Guise, J. (2019). Association between measured teamwork and medical errors: an observational study of prehospital care in the USA. *BMJ Open*, 9(3), e025314. doi:10.1136/bmjopen-2018-025314
 38. Hickman, L., Phillips, J., Newton, P., Halcomb, E., Abed, N. A., & Davidson, P. (2015). Multidisciplinary team interventions to optimise health outcomes for older people in acute care settings: A systematic review. *Archives of Gerontology and Geriatrics*, 61(3), 322-329. doi:10.1016/j.archger.2015.06.021
 39. Hirano, Y., Abe, T., & Tanaka, H. (2019). Efficacy of the presence of an emergency physician in prehospital major trauma care: A nationwide cohort study in Japan. *The American Journal of Emergency Medicine*, 37(5), 827-833. doi:10.1016/j.ajem.2018.11.014
 40. Hjortdahl, M., Zakariassen, E., & Halvorsen, P. (2018). Self reported involvement in emergency medicine among GPs in Norway. *Scandinavian Journal of Primary Health Care*, 36(2), 161-169. doi:10.1080/02813432.2018.1459234
 41. Howie, W., Scott-Herring, M., Pollak, A., & Galvagno, S. (2019). Advanced Prehospital Trauma Resuscitation With a Physician and Certified Registered Nurse Anesthetist: The Shock Trauma 'Go-Team'. *Air Medical Journal*, 39(1), 51-55. doi:10.1016/j.amj.2019.09.004
 42. Humphreys, A., & Ranganathan, M. (2025). A qualitative exploration of midwives' and ambulance clinicians' experiences working together. *British Journal of Midwifery*, 33(1), 12-20. doi:10.12968/bjom.2024.0064
 43. Igarashi, Y., Yokobori, S., Yamana, H., Nagakura, K., Hagiwara, J., Masuno, T., & Yokota, H. (2018). Overview of doctor-staffed ambulance use in Japan: a nationwide survey and 1-week study. *Acute Medicine & Surgery*, 5(4), 316-320. doi:10.1002/ams2.347
 44. Ivarsson, B., Johansson, A., & Todorova, L. (2022). Prehospital emergency nurses' competence progress in assessing psychiatric disorders; 1-year follow-up of a psychiatric emergency response unit. *International Emergency Nursing*, 62, 101149. doi:10.1016/j.ienj.2022.101149
 45. Jeppesen, E., & Wiig, S. (2020). Resilience in a prehospital setting - a new focus for future research? *Scandinavian Journal of Trauma, Resuscitation and Emergency Medicine*, 28(1), 89. doi:10.1186/s13049-020-00803-z
 46. Kamassai, J. (2025). A Role for the Anesthesiologist: Prehospital Management of the Critically Injured Patient. *Current Anesthesiology Reports*, 15(1), 45-52. doi:10.1007/s40140-024-00665-6
 47. Kang, M., Aung, A., Selzer, R., Linck, A., Dias, F., Paul, E., ... Gibbs, H. (2025). The Hospital Harmony program improves interdisciplinary healthcare team functioning and communication. *Australian Health Review*, 49(1), 123-130. doi:10.1071/AH24276
 48. Karcioğlu, O., & Eneyli, M. G. (2019). Emergency Medicine and Trauma. *IntechOpen*. doi:10.5772/intechopen.77738
 49. Kilner, E., & Sheppard, L. (2010). The role of teamwork and communication in the emergency department: a systematic review. *International Emergency Nursing*, 18(3), 127-137. doi:10.1016/j.ienj.2009.05.006
 50. Kim, H., Kim, S.-W., Park, E., Kim, J., & Chang, H. (2020). The role of fifth-generation mobile technology in prehospital emergency care: An opportunity to support paramedics. *Health Policy and Technology*, 9(1), 109-114. doi:10.1016/j.hlpt.2020.01.002

51. Kipnis, A., Rhodes, K., Burchill, C., & Datner, E. (2013). The relationship between patients' perceptions of team effectiveness and their care experience in the emergency department. *The Journal of Emergency Medicine*, 45(5), 731-738. doi:10.1016/j.jemermed.2012.11.052
52. Lang, E., Spaite, D., Oliver, Z., Gotschall, C., Swor, R., Dawson, D., & Hunt, R. (2012). A national model for developing, implementing, and evaluating evidence-based guidelines for prehospital care. *Academic Emergency Medicine*, 19(2), 201-209. doi:10.1111/j.1553-2712.2011.01281.x
53. Lazzara, E., Keebler, J., Shuffler, M., Patzer, B., Smith, D., & Misasi, P. (2015). Considerations for Multiteam Systems in Emergency Medical Services. *The Journal of Patient Safety*, 16(4), e234-e242. doi:10.1097/PTS.0000000000000213
54. Leonard, J., Scharff, D., Koors, V., Lerner, E., Adelgais, K., Anders, J., ... Jaffe, D. (2012). A qualitative assessment of factors that influence emergency medical services partnerships in prehospital research. *Academic Emergency Medicine*, 19(2), 161-173. doi:10.1111/j.1553-2712.2011.01283.x
55. Liao, C.-M., Kung, P., Wang, Y.-H., & Tsai, W. (2017). Effects of multidisciplinary team on emergency care for colorectal cancer patients. *Medicine*, 96(25), e7092. doi:10.1097/MD.00000000000007092
56. Lindlöf, H., Savage, C., Härenstam, K., & Vicente, V. (2025). Location-independent leadership: managers' experiences leading prehospital emergency care in Sweden -- a qualitative study. *BMC Health Services Research*, 25(1), 78. doi:10.1186/s12913-025-12433-1
57. Louis, J., Beaumont, C., Arce, L., Reyero, D., & Fernández, B. (2022). AN UPDATE ON PREHOSPITAL MANAGEMENT OF MAJOR TRAUMA. *Boletín de Información Farmacoterapéutica de Navarra*, 30(1), 1-12. doi:10.54095/bitn20223001en
58. Luu, T. (2021). Cancer patient management: role of multidisciplinary teams. *BMJ Supportive & Palliative Care*, 12(2), 201-206. doi:10.1136/bmjspcare-2021-003039
59. MacFarlane, C., & Benn, C. (2003). Evaluation of emergency medical services systems: a classification to assist in determination of indicators. *Emergency Medicine Journal*, 20(2), 188-191. doi:10.1136/emj.20.2.188
60. Maciel, G. A., Maciel, D. P. A., Vieira, I. C. A., Silva, T. D. S., Soares, P. D. P. S., Araújo, V. D. P., ... Da Silva Gonçalves, E. (2024). The importance of the multidisciplinary team in complex surgeries. *International Seven Journal of Multidisciplinary*, 3(1), 156-163. doi:10.56238/isevmjv3n1-023
61. Maddock, A., Corfield, A., Donald, M., Lyon, R., Sinclair, N., Fitzpatrick, D., ... Hearn, S. (2020). Prehospital critical care is associated with increased survival in adult trauma patients in Scotland. *Emergency Medicine Journal*, 37(3), 141-145. doi:10.1136/emj-2019-208458
62. Merien, A., Ven, J., Mol, B., Houterman, S., & Oei, S. (2010). Multidisciplinary Team Training in a Simulation Setting for Acute Obstetric Emergencies: A Systematic Review. *Obstetrics & Gynecology*, 115(5), 1021-1031. doi:10.1097/AOG.0b013e3181d9f4cd
63. Mitchnik, I., Talmy, T., Feldman, B., Almog, O., & Fogel, I. (2023). Exploring the characteristics of successful prehospital trauma care teams: Insights from military trauma care simulations. *The Journal of Trauma and Acute Care Surgery*, 95(3), 567-574. doi:10.1097/TA.0000000000003989
64. Morabito, A., Mercadante, E., Muto, P., Manzo, A., Palumbo, G., Sforza, V., ... Pascarella, G. (2024). Improving the quality of patient care in lung cancer: key factors for successful multidisciplinary team working. *Exploration of Targeted Anti-Tumor Therapy*, 5(2), 260-277. doi:10.37349/etat.2024.00217
65. Morton, S., Eagle, C., Wallman, S., Wareham, G., Major, R., Edmunds, C., & McLachlan, S. (2025). Understanding cardiac arrest dispatch of physician-paramedic critical care prehospital teams: a survey-based evaluation. *Emergency Medicine Journal*, 42(4), 249-255. doi:10.1136/emj-2024-214178
66. Mould-Millman, N., Dixon, J., Beaty, B., Suresh, K., De Vries, S., Bester, B., ... Ginde, A. (2023). Improving prehospital traumatic shock care: implementation and clinical effectiveness of a pragmatic, quasi-experimental trial in a resource-constrained South African setting. *BMJ Open*, 13(4), e060338. doi:10.1136/bmjopen-2021-060338

67. Moussa, F. (2020). EFFECTIVENESS OF MULTIDISCIPLINARY TEAM MEMBERS IN A COMPLEX, HIGH-RISK, AND STRESSFUL CRITICAL CARE UNIT (CCU). *Indonesian Journal for Health Sciences*, 4(2), 78-85. doi:10.24269/ijhs.v4i2.2129
68. Mueller, M., Losert, H., Sterz, F., Gelbenegger, G., Girsas, M., Gatterbauer, M., ... Schnaubelt, S. (2023). Prehospital emergency medicine research by additional teams on scene -- Concepts and lessons learned. *Resuscitation Plus*, 16, 100494. doi:10.1016/j.resplu.2023.100494
69. Nagi, C., Davies, J., Williams, M., Roberts, C., & Lewis, R. (2011). A multidisciplinary approach to team nursing within a low secure service: the team leader role. *Perspectives in Psychiatric Care*, 48(1), 56-61. doi:10.1111/j.1744-6163.2011.00310.x
70. Nania, T., Barello, S., Caruso, R., Graffigna, G., Stievano, A., Pittella, F., & Dellafiore, F. (2020). The state of the evidence about the Synergy Model for patient care. *International Nursing Review*, 67(4), 484-501. doi:10.1111/inr.12629
71. Partyka, C., Miller, M., Johnson, T., Burns, B., Fogg, T., Sarraimi, P., ... Dinh, M. (2022). Prehospital activation of a coordinated multidisciplinary hospital response in preparation for patients with severe hemorrhage: A statewide data linkage study of the New South Wales "Code Crimson" pathway. *Journal of Trauma and Acute Care Surgery*, 93(4), 521-529. doi:10.1097/TA.0000000000003585
72. Péculo-Carrasco, J., De Sola, H., Casal-Sánchez, M.-D.-M., Rodríguez-Bouza, M., Sánchez-Almagro, C., & Failde, I. (2020). Feeling safe or unsafe in prehospital emergency care: a qualitative study of the experiences of patients, carers and healthcare professionals. *Journal of Clinical Nursing*, 30(7-8), 1047-1058. doi:10.1111/jocn.15513
73. Peters, K., Harvey, E., Wright, A., Bath, J., Freeman, D., & Collier, B. (2017). Impact of a TeamSTEPPS Trauma Nurse Academy at a Level 1 Trauma Center. *Journal of Emergency Nursing*, 44(1), 19-25. doi:10.1016/j.jen.2017.05.007
74. Pradelli, L., Risoli, C., Summer, E., Bellini, G., Mozzarelli, F., Anderson, G., ... Sarli, L. (2025). Healthcare professional perspective on barriers and facilitators of multidisciplinary team working in acute care setting: a systematic review and meta-synthesis. *BMJ Open*, 15(1), e087268. doi:10.1136/bmjopen-2024-087268
75. Ramage, L., & McLachlan, S. (2023). Top research priorities in prehospital critical care. *Emergency Medicine Journal*, 40(7), 536-537. doi:10.1136/emered-2023-213120
76. Razavizadeh, M. (2015). Role of Anesthesia Team in Prehospital Care: The Hidden Treasure in Critical Settings. *Archives of Trauma Research*, 4(4), e29422. doi:10.5812/atr.29422v2
77. Rudin, V., Kabirova, J., & Sulimova, N. (2021). The Role of Multidisciplinary Team Training in Teaching Emergency Skills for Healthcare Workers in Atypical Conditions. *Virtual Technologies in Medicine*, 4(2), 56-63. doi:10.46594/2687-0037_2021_4_1402
78. Ruiz, L. M. (2020). Multidisciplinary team attitudes to an advanced nurse practitioner service in an emergency department. *Emergency Nurse*, 26(2), 34-41. doi:10.7748/en.2018.e1793
79. Ruiz-Ramos, J., Hernández, M., Juanes-Borrego, A., Milà, R., Manges-Bafalluy, M., & Mestres, C. (2021). The Impact of Pharmaceutical Care in Multidisciplinary Teams on Health Outcomes: Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. *Journal of the American Medical Directors Association*, 23(2), 178-185. doi:10.1016/j.jamda.2021.05.038
80. Sacchetti, A., Lamy, E., Ribordy, V., Fournier, Y., & Ariosa-Emery, J. (2022). [Interdisciplinarity in prehospital care: collaboration for better care]. *Revue Médicale Suisse*, 18(791), 1504-1506. doi:10.53738/REVMED.2022.18.791.1504
81. Sajid, A., Shakir, A., Awan, M., Warsha, F., Ahmad, S., Alsadoun, L., & Aziz, M. Q. (2024). Evaluating the Effectiveness of Trauma Care and Emergency Preparedness Training Programs on Prehospital Primary Survey Skills: A Systematic Review. *Cureus*, 16(11), e74089. doi:10.7759/cureus.74089
82. Sawidan, S. A. A., Alsalah, A. J., Alsalah, B., Abosaaq, A. J., Alalhareth, N. D., Swidan, A. M. M. A., ... Almas, Y. H. S. (2024). Optimizing Prehospital Stroke Care: A Comprehensive Literature Review. *Journal of Ecohumanism*. doi:10.62754/joe.v3i8.4866

83. Schewe, J., Kappler, J., Dovermann, K., Graeff, I., Ehrentraut, S., Heister, U., ... Muenster, S. (2019). Diagnostic accuracy of physician-staffed emergency medical teams: a retrospective observational cohort study of prehospital versus hospital diagnosis in a 10-year interval. *Scandinavian Journal of Trauma, Resuscitation and Emergency Medicine*, 27(1), 45. doi:10.1186/s13049-019-0617-3
84. Spivak, A., Streltsova, A. D., & Myronyuk, I. (2020). MULTIDISCIPLINARY REHABILITATION TEAM IN EMERGENCY ABDOMINAL SURGERY: THE ROLE OF A HOSPITAL NURSE. *Ukraine. Nation's Health*, 4(60), 45-52. doi:10.32782/2077-6594.4.0.2020.220390
85. Starshinin, A., Kamynina, N., & Timofeeva, A. (2024). The Role of a Nurse in a Multidisciplinary Team in Primary Health Care: Literature Review. *City Healthcare*, 5(4), 131-141. doi:10.47619/2713-2617.zm.2024.v5i4p1;131-141
86. Steinemann, S., Berg, B., Skinner, A., DiTulio, A., Anzelon, K., Terada, K., ... Speck, C. (2011). In situ, multidisciplinary, simulation-based teamwork training improves early trauma care. *Journal of Surgical Education*, 68(6), 472-477. doi:10.1016/j.jsurg.2011.05.009
87. Stokes, J., Kristensen, S., Checkland, K., & Bower, P. (2016). Effectiveness of multidisciplinary team case management: difference-in-differences analysis. *BMJ Open*, 6(4), e010468. doi:10.1136/bmjopen-2015-010468
88. Strandås, M., Vizcaya-Moreno, M., Ingstad, K., Sepp, J., Linnik, L., & Vaismoradi, M. (2024). An Integrative Systematic Review of Promoting Patient Safety Within Prehospital Emergency Medical Services by Paramedics: A Role Theory Perspective. *Journal of Multidisciplinary Healthcare*, 17, 1385-1400. doi:10.2147/JMDH.S460194
89. Taylor, C., Shewbridge, A., Harris, J., & Green, J. S. A. (2013). Benefits of multidisciplinary teamwork in the management of breast cancer. *Breast Cancer: Targets and Therapy*, 5, 79-85. doi:10.2147/BCTT.S35581
90. Todorova, L., Johansson, A., & Ivarsson, B. (2021). A Prehospital Emergency Psychiatric Unit in an Ambulance Care Service from the Perspective of Prehospital Emergency Nurses: A Qualitative Study. *Healthcare*, 10(1), 50. doi:10.3390/healthcare10010050
91. Udod, S., MacPhee, M., Wagner, J., Berry, L., Perchie, G., & Conway, A. (2021). Nurse Perspectives in the Emergency Department: The Synergy Tool in Workload Management and Work Engagement. *Journal of Nursing Management*, 29(7), 2015-2023. doi:10.1111/jonm.13320
92. Vatansever, E., Yilmaz, N., Sofuoğlu, Z., Ozcevikel, A., Araz, E. Ş., Agah, H., ... Durak, H. (2016). EVALUATION OF THE ADVANCED TRAUMA LIFE SUPPORT COURSE DESIGNED BASED ON TEAMWORK APPROACH. *Turkish Journal of Emergency Medicine*, 15, 112-118.
93. Vicente, V., Jansson, J., Wikström, M., Danehorn, E., & Wahlin, R. R. (2021). Prehospital Emergency Nurses' coping strategies associated to traumatic experiences. *International Emergency Nursing*, 59, 101083. doi:10.1016/j.ienj.2021.101083
94. Von Vopelius-Feldt, J., Powell, J., Morris, R., & Bengert, J. (2016). Prehospital critical care for out-of-hospital cardiac arrest: An observational study examining survival and a stakeholder-focused cost analysis. *BMC Emergency Medicine*, 16(1), 234. doi:10.1186/s12873-016-0109-y
95. Wagner, J., MacPhee, M., Udod, S., Berry, L., Perchie, G., & Conway, A. (2021). Surveys Conducted Pre and Post Implementation of a Synergy Tool: Giving Voice to Emergency Teams. *Journal of Nursing Management*, 29(8), 2456-2464. doi:10.1111/jonm.13317
96. Walker, A., Oswald, A., Wanthal, J., Van Dillen, C., Plamoottil, C., Patel, P., ... Ganti, L. (2022). The A to E (ABCDE) Pit Crew Model: A Novel Approach to Team Based Care of Critical Patients in the Prehospital Setting. *Health Psychology Research*, 10(3), 36960. doi:10.52965/001c.36960
97. Waskett, C. (1996). Multidisciplinary teamwork in primary care: The role of the counsellor. *Counselling Psychology Quarterly*, 9(3), 243-260. doi:10.1080/09515079608258706
98. Watt, K., Tippet, V., Raven, S., Jamrozik, K., Coory, M., Archer, F., & Kelly, H. (2010). Attitudes to Living and Working in Pandemic Conditions among Emergency Prehospital Medical Care Personnel. *Prehospital and Disaster Medicine*, 25(1), 13-19. doi:10.1017/S1049023X00007597

99. Wawrzynek, J. (2024). Assessment of pain management and prehospital analgesia trends in selected emergency medical response teams in the Silesian Voivodeship. *Emergency Medical Service*, 11(1), 45-52. doi:10.36740/emems202401102
100. Wiese, C., Bartels, U., Zausig, Y., Pfirstinger, J., Graf, B., & Hanekop, G. (2009). Prehospital emergency treatment of palliative care patients with cardiac arrest: a retrospective investigation. *Supportive Care in Cancer*, 18(10), 1287-1292. doi:10.1007/s00520-009-0746-8
101. Wise, S., Duffield, C., Fry, M., & Roche, M. (2021). A team mental model approach to understanding team effectiveness in an emergency department: A qualitative study. *Journal of Health Services Research & Policy*, 27(1), 14-21. doi:10.1177/13558196211031285
102. Yumoto, T., Hongo, T., Obara, T., Ageta, K., Aokage, T., Tsukahara, K., ... Naito, H. (2024). Evolution and Effects of Ad Hoc Multidisciplinary Team Meetings in the Emergency Intensive Care Unit: A Five-Year Analysis. *Journal of Clinical Medicine*, 13(15), 4324. doi:10.3390/jcm13154324
103. Zimmer, M., Czarniecki, D. M., & Sahm, S. (2024). Gender-sensitive considerations of prehospital teamwork in critical situations. *Philosophy, Ethics, and Humanities in Medicine*, 19(1), 12. doi:10.1186/s13010-024-00153-z