Review of Contemporary Philosophy
ISSN: 1841-5261, e-ISSN: 2471-089X
Vol 22 (1), 2023
Pp 6806 - 6822

Improving Outcomes: A Review of Strategies in Patient Safety and Care Quality

[1]-Eman Saad Alsalim, [2]-Hanan Abdulwahab Alhawaj,[3]-Manar Mohammad Salem Dabi,[4]-Shrooq Hadi Mujurdy,[5]-Ohood Ahmed Albahrani,[6]-Noura Saleh Alblowi,[7]-Ranyah Hekmat Alameer,[8]-Munerah Abdullah Bu Sbate,[9]-Fatimah Abdulwahab Alhawaj,[10]-Samiyah Hammad Alhawiti,[11]-Naimh Amer Khudran Alrashidi,[12]-Elaf Jafar Al Ribh,[13]abdulmajeed Brahim Mohammad Alomary,[14]-Sharifah Hadi Mujayridi,[15]-Amjad Ruhail Zaal Alshammari,

[1]family Medicine Alqadisiyah Primary Health Care Center Dammam

[2]family Medicine Almanar Health Care Center Dammam

[3]almanar Phc Family Medicine

[4]aldhahyiah Phc Family Medicine

[5]family Medicine Senior Registrar

Dhn Alnoor Phc

[6]family Medicine Alshifa Health Care Center Dammam

[7]family Medicine Consultantalmazroeah Health Care Center

[8] Family Medicine Almazroeya Primary Health Crnter Dammam

[9]family Medicine Almanar Health Care Center Dammam

[10]health Care Security Irada And Mental Health Clusters In Thbuk

[11]speciality Health Informaticsking Fahad Specialist Hospital

[12]emergency Medical Service And Critical Care Ministry Of Health

[13]specialitylaboratory - Microbiology

Buraidah Maternity And Children's Hospital

[14]nurse Children's Hospital In Taif Health Cluster

[15]nursing Specialist Riyadh Third Health Cluster

Received:13 october 2023 **Revised:**27 November 2023 **Accepted:**11 December 2023

Chapter 1: Foundations of Patient Safety and Quality of Care

Healthcare systems globally aim to deliver effective, safe, and equitable care while maintaining financial sustainability. Quality care involves timely, patient-centered services that yield optimal health outcomes. However, rising costs and preventable harm challenge this balance (Macedo et al., 2020). The growing incidence of medical errors emphasizes the need for systemic quality improvements (Eliyana et al., 2020). Healthcare organizations increasingly integrate Patient Safety Culture (PSC) to promote safety and efficiency. PSC involves leadership engagement, staff empowerment, and transparent communication to foster safety awareness. By embedding safety within institutional norms, organizations improve outcomes while controlling costs (Uwannah, Onyekachi & Filade, 2021; Reynolds et al., 2022).

Medical errors remain a major challenge in healthcare, often resulting from complex system failures. These include misdiagnoses, medication mishaps, and procedural mistakes (Querstret et al., 2020). Historically viewed as individual negligence, errors are now understood as symptoms of broader organizational dysfunction (Jerg-Bretzke et al., 2020). A shift toward systemic accountability promotes learning and improvement rather than blame. A strong PSC encourages open error reporting and collective problem-solving. This model enhances resilience by enabling teams to anticipate and correct failures proactively (Reynolds et al., 2022; Spagnoli et al., 2020).

Globally, medical errors account for significant morbidity and mortality. In the United States alone, they are estimated to cause up to 250,000 deaths annually, ranking among the top causes of death (Shin & Shin, 2020). The economic toll is substantial, with healthcare systems burdened by extended hospital stays, repeated procedures, and legal settlements (Newman et al., 2020). Low-resource settings face even greater risks due to limited safety protocols and training. International efforts led by WHO and other bodies aim to standardize safety practices and reduce disparities (Xing, Sun & Jepsen, 2021; Tajalli et al., 2021).

Patient safety is foundational to healthcare quality, influencing outcomes, trust, and operational efficiency. A strong PSC enables the adoption of evidence-based practices that reduce preventable harm (Darling-Hammond et al., 2020). Transparent communication, non-punitive error reporting, and shared accountability create an environment conducive to improvement (Querstret et al., 2020). Embedding safety within the organizational structure results in better outcomes and cost efficiency. Systems with mature PSC frameworks report lower adverse event rates and improved staff morale (Uwannah, Onyekachi & Filade, 2021; Reynolds et al., 2022).

Investing in quality improvement yields long-term benefits, including cost reduction. Preventable errors waste resources without improving care outcomes (Reynolds et al., 2022). Simple interventions like hand hygiene protocols, surgical checklists, and electronic health record alerts can significantly reduce adverse events (Spagnoli et al., 2020). These efforts not only enhance safety but also improve resource utilization. Studies show that hospitals with well-implemented PSC initiatives see fewer complications and reduced lengths of stay (Nyanyiwa, Peters & Murphy, 2022; Shin & Shin, 2020).

Despite progress, multiple barriers inhibit the achievement of high-quality, cost-effective care. Resistance to change, insufficient resources, and communication failures often undermine improvement efforts (Zarrin, Gracia & Paixão, 2020). Emerging technologies such as real-time monitoring systems and predictive analytics offer promising solutions (Yun, Lim & Choi, 2020). However, successful implementation requires coordinated efforts from leadership, frontline staff, and policymakers (Tajalli et al., 2021; Kim & Sim, 2020).

The human and financial costs of preventable errors are profound. Hospital-acquired infections, medication errors, and surgical complications significantly increase care costs (Eliyana et al., 2020). These events not only compromise patient outcomes but also lead to reputational damage and litigation expenses (Newman et al., 2020). Conversely, investing in PSC practices—such as team training, root cause analysis, and technology adoption—can yield substantial savings and improve care quality (Shin & Shin, 2020; Xing, Sun & Jepsen, 2021).

Preventing errors requires creating a culture of safety focused on continuous learning and proactive risk mitigation. By adopting High-Reliability Organization (HRO) principles, healthcare systems can manage complexity and uncertainty effectively (Jerg-Bretzke et al., 2020). Tools like the Swiss Cheese Model illustrate how layered defenses can prevent errors from reaching patients (Tajalli et al., 2021). A PSC supports these frameworks, enabling timely identification and resolution of safety gaps (Spagnoli et al., 2020; Reynolds et al., 2022).

Leadership is central to fostering a culture of safety and quality. Leaders set expectations, allocate resources, and model safe practices (Uwannah, Onyekachi & Filade, 2021). Regulatory agencies such as The Joint Commission and WHO provide guidelines to ensure systems meet safety benchmarks (Xing, Sun & Jepsen, 2021). Engaged leadership also promotes staff accountability and open communication, both essential for sustainable improvement (Kim & Sim, 2020; Newman et al., 2020).

Healthcare systems increasingly recognize the interplay between quality, safety, and financial outcomes. Effective safety practices reduce inefficiencies, litigation, and patient dissatisfaction (Reynolds et al., 2022). A holistic approach integrates safety into clinical, administrative, and financial planning. Institutions that prioritize safety culture report better patient outcomes and reduced operating costs (Macedo et al., 2020; Eliyana et al., 2020).

Training and education play vital roles in sustaining PSC. Regular workshops, simulation exercises, and interprofessional training improve team coordination and error recognition (Darling-Hammond et al., 2020). Knowledge dissemination encourages consistent application of safety practices across diverse teams. Studies show that staff exposed to ongoing education are more likely to report errors and suggest system improvements (Querstret et al., 2020; Nyanyiwa, Peters & Murphy, 2022).

Technology is a powerful enabler of safety and quality. Electronic Health Records (EHRs), Clinical Decision Support Systems (CDSS), and barcode medication administration systems help reduce errors (Yun, Lim & Choi, 2020). Data analytics allows healthcare providers to monitor trends and proactively address risks (Tajalli et al., 2021). However, technological tools must be user-friendly and well-integrated into workflows to maximize effectiveness (Kim & Sim, 2020; Spagnoli et al., 2020).

Measuring safety performance is essential for continuous improvement. Metrics such as hospital-acquired infection rates, readmission rates, and patient satisfaction scores guide interventions (Newman et al., 2020). Dashboards and performance reviews support data-driven decision-making and accountability. Organizations with transparent measurement systems often outperform those lacking such tools (Shin & Shin, 2020; Macedo et al., 2020).

This chapter establishes the foundational link between healthcare quality, patient safety, and economic sustainability. As healthcare continues to evolve, fostering a robust PSC remains imperative (Reynolds et al., 2022). The integration of leadership, training, technology, and systemic accountability ensures sustainable improvements. Future chapters will explore the root causes of systemic failures and examine innovative strategies for improvement (Eliyana et al., 2020; Xing, Sun & Jepsen, 2021).

Chapter 2: Identifying and Understanding Sources of Harm in Healthcare

Medical errors refer to preventable events that may cause or lead to inappropriate medical outcomes, including harm to patients. They occur at any stage of healthcare delivery, such as diagnosis, treatment, and aftercare (Holland, 2019). Diagnostic errors, for example, involve misdiagnosis or delayed diagnosis, while procedural errors occur during surgical or clinical interventions (Lee et al., 2020). Medication-related errors, including incorrect prescriptions or dosages, are also common. These errors arise not from intentional acts but from flaws in systems or decision-making, underscoring their preventability and the critical need for robust healthcare processes (Khosravi, Ghiasi & Ganjali, 2021).

Medical errors are broadly categorized into diagnostic, therapeutic, preventive, and other errors. Diagnostic errors include missed, delayed, or incorrect diagnoses, affecting timely treatment (Siyal et al., 2020). Therapeutic errors involve mistakes during procedures or surgeries, such as wrong-site surgeries (Syahrina & Mutya, 2023). Preventive errors, such as inadequate follow-ups or incorrect screenings, hinder disease management. Additionally, communication failures and administrative errors, like misfiled patient data, also contribute. Understanding these categories aids in identifying patterns and implementing specific preventive measures (World Alliance for Patient Safety, 2021).

Human factors are a significant cause of medical errors, often stemming from fatigue, stress, or lack of knowledge. Overworked healthcare providers may experience burnout, leading to impaired judgment and decision-making (Yuniati & Sitinjak, 2022). Communication breakdowns, such as unclear instructions between team members, also increase risks. Cognitive biases, including anchoring or confirmation bias, further contribute to diagnostic errors. Addressing these issues through improved training and support systems is essential to mitigate risks (Fernández-Salinero & Topa, 2020).

Systemic issues within healthcare organizations play a critical role in medical errors. These include inadequate staffing levels, lack of standardized protocols, and poorly designed workflows (Zurman, Hoffmann & Ruff-Stahl, 2019). For instance, an inefficient reporting system can delay error detection, exacerbating harm. Fragmentation of care, where multiple providers lack cohesive communication, further amplifies risks. A focus on systemic improvements, such as integrated health IT systems and workflow redesigns, is vital to reducing errors (Ramos et al., 2020).

Environmental factors, such as poor facility design, noise, or interruptions during critical tasks, contribute significantly to errors. In high-stress environments like emergency rooms, distractions can lead to mistakes in medication administration or surgical procedures (Zwedberg, Alnervik & Barimani, 2021). Moreover, inadequate lighting or overcrowded spaces may impair performance and patient safety. Creating optimized environments that support healthcare providers' focus and efficiency can significantly reduce such errors (Segev, 2019).

The complications resulting from medical errors range from minor inconveniences to severe, life-threatening outcomes. Patients may experience adverse drug reactions, infections, or prolonged hospital stays (Adel et al., 2021). In some cases, errors result in permanent disability or death. Beyond physical harm, errors can also cause psychological distress, eroding trust in the healthcare system. Recognizing these complications highlights the critical need for preventive measures and quality assurance practices (Kim, Jillapali & Boyd, 2021).

Medical errors profoundly affect patients and their families. The physical and emotional toll, coupled with financial burdens from extended treatments or legal actions, can be devastating (Chang et al., 2020). Errors undermine trust in healthcare providers and institutions, leading to hesitancy in seeking future care. Patient advocacy groups emphasize the importance of transparent communication and remedial actions to rebuild trust and provide justice for affected individuals (Holland, 2019).

Healthcare providers involved in medical errors often experience guilt, shame, and emotional distress, a phenomenon known as the "second victim" effect (Lee et al., 2020). This psychological impact may lead to burnout, reduced job satisfaction, and even resignation. Addressing this requires a supportive environment, where providers can report errors without fear of retribution and access counseling or professional guidance to cope effectively (Khosravi, Ghiasi & Ganjali, 2021).

At the systemic level, medical errors result in significant financial and reputational costs. Prolonged patient stays, additional treatments, and legal settlements increase healthcare expenditures (Syahrina & Mutya, 2023). Reputational damage can lead to reduced patient trust and lower utilization of services. Furthermore, errors can strain resources, affecting the overall efficiency of healthcare systems. Proactively addressing these issues can prevent cascading failures and ensure sustainable operations (Fernández-Salinero & Topa, 2020).

Several high-profile cases highlight the consequences of medical errors. For example, the tragic death of a patient due to a wrong-site surgery in the United States led to widespread awareness and policy changes (Brown, Kraimer & Bratton, 2019). In another case, a medication error involving incorrect dosages caused fatalities, sparking global initiatives to improve drug administration practices. Such cases underscore the critical need for vigilance, accountability, and systemic reforms in healthcare (Zurman, Hoffmann & Ruff-Stahl, 2019).

High-profile cases reveal the importance of fostering a culture of safety and accountability in healthcare (Kim, Jillapali & Boyd, 2021). They emphasize the need for robust error-reporting systems, continuous staff training, and technology integration, such as barcoding for medications or electronic surgical checklists. These measures not only prevent similar errors but also create learning opportunities to enhance overall safety and quality standards (Chang et al., 2020).

Understanding the causes and consequences of medical errors is the first step toward prevention. A multi-faceted approach, encompassing human factors, systemic improvements, and environmental optimization, is essential (Siyal et al., 2020). Healthcare organizations must prioritize safety through proactive measures,

such as adopting evidence-based practices, promoting transparency, and engaging patients in their care. By doing so, the healthcare industry can minimize errors, improve outcomes, and build trust in the system (World Alliance for Patient Safety, 2021).

Root Cause Analysis (RCA) and Failure Mode and Effects Analysis (FMEA) are key tools for identifying and preventing medical errors. RCA investigates underlying causes after an event, promoting system-wide improvements rather than individual blame (Lee et al., 2020). FMEA is a proactive approach that evaluates potential failures in processes before they occur, helping organizations mitigate risks. These methodologies support continuous learning and drive targeted interventions (Khosravi, Ghiasi & Ganjali, 2021).

Effective incident reporting systems are crucial for capturing data on near-misses and adverse events. Such systems must be non-punitive to encourage staff participation (Zurman, Hoffmann & Ruff-Stahl, 2019). When combined with performance indicators and analytics, reporting systems help identify trends, allocate resources, and measure the impact of safety initiatives. They serve as a foundation for institutional learning and long-term quality improvement (Ramos et al., 2020).

Promoting a culture of safety over a culture of blame is essential for sustainable change. In a blame culture, fear of punishment deters reporting, hindering learning and progress (Yuniati & Sitinjak, 2022). Conversely, a safety culture emphasizes transparency, shared responsibility, and support. Training programs, leadership modeling, and peer support systems are vital in reinforcing these values (Fernández-Salinero & Topa, 2020).

Chapter 3: Evidence-Based Strategies for Enhancing Patient Safety

Evidence-based medicine (EBM) is foundational to improving patient safety, as it integrates clinical expertise with the best available research to guide decisions. It standardizes practices, reduces variability, and ensures the use of interventions proven to be effective (Afota, Robert & Vandenberghe, 2021). For example, clinical guidelines rooted in EBM reduce the likelihood of inappropriate treatment and promote consistent, safe care. Regular updates to guidelines incorporate emerging risks and new treatments, keeping providers current (Even, 2020). By promoting a culture of continuous learning, EBM aligns care delivery with proven standards and minimizes preventable harm across diverse clinical settings.

Standardized communication tools, such as SBAR (Situation, Background, Assessment, Recommendation), reduce miscommunication during critical patient handoffs. Miscommunication is a leading cause of adverse events, especially during shift changes or interdepartmental transfers (Dedahanov, Bozorov & Sung, 2019). SBAR ensures essential information is conveyed clearly and concisely, enhancing teamwork and decision-making. Regular huddles and structured debriefings further support effective communication by aligning team members on safety priorities. These interventions foster a culture of trust and accuracy in information sharing, reducing the likelihood of preventable errors (Afota, Robert & Vandenberghe, 2021).

Surgical safety checklists are another evidence-based strategy to reduce procedural errors. The WHO Surgical Safety Checklist, for example, ensures that critical steps such as confirming patient identity, procedure type, and surgical site are followed (Ismail, 2021). These checklists enhance team coordination, reduce omissions, and lower complication rates. By embedding standardized protocols into clinical workflows, surgical teams can ensure consistency and safety even in high-pressure environments. Implementing checklists not only improves outcomes but also cultivates accountability among healthcare professionals (Gupta, Shaheen & Das, 2019).

Medication reconciliation is vital to avoiding errors related to prescriptions, especially during patient transitions. This process ensures that medication lists are accurate and consistent across care settings, preventing duplications or omissions (Jiang et al., 2019). Barcoding systems further enhance safety by verifying medication details before administration, significantly reducing adverse drug events. Integrating these tools into EHRs provides an automated safeguard, alerting providers to potential interactions or

dosing errors (Even, 2020). By streamlining medication processes, organizations can protect patients and reduce pharmaceutical-related harm.

Infection control remains a cornerstone of patient safety, particularly in intensive care and surgical settings. Hand hygiene protocols, sterilization procedures, and isolation guidelines are essential to preventing healthcare-associated infections (HAIs) (Afota, Robert & Vandenberghe, 2021). Antimicrobial stewardship programs further mitigate risks by ensuring appropriate use of antibiotics, minimizing resistance. These strategies, supported by continuous monitoring and education, have been shown to significantly lower infection rates. By maintaining strict infection control measures, hospitals can safeguard vulnerable patients and reduce avoidable complications (Gupta, Shaheen & Das, 2019).

Simulation-based training prepares healthcare professionals for high-risk scenarios, improving their readiness and response to emergencies. Simulations allow teams to practice communication, decision-making, and technical skills in a risk-free environment (Liu et al., 2019). Regular simulation exercises enhance interprofessional collaboration and reinforce safety protocols. Additionally, team-based learning fosters shared accountability and a deeper understanding of each member's role. This hands-on approach leads to improved performance in real-life situations, ultimately reducing the incidence of preventable errors (Afota, Robert & Vandenberghe, 2021).

EHR systems are powerful tools in error prevention, as they streamline access to critical patient data. Real-time access to medical histories, lab results, and medication records reduces duplication and oversight (Jiang et al., 2019). EHRs also support clinical decision-making through integrated alerts and reminders, prompting providers to double-check dosages or allergies. Automating these processes reduces the cognitive burden on clinicians and eliminates manual transcription errors. As a result, EHRs contribute to safer, more coordinated care and better patient outcomes (Even, 2020).

AI-powered tools and decision support systems (DSS) are transforming patient safety by enabling predictive analytics and personalized recommendations. Machine learning algorithms identify patterns indicating deterioration, prompting early interventions (Baris, Intepeler & Unal, 2023). DSS provides clinicians with real-time insights, helping them make accurate diagnoses and avoid errors. Integrating these technologies into clinical workflows reduces cognitive overload and enhances precision, especially in time-sensitive situations. AI and DSS offer a proactive layer of safety, aligning care with best practices and preventing harm (Afota, Robert & Vandenberghe, 2021).

Process improvement frameworks like Six Sigma and Lean reduce inefficiencies and variability in care delivery. Six Sigma focuses on minimizing defects through data-driven analysis, while Lean eliminates waste by streamlining workflows (Moghadari-Koosha et al., 2020). Applying these methodologies helps healthcare organizations identify and address root causes of errors. For example, Lean principles can reduce wait times and handoff delays, which are common sources of miscommunication. These continuous improvement efforts foster safer and more effective clinical environments (Gupta, Shaheen & Das, 2019).

Training and continuing education are essential to keeping healthcare teams informed and capable of handling complex challenges. Simulation and role-specific training sessions enhance practical skills and reinforce safety protocols (Liu et al., 2019). Interprofessional education also promotes collaborative problem-solving and reduces role ambiguity, both of which are essential for minimizing errors. As clinical knowledge evolves, continuous learning ensures that providers remain current with the latest safety standards and technologies (Afota, Robert & Vandenberghe, 2021).

Patient involvement in care decisions significantly contributes to safety. Engaged patients can identify inconsistencies, clarify treatment plans, and report adverse effects promptly (Cherkasov et al., 2019). Shared decision-making empowers patients and builds trust between them and providers. Additionally, encouraging patients to ask questions and verify their medications enhances accountability on both sides. By incorporating patients as partners in care, organizations can prevent errors and improve overall satisfaction and outcomes (Even, 2020).

Leadership commitment is essential to cultivating a culture of safety. Executives and managers set the tone by prioritizing safety in policy, funding, and daily operations (Ghafouri et al., 2022). Participating in safety rounds and responding visibly to incident reports reinforces their commitment. Empowering staff to speak up and rewarding safety improvements further embed these values into the organizational culture. Strong leadership drives systemic change, ensuring that safety initiatives are well-supported and continuously evaluated (Afota, Robert & Vandenberghe, 2021).

Non-punitive reporting systems enable staff to report near-misses and adverse events without fear. This approach encourages transparency and facilitates learning from mistakes rather than punishing individuals (Cinar, 2019). Anonymous reporting platforms, feedback loops, and peer review systems help identify systemic issues. Regular review of these reports provides insight into patterns and guides targeted interventions. These practices create a feedback-rich environment that supports continuous improvement and safer care (Baris, Intepeler & Unal, 2023).

Near-miss reporting provides early warnings about potential failures. Analyzing these events allows teams to identify weaknesses in systems before harm occurs (Jiang et al., 2019). For instance, a near-miss involving mislabeled medication might reveal issues with labeling protocols or staff training. Encouraging frontline workers to report near-misses promotes vigilance and helps develop preventive measures. A culture that values learning over blame can use this data to strengthen safety infrastructure (Afota, Robert & Vandenberghe, 2021).

Preventing medical errors requires an integrated approach that combines evidence-based practices, technology, leadership, and education. Effective safety strategies include standardized communication tools, surgical checklists, medication verification, and AI-powered analytics (Gupta, Shaheen & Das, 2019). Leadership must sustain momentum through visibility and policy support. Engaging patients and promoting continuous learning further reinforces safety culture. By embracing these multifaceted interventions, healthcare systems can build resilience and significantly reduce the incidence of preventable harm (Even, 2020).

Chapter 4: Quality Improvement (QI) Methodologies and Implementation

Quality Improvement (QI) methodologies aim to enhance patient care by systematically identifying inefficiencies, reducing variability, and implementing evidence-based changes. Frameworks like PDSA (Plan-Do-Study-Act), Lean, and Six Sigma guide healthcare teams in evaluating and refining clinical processes (Abd El Rahman et al., 2022). For instance, the PDSA cycle encourages iterative testing of changes on a small scale, ensuring safety before broader adoption. These models help prevent medical errors by improving workflow, communication, and standardization. Implementing QI strategies not only reduces harm but also alleviates financial burdens by decreasing complications and associated treatment costs (Mauro, 2022).

The Plan-Do-Study-Act (PDSA) model facilitates continuous improvement through structured cycles of testing and learning. Healthcare teams plan an intervention, execute it, study the results, and act based on findings. This approach supports small-scale trials and iterative refinements, allowing interventions to evolve based on real-time data (Khalid et al., 2021). PDSA is especially useful in dynamic environments where flexibility and responsiveness are crucial. Successful implementation leads to improved outcomes and cost reductions by minimizing inefficiencies and errors (Kim & Gatling, 2019).

Lean methodology, originally developed in manufacturing, eliminates waste and streamlines processes to enhance value for patients. In healthcare, Lean principles identify non-value-adding activities, such as excessive waiting times or redundant documentation, and redesign workflows to eliminate them (Gawad, 2022). This improves care efficiency, reduces staff workload, and minimizes opportunities for errors. Lean's focus on standardization also fosters consistency and enhances patient outcomes. Cost savings result from more efficient resource use and fewer preventable complications (Yoon et al., 2020).

Six Sigma methodology focuses on reducing variability in healthcare processes by using data-driven analysis to identify and eliminate defects. By applying statistical tools, Six Sigma improves precision in

clinical and administrative workflows, minimizing the likelihood of medical errors (Hiver & Al-Hoorie, 2020). For example, it can help optimize medication administration protocols to avoid dosing errors. The cost benefits of Six Sigma include decreased readmission rates and reduced liability expenses associated with adverse events (Khalid et al., 2021).

The Model for Improvement integrates key principles of QI by setting measurable aims, selecting indicators, and using rapid-cycle testing to refine strategies. It promotes goal-oriented interventions, aligns teams around shared objectives, and accelerates implementation (Ko & Kang, 2019). This model complements other QI tools by focusing on clear goals and measurement. By improving clarity and alignment, the Model for Improvement contributes to both clinical and financial sustainability in healthcare organizations (Eslamlou, Karatepe & Uner, 2021).

To evaluate the effectiveness of QI initiatives, healthcare systems rely on structure, process, and outcome indicators. Structure indicators assess organizational capabilities, such as staff qualifications or availability of resources. Process indicators evaluate how care is delivered, including adherence to clinical guidelines. Outcome indicators measure the impact on patient health and satisfaction (Abd El Rahman et al., 2022). Tracking these metrics allows healthcare leaders to assess progress, allocate resources efficiently, and identify areas needing improvement (Mauro, 2022).

Data-driven decision-making enhances QI by offering real-time insights into performance trends and problem areas. Dashboards visualize key metrics like infection rates, readmission statistics, and patient satisfaction scores (Khalid et al., 2021). These tools enable quick detection of deviations and support timely corrective actions. By making data accessible and understandable, dashboards empower frontline staff and administrators to drive improvements. This transparency leads to informed strategies that reduce errors and save costs (Kim & Gatling, 2019).

Engaging frontline staff in QI efforts is essential for success. Staff closest to care delivery can identify inefficiencies and suggest practical solutions (Yoon et al., 2020). Involving them in planning and evaluation fosters ownership and boosts morale. Institutions that promote staff-driven innovation report improved outcomes and reduced burnout, contributing to cost-effectiveness and patient safety (Al-Turfi & Al-Jubouri, 2022). Engagement also strengthens PSC by reinforcing shared responsibility for safety and improvement.

Creating a culture of continuous improvement ensures that QI becomes an integral part of daily operations. Such a culture values transparency, teamwork, and learning from errors (Gawad, 2022). Continuous improvement leads to sustained performance enhancements, reduced complications, and greater patient trust. Over time, this commitment results in significant financial benefits by lowering treatment costs and improving efficiency (Talebian et al., 2022).

Successful QI initiatives demonstrate measurable improvements in both outcomes and cost efficiency. For example, hospitals implementing standardized handoff protocols saw reductions in miscommunication-related errors and shorter patient stays (Mauro, 2022). Similarly, facilities that adopted electronic medication reconciliation systems experienced fewer drug-related incidents and decreased pharmacy costs (Eslamlou, Karatepe & Uner, 2021). These cases underscore how QI drives tangible clinical and economic gains.

A prominent case study involves a hospital that implemented the WHO Surgical Safety Checklist. This intervention led to a 30% reduction in surgical complications and improved patient satisfaction (Yoon et al., 2020). The initiative also saved substantial resources by decreasing the need for postoperative interventions. Such evidence affirms the dual benefit of improving safety and controlling healthcare costs (Abd El Rahman et al., 2022).

Another example of successful QI implementation is the use of early warning systems in intensive care units. These systems detect physiological deterioration early, enabling timely interventions that prevent critical events and reduce mortality rates (Gawad, 2022). The financial impact includes decreased ICU admissions, fewer extended hospitalizations, and reduced resource use. This illustrates how technology-enabled QI strategies can transform care delivery and financial outcomes (Khalid et al., 2021).

QI also contributes to reducing preventable readmissions, which are costly and often reflect gaps in care coordination. Improved discharge planning, patient education, and follow-up protocols have proven effective in minimizing readmissions (Aklil et al., 2021). These improvements enhance patient outcomes and reduce strain on hospital resources. Financially, fewer readmissions translate into cost savings and improved reimbursement under value-based care models (Kim & Gatling, 2019).

QI methodologies help prevent hospital-acquired infections (HAIs) by standardizing hygiene protocols, ensuring proper device management, and monitoring compliance. HAIs are costly and prolong recovery times (Abd El Rahman et al., 2022). Implementing structured infection control programs has reduced CAUTIs and SSIs, leading to improved safety and financial performance. These programs exemplify how preventive strategies reduce complications and their associated expenses (Khalid et al., 2021).

Ultimately, integrating QI methodologies into healthcare systems aligns clinical excellence with financial sustainability. By reducing waste, preventing harm, and promoting efficiency, QI initiatives enable organizations to deliver high-value care (Abe & Chikoko, 2020). A robust QI infrastructure fosters resilience, adaptability, and long-term growth. As demonstrated through numerous case studies, quality and cost-effectiveness are not mutually exclusive but mutually reinforcing goals (Talebian et al., 2022).

Chapter 5: The Future of Patient Safety and Quality Care

The future of patient safety is being shaped by rapid innovations in technology and a shift toward patient-centric care models. Healthcare systems are adopting shared decision-making and personalized care practices to reduce errors and enhance satisfaction (Yu, Guan & Zhang, 2019; Nanjundeswaraswamy, 2021). Digital innovations like telemedicine and wearable devices enable early intervention and real-time monitoring. These tools, combined with interdisciplinary collaboration, close care gaps and promote safer outcomes (Vikstrom & Johansson, 2019). To ensure progress, healthcare leaders must champion adaptable, data-driven systems that respond proactively to evolving challenges.

Artificial Intelligence (AI) is revolutionizing healthcare by enhancing clinical decision-making and error prevention. AI algorithms analyze vast datasets to identify early warning signs of complications such as sepsis or drug interactions (Huang et al., 2020; Twidwell, Dial & Fehr, 2022). Predictive analytics integrated into EHRs alert providers in real time, facilitating prompt interventions. However, successful AI adoption requires cooperation between IT specialists and clinicians to ensure relevance, usability, and ethical compliance (Canu, 2023; Sengul & Seyfi, 2020). AI holds the potential to shift care delivery from reactive responses to proactive, predictive safety models.

Big data analytics enables healthcare organizations to uncover hidden trends that contribute to medical errors. Aggregating data from EHRs, patient feedback, and incident reports allows for a comprehensive analysis of care processes (Twidwell, Dial & Fehr, 2022; Faisal, Naushad & Faridi, 2020). This insight helps identify high-risk areas and populations, guiding targeted interventions. Real-time dashboards provide clinicians with actionable information, enhancing situational awareness and supporting data-driven decision-making (Vasconcelos et al., 2019; Gillet et al., 2021). As analytics become more sophisticated, they will play a central role in continuous quality improvement.

Leadership is fundamental in fostering a forward-looking patient safety culture. Effective leaders promote transparency, engage in safety briefings, and model behaviors that prioritize accountability and learning (Badawy, 2021; Canu, 2023). Leadership development programs that incorporate patient safety principles empower executives and managers to guide cultural transformation. By consistently reinforcing the importance of safety across all organizational levels, leaders cultivate an environment where innovation and vigilance thrive (Yu, Guan & Zhang, 2019; Vikstrom & Johansson, 2019).

Active leadership participation in safety initiatives ensures that policies and resources align with safety goals. Involvement in safety rounds, recognition of staff efforts, and creation of multidisciplinary safety committees promote engagement and cohesion (Badawy, 2021; Faisal, Naushad & Faridi, 2020). When leaders prioritize safety, it signals a unified organizational commitment, encouraging all staff to take

ownership of safety outcomes (King, 2021; Parizad et al., 2021). This alignment fosters an organizational culture where safety is seen as a shared responsibility.

Policy frameworks are critical to institutionalizing safety practices. Governments and accrediting bodies must establish mandatory standards for error reporting and adherence to evidence-based protocols (Sengul & Seyfi, 2020; Canu, 2023). Linking reimbursement to safety performance further incentivizes compliance and improvement. Routine audits and accreditation processes ensure these standards are consistently upheld (Badawy, 2021; Nanjundeswaraswamy, 2021). Such policies establish clear expectations and mechanisms for accountability, driving systemic improvements in care quality.

Policymakers also play a pivotal role by funding safety initiatives, mandating standardized safety tools, and supporting workforce development. Legislating tools like safety checklists and communication protocols ensures uniformity in care practices (Faisal, Naushad & Faridi, 2020; Nanjundeswaraswamy, 2021). Policies should also support non-punitive error reporting systems, encouraging open discussion and continuous learning (Yu, Guan & Zhang, 2019; King, 2021). These strategies foster a national culture of safety and set the stage for innovation.

Healthcare providers remain central to patient safety. Their commitment to training, adherence to safety protocols, and active participation in reporting and evaluation systems drive frontline change (Parizad et al., 2021; Fentaw, Moges & Ismail, 2022). Collaboration across disciplines enhances communication and reduces misunderstandings. Continued professional education keeps providers informed of emerging technologies and best practices (Faisal, Naushad & Faridi, 2020; Sengul & Seyfi, 2020). Empowered, informed providers serve as the foundation of safe care environments.

Patient engagement is increasingly recognized as vital to error prevention. Encouraging patients to speak up, verify medications, and understand treatment plans empowers them to contribute to their own safety (Parizad et al., 2021; Canu, 2023). Shared decision-making builds trust and strengthens the therapeutic alliance. Offering accessible educational resources and support systems ensures patients are equipped to participate actively in their care (Vikstrom & Johansson, 2019; King, 2021). This partnership reduces errors and enhances satisfaction.

A sustainable future for healthcare hinges on the integration of safety, quality, and efficiency. Technologies such as remote monitoring, mobile health apps, and AI tools optimize care delivery while reducing risks (Sengul & Seyfi, 2020; Vikstrom & Johansson, 2019). Preventive strategies, including routine screenings and patient education, mitigate the progression of chronic conditions (Yu, Guan & Zhang, 2019; Huang et al., 2020). Aligning safety initiatives with cost-efficiency goals ensures long-term viability without compromising care quality.

Adaptability is a core competency for future-ready healthcare systems. As technologies evolve and policies shift, organizations must remain responsive through continuous evaluation and improvement (Jalili et al., 2021; Gillet et al., 2021). Regular feedback from staff and patients ensures safety measures remain relevant and effective (Faisal, Naushad & Faridi, 2020; Badawy, 2021). An agile approach to patient safety enables institutions to thrive in complex, changing environments.

Technology continues to transform the patient safety landscape. Mobile apps for error reporting, secure communication platforms, and AI-assisted diagnostics support real-time coordination and risk reduction (Gillet et al., 2021; Sengul & Seyfi, 2020). Comprehensive training on these tools maximizes their effectiveness and integration into clinical workflows (Huang et al., 2020; Twidwell, Dial & Fehr, 2022). These innovations make safety practices more accessible, efficient, and consistent across diverse care settings.

Measuring patient safety culture is essential for guiding improvement efforts. Tools like the Hospital Survey on Patient Safety Culture (HSOPSC) provide insight into staff perceptions and organizational strengths (Svartdal et al., 2020; King, 2021). Key performance indicators (KPIs), such as error rates and patient satisfaction, inform strategic planning and resource allocation (Parizad et al., 2021; Ohnishi et al., 2019). Ongoing measurement ensures that progress is tracked and sustained.

Building resilience within healthcare systems is vital for maintaining safety during times of stress or disruption. Leadership engagement, staff empowerment, and flexible strategies allow systems to adapt to challenges such as staffing shortages or financial constraints (Clark, Smith & Haynes, 2020; Yu, Guan & Zhang, 2019). Resilient organizations maintain safety priorities even under pressure through strong communication, support systems, and continuous learning (Faisal, Naushad & Faridi, 2020; King, 2021). Resilience strengthens long-term quality and patient outcomes.

In conclusion, the future of patient safety lies in the integration of innovation, leadership, engagement, and adaptability. Technologies like AI, big data, and telemedicine will transform risk detection and decision-making. Equally important are the human elements—leadership, policies, and patient-provider partnerships—that drive cultural change (Canu, 2023; Nanjundeswaraswamy, 2021). By committing to continuous improvement and resilience, healthcare systems can achieve sustainable safety and quality goals in an ever-evolving environment.

References

- Abd El Rahman, S., Ali, H., Ali, R., Mohamed, A. (2022): Effect of Organizational Cynicism on Quality of Work Life and Employee Effectiveness among Nursing Staff. Minia Scientific Nursing Journal. 11 (1), 2785-9797.
- Abd El-Salam, A. I., Metwally, F. G., & Abdeen, M. A. (2022):Academic Procrastination and Self-control of Faculty Nursing Students. Zagazig Nursing Journal, 18(2), 15-29.
- Abdillah, H. Z., Rahman, F., Husna, M., Sitinjak, C., Hidayah, N., & Mujidin, M. (2022): School well-being in terms of self-determination and patience in vocational high school students. International Journal of Islamic Educational Psychology, 3(1), 19-34 .
- Abe, E. N., & Chikoko, V. (2020): Exploring the factors that influence the career decision of STEM students at a university in South Africa. International Journal of STEM Education, 7(1), 1-14.
- Adel, ¨ E., Lofmark, ¨ A., Pålsson, Y., Mårtensson, G., Engstrom, ¨ M., Lindberg, M., 2021: Health-promoting and impeding aspects of using peer-learning during clinical practice education: a qualitative study. Nurse Educ. Pract. 55, 103169.
- Afota, M. C., Robert, V., & Vandenberghe, C. (2021): The interactive effect of leader-member exchange and psychological climate for overwork on subordinate workaholism and job strain. European Journal of Work and Organizational Psychology, 30(4), 495-509.
- Akinbadewa, B. O., & Sofowora, O. A. (2020): The effectiveness of multimedia instructional learning packages in enhancing secondary school students' attitudes toward Biology. International Journal on Studies in Education, 2(2), 119-133.
- Aklil, M., Perizade, B., Hanafi, A., & Bemby, B. (2021): The Effect of Resonant Leadership on Work Engagement through Ethnic Culture in Pangkalpinang City Civil Servants. Italienisch, 11(2), 358-371.
- Al-Turfi, M. K. & Al-Jubouri, M. B.(2022): "Effect of moral distress on decision making among nurses in intensive care units,"Pakistan Journal of Medical and Health Sciences., vol. 16, no. 3, pp. 915–918.
- Badawy, A.A. (2021): Relationship between organizational justice and work engagement among staff nurses, unpublished master thesis, Faculty of Nursing, Ain Shams University .
- Balducci, C., Avanzi, L., & Fraccaroli, F. (2020): The individual "costs" of workaholism: An analysis based on multisource and prospective data. Journal of Management, 44(7), 2961-2986 .
- Baris, V. K., Intepeler, S. S., & Unal, A. (2023): Development and psychometric validation of the Sickness Sickness presenteeism Scale-Nurse. International Journal of Nursing Practice, e13168.
- Brown, M., Kraimer, M. L., & Bratton, V. K. (2019): The influence of employee performance appraisal cynicism on intent to quit and sportsmanship. Personnel Review.

- Canu, Z. (2023): The Relationship Between Family-Work Conflict and Work-Family Conflict Among Special Education Teachers. Jurnal Multidisiplin Madani, 3(4), 811-816 .
- Chang, Y., et al. (2020): Work Ability and Quality of Life in Patients with Work- Related Musculoskeletal Disorders. P.p.20-40.
- Cherkasov, A., Bratanovskii, S. N., Koroleva, L. A., & Zimovets, L. G. (2019): Development of the School Education System in the Province of Vologda (1725-1917). Part 2. European Journal of Contemporary Education, 8(2), 418-424.
- Cinar, E. (2019): The effect of person-organization fit on the organizational identification: The mediating role of organizational attractiveness. Eurasian Journal of Business and Management, 7(1), 74-84.
- Çingöl N., Karakaş M., Zengin S., and Çelebi E. (2020): The effect of psychiatric nursing students' internships on their beliefs about and attitudes toward mental health problems; a single-group experimental study. Nurse Educ Today. 2020;84:104243 .
- Clark, M. A., Smith, R. W., & Haynes, N. J. (2020): The Multidimensional Workaholism Scale: Linking the conceptualization and measurement of workaholism. Journal of Applied Psychology, 105(11), 1281 .
- Crafter, S., Maunder, R., & Soulsby, L. (2019): Developmental transitions: Exploring stability and change through the lifespan. Routledge.
- Darling-Hammond L., Flook L., Cook-Harvey C., Barron B., and Osher D. (2020): Implications for educational practice of the science of learning and development. Applied Developmental Science, 24(2), 97–140 .
- Dedahanov. A.T, Bozorov. F and Sung S. (2019): Paternalistic Leadership and Innovative Behavior: Psychological Empowerment as a Mediator. Sustainability. 11(6) 1-14.
- Durrah, O., Chaudhary, M., & Gharib, M. (2019): Organizational cynicism and its impact on organizational pride in industrial organizations. International journal of environmental research and public health, 16(7), 1203.
- Echebiri, C., Amundsen, S., & Engen, M. (2020): Linking Structural Empowerment to Employee-Driven Innovation: the Mediating Role of Psychological Empowerment. MDPI, 10(42.(
- Eliyana, A., Rohmatul, S., Rohmatul, S., Sridadi, A. R., Razaq, A., & Gunawan, D. R. (2020): The role of motivation on attitudes and entrepreneur achievement. Systematic Reviews in Pharmacy, 11(8), 335-343.
- Eslamlou, A., Karatepe, O. M., & Uner, M. M. (2021): Does job Embeddedness mediate the effect of resilience on cabin attendants' career satisfaction and creative performance? Sustainability, 13(9), 5104 .
- Even, A. (2020): The Evolution of Work: Best Practices for Avoiding Social and Organizational Isolation in Telework Employees.SSRN2020.
- Faisal .S, .(2022): Job embeddedness and its connection with person organization fit among Saudi Arabian employees. Problems and Perspectives in Management, 20(2), 348- 360. doi:10.21511/ppm.20(2).2022.29.
- Faisal, S., Naushad, M., & Faridi, M. (2020): A study on the level and relationship of job embeddedness and turnover intentions among Saudi Arabian working- class. Management Science Letters, 10(13), 3167- 3172. https://doi.org/10.5267/j. msl.2020.5.005
- Fentaw, Y., Moges, B. T., & Ismail, S. M. (2022): Academic procrastination behavior among public university students. Education Research International, 2022.

- Fernández-Salinero, S.& Topa, G. (2020): Intergroup Discrimination as a Predictor of Conflict within the Same Organization. The Role of Organizational Identity. Eur. J. Invest.Health Psychol. Educ. 2020, 10, 1.
- Ferri, P., Stifani, S., Morotti, E., Nuvoletta, M., Bonetti. L., Rovesti, S., Cutino, A.,&Di Lorenzo,R.(2020): Perceptions of Caring Behavior Among Undergraduate Nursing Students:A Three-Cohort Observational Study. Psychology research and behavior management, 13, 1311-1322.
- Fortes, K., Latham, C.L., Vaughn, S., Preston, K., 2022: The influence of social determinants of education on nursing student persistence and professional values. J. Prof. Nurs. 39, 41–53.
- Gawad, S.A., (2022): Work Place Incivility and its Effect on Quality of Work Life among Staff Nurses. Egyptian Journal of Health Care, 13(3), 809-821. doi: 10.21608/ejhc.2022.255400 .
- Ghafouri R , Bajestani S.l , Nasiri M , Ohnishi K and Foroozan A.S (2022): Psychometrics of the moral distress scale in Iranian mental health nurses. BMC Nursing (2021) 20:166 https://doi.org/10.1186/s12912-021-00674-4
- Gillet, N., Austin, S., Fernet, C., Sandrin, E., Lorho, F., Brault, S., ... & Aubouin Bonnaventure, J. (2021): Workaholism, presenteeism, work–family conflicts and personal and work outcomes: Testing a moderated mediation model. Journal of Clinical Nursing, 30(19-20), 2842-2853 .
- Gupta, M., Shaheen, M., & Das, M. (2019): Engaging employees for quality of life: mediation by psychological capital. The Service Industries Journal, 39(5-6), 403-419.
- Hiver, P., & Al-Hoorie, A. H. (2020): Reexamining the role of vision in second language motivation: A preregistered conceptual replication of You, Dörnyei, and Csizér (2016). Language Learning, 70(1), 48-102. Malinauskas, R. K., & Pozeriene, J. (2020). Academic motivation among traditional and online university students. European journal of contemporary education, 9(3), 584-591
- Holland, K. (2019): Does the Market Subvert Health Care Reform? An Examination of Recent Attempts to Cut Costs and increase Accessibility to Health Care by Harnessing the Market (Doctoral dissertation, State University of New York at

Stony Brook.

- Huang., et al.(2020): Self-reported confidence in patient safety competencies among Chinese nursingstudents: a multi-site cross-sectional survey. BMC Medical Education (2020) 20:32.
- Ismail, E.(2021): The relationship between Ethical work climate and Organizational commitment among staff nurses p7.
- Jalili, M., Niroomand, M., Hadavand, F., Zeinali, K., & Fotouhi, A. (2021) : Burnout among healthcare professionals during COVID-19 pandemic: a cross-sectional study. International Archives of occupational and Environmental Health, 1-8.
- Jansen, T. L. Hem, M. H. Dambolt, L. J. and Hanssen, I. .(2020): "Moral distress in acute psychiatric nursing: multifaceted dilemmas and demands," Nursing ethics., vol. 27, no. 5, pp. 1315–1326.
- Jerg-Bretzke, L., Limbrecht-Ecklundt, K., Walter, S., Spohrs, J., & Beschoner, P. (2020): Correlations of the "Work–Family Conflict" with occupational stress—a cross-sectional study among university employees. Frontiers in psychiatry, 11, 134 .
- Jiang, Z., Hu, X., Wang, Z., & Jiang, X. (2019): Knowledge hiding as a barrier to thriving: The mediating role of psychological safety and moderating role of organizational cynicism. Journal of Organizational Behavior, 40(7), 800-818.
- Kachaturoff, M., Caboral-Stevens, M., Gee, M., Lan, V.M., 2020: Effects of peermentoring on stress and anxiety levels of undergraduate nursing students: an integrative review. J. Prof. Nurs. 36, 223–228 .

- Khalid, U., Mushtaq, T., Khan, A. Z., & Mahmood, F. (2021): Probing the impact of transformational leadership on job embeddedness: the moderating role of job characteristics. Management Research Review, 44(8), 1139-1156 .
- Khosravi, M., Ghiasi, Z., & Ganjali, A. (2021): Burnout in hospital medical staff during the COVID-19 pandemic: Diagnosis, treatment, and prevention. Journal of Natural Remedies, 21(12 (1)), 3644.
- Kim, A.Y., Sim, I.O., 2020: Communication skills, problem-solving ability, understanding of patients' conditions, and nurse's perception of professionalism among clinical nurses: a structural equation model analysis. Int. J. Environ. Res. Public Health 17, 4896 .
- Kim, J., & Gatling, A. (2019): Impact of employees' job, organizational and technology fit on engagement and organizational citizenship behavior. Journal of Hospitality and Tourism Technology.
- Kim, S.C., Jillapali, R., Boyd, S., 2021: Impacts of peer tutoring on academic performance of first-year baccalaureate nursing students: a quasi-experimental study. Nurse Educ. Today 96, 104658 .
- King, J. L. (2021): Research review: work-family/family-work conflict. International Journal of Leadership Studies, 1(1), 102-105 .
- Ko, W., & Kang, H. (2019): Effect of leadership style and organizational climate on employees' food safety and hygiene behaviors in the institutional food service of schools. Food Science & Nutrition published by Wiley Periodicals, Inc.; 7 (6): 2131-2143.
- Lee, S.E., Lee, M.H., Peters, A.B. and Gwon, S.H., (2020): Assessment of Patient Safety and Cultural Competencies among Senior Baccalaureate Nursing Students. nt. J. Environ. Res. Public Health,17, 4225.
- Liu, F., Chow, I. H.-S., Zhang, J.-C., & Huang, M. (2019): Organizational innovation climate and individual innovative behavior: exploring the moderating effects of psychological ownership and psychological empowerment. Rev. Manag. Sci. 13, 771–789.
- Macedo, L.L., Silva,A.M.R., Silva, J.F., Mdcfl, H., &Girotto, E. (2020): The cultureregarding the safety of the patient in primary health care: distinctionsamong professional categories. Trab Educ Saúde Rio de Janeiro. 2020;18(1.(
- Mahmoud, S.R., 2019: Nursing students' attitudes toward nursing profession and its relation to study adjustment. Int. J. Nurs. Didact. 9 (7), 9–16.
- Mahran, H. M., Abd Al, M. A. A. H., & Saleh, N. M. (2022): Relationship between ethical leadership and workaholism among nursing supervisors as perceived by staff nurses. Egyptian Nursing Journal, 19(2), 79.
- Mauro, L. B. (2022): Exploring Moral Distress, Ethical Climate, and Psychological Empowerment among New Registered Nurses (Doctoral dissertation, Walden University
- Moghadari-Koosha, M., Moghadasi-Amiri, M., Cheraghi, F., Mozafari, H., Imani, B., & Zandieh, M. (2020): Self-efficacy, self-regulated learning, and motivation as factors influencing academic achievement among paramedical students: A correlation study. Journal of allied health, 49(3), 145E-152E.
- Molazem, Z., Bagheri, L., & Najafi Kalyani, M. (2022): Evaluation of the Moral Distress Intensity and Its Relationship with the Quality of Work Life among Nurses Working in Oncology Wards in Shiraz, Southwest of Iran. BioMed Research International, 2022.
- Mostafa, B. A., El-Borsaly, A. A. E., Hafez, E. A. E., & Hassan, S. A. (2021):The Mediating Effect of Person-Organization Value Fit on the Relationship Between University branding and Academic Staff Citizenship Behavior. Academic Journal of Interdisciplinary Studies, 10(1), 313-313.
- Nanjundeswaraswamy T. (2021): Nurses quality of work life: scale

development and validation. Journal of Economic and Administrative Sciences, DOI 10.1108/JEAS-09-2020-0154 .

- Newman, A., Round, H., Wang, S. L., & Mount, M. (2020): Innovation climate: a systematic review of the literature and agenda for future research. J. Occup. Organ. Psychol. 93, 73–109.
- Nomany , N.F. (2022): Perceived Nursing Supervisor Support and Its Influence on Job Embeddedness among Staff Nurses, un published master thesis, faculty of nursing, Ain Shams University, p 148- 150.
- Nyanyiwa, S., Peters, K., & Murphy, G. (2022):A scoping review: Treatment attitudes and adherence for adults with schizophrenia. Journal of clinical nursing.
- Ohnishi, K., Kitaoka, K., Nakahara, J., Välimäki, M., Kontio, R., & Anttila, M.(2019): Impact of moral sensitivity on moral distress among psychiatric nurses. Nursing ethics, 26(5), 1473-1483.
- Olatunji, O. A., Idemudia, E. S., & Owoseni, O. O. (2020):Investigating the role of emotional intelligence and role conflict on job burnout among special education teachers. Journal of Intellectual Disability–Diagnosis and Treatment, 9(1), 128-136 .
- Pålsson, Y., Engstrom, ¨ M., Swenne, C.L., Mårtensson, G., 2022: A peer learning intervention in workplace introduction-managers' and new graduates' perspectives. BMC Nurs. 21 (12), 1–13 .
- Parizad, N., Lopez, V., Jasemi, M., Gharaaghaji Asl, R., Taylor, A., &Taghinejad, R. (2021): Job stress and its relationship with nurses' autonomy and nurse–physician collaboration in intensive care unit. Journal of Nursing Management. 22-24.
- Querstret, D., O'Brien, K., Skene, D. J., & Maben, J. (2020): Improving fatigue risk management in healthcare: A systematic scoping review of sleep-related/fatigue-management interventions for nurses and midwives. International journal of nursing

studies, 106, 103513.

- Raeissi, P., Rajabi, M. R., Ahmadizadeh, E., Rajabkhah, K., &Kakemam, E. (2019): Quality of work life and factors associated with it among nurses in public hospitals, Iran. Journal of the Egyptian Public Health Association, 94(1), 1-8
- Ramírez Molina, R. J., del Valle Marcano, M., Ramírez Molina, R. I., Lay Raby, N. D., & Herrera Tapias, B. A. (2019): Relationship Between social intelligence and resonant leadership in public health Institutions.
- Ramos, F. R.. Barth, P. O Brehmer, L. C.. Dalmolin, G. D fargas, M. A. and Schneider, D. G .(2020):"Intensity and frequency of moral distress in Brazilian nurses," Revista da Escola de Enfermagem da USP., vol. 54.
- Razmerita, L., Kirchner, K., Hockerts, K., & Tan, C. W. (2020): Modeling collaborative intentions and behavior in Digital Environments: The case of a Massive Open Online Course (MOOC). Academy of Management Learning & Education, 19(4), 469-502.
- Reynolds, P. O. F., Dias, B. M., Flores, C. A. D. S., Balsanelli, A. P., Gabriel, C. S., & Bernardes, A. (2022): Resonant leadership practices of nurse managers in the hospital setting: a cross-sectional study. Texto & Contexto-Enfermagem, 31 .
- Segev, E. (2019): "Volume and control: the transition from information to power". Journal of Multicultural Discourses. 14 (3): 240–257. doi:10.1080/17447143.2019.1662028. ISSN 1744-7143.
- Sein Myint, N. N., Kunaviktikul, W., & Stark, A. (2021): A contemporary understanding of organizational climate in healthcare setting: A concept analysis. Nursing Forum, 56(1), 172–180.
- Sengul, M., & Seyfi, R. O. (2020): Investigation of the relationship between academic procrastination behaviours and academic selfefficacy of Turkish language teacher candidates. Cumhuriyet International Journal of Education, 9(3), 755-773 .

- Sheta, S. S., & Hammouda, M. A. (2022): Risk for Workaholism among Working Physicians of Zagazig University Hospitals: A Massage for Achieving Productive Work and Balanced Life. The Egyptian Journal of Hospital Medicine, 89(1), 4402-4409 .
- Shin, J., & Shin, H. (2020): Impact of job insecurity on hotel workers' workaholism and work–family conflict in korea. International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health, 17(21), 7783 .
- Siyal, S., Xin, C., Peng, X., Siyal, A. W., & Ahmed, W. (2020): role of person–organization fit mechanism. Sage Open, 10(3), 2158244020947424.
- Spagnoli, P., Haynes, N. J., Kovalchuk, L. S., Clark, M. A., Buono, C., & Balducci, C. (2020): Workload, workaholism, and job performance: Uncovering their complex relationship. International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health, 17(18), 6536 .
- Spilg, E. G., Rushton, C. H., Phillips, J. L., Kendzerska, T., Saad, M., Gifford, W., Gautam, M., Bhatla, R., Edwards, J. D., Quilty, L., Leveille, C., & Robillard, R. (2022): The new frontline: Exploring the links between moral distress, moral resilience and mental health in healthcare workers during the COVID-19 pandemic. BMC Psychiatry, 22(1), 19-19. https://psycnet. apa.org/doi/10.1186/s12888- 021-03637-w
- Svartdal, F., Klingsieck, K. B., Steel, P., & Gamst-Klaussen, T. (2020): Measuring implemental delay in procrastination: Separating onset and sustained goal striving. Personality and Individual Differences, 156, 109762.
- Syahrina, I. A., & Mutya, M. T. (2023): Academic Self-Efficacy and Academic Procrastination: The Mediating Role of Academic Motivation. In International Conference of Psychology (Vol. 2, No. 1, pp. 122-129.(
- Tajalli, S. Rostamli, S. Dezvaree, N. Shariat, M.and Kadivar, M..(2021): "Moral distress among Iranian neonatal intensive care units'health care providers: a multi-center cross sectional study,"Journal of Medical Ethics and History of Medicine., p. 14.
- Talebian, F., Hesamzadeh, A., Hosseinnataj, A., & AzimiLolaty, H. (2022): Relationship between academic procrastination and perceived competence, self-esteem and general self-efficacy of nursing students. Journal of Nursing and Midwifery Sciences, 9(4), 310-316.
- Twidwell, J., Dial, D., Fehr, C., 2022: Gender, career choice confidence, and perceived faculty support in baccalaureate nursing students. J. Prof. Nurs. 39, 96–100 .
- Uwannah, N.C., Onyekachi, C.N., & Filade, B.A. (2021): Hardiness, Supervisor Support and Work Engagement: Empirical Evidence from Tertiary Institutions in Ogun State, Nigeria. American Journal of Applied Psychology, 9(1), 8-14.
- Vasconcelos PF, de Freitas CHA, Jorge MSB, et al. (2019): Safety attributes in primarycare: understanding the needs of patients, health professionals, andmanagers. Public Health. 2019;171:31–40.
- Vikstrom, ¨ S., Johansson, K., 2019: Professional pride: a qualitative descriptive study of nursing home staff's experiences of how a quality development project influenced their work. J. Clin. Nurs. 28, 2760–2768.
- World Alliance for Patient Safety. (2021): WHO cubiculum guide for medical schools addressed to WHO Press, at the above address (fax: +41 22 791 4806.(
- Xing, L., Sun, J.M. and Jepsen, D. (2021): "Feeling shame in the workplace: examining negative feedback as an antecedent and performance and well-being as consequences", Journal of Organizational Behavior, (42).9.1244-1260.

- Yoon, S. K., Kim, J. H., Park, J. E., Kim, C. J., & Song, J. H. (2020): Creativity and knowledge creation: the moderated mediating effect of perceived organizational support on psychological ownership. Eur. J. Train. Dev. 44, 743–760.
- Yu, H., Guan, X. & Zhang, X. (2019): Paternalistic Leadership Creates Work Performance, Servant Leadership Delivers Job Satisfaction: Integration of Two Types of Leadership Behaviors. Science of Science and Management of S. & T., 35 (06), 172-180.
- Yun, L. (2019): The relation between academic motivation and academic procrastination among university students. Faculty Of Social Science And Humanities Tunku Abdul Rahman University College Kuala Lumpur.
- Yun, M. R., Lim, E. J., Yu, B., & Choi, S. (2020): Effects of Academic Motivation on Clinical Practice-Related Post-Traumatic Growth among Nursing Students in South Korea: Mediating Effect of Resilience. International journal of environmental research and public health, 17(13), 4901 .
- Yuniati, R., & Sitinjak, C. (2022): Upward Comparison at the Workplace: A Review. East Asian Journal of Multidisciplinary Research, 1(7), 1377-1394 .
- Yurtseven, N., & Dogan, S. (2019): Structural Relationships among Academic Procrastination, Academic Motivation, and Problem-Solving Skill in Prep Class College Students. Pegem Journal of Education and Instruction, 9(3), 849-876.
- Zarrin, S. A., Gracia, E., & Paixão, M. P. (2020): Prediction of academic procrastination by fear of failure and self-regulation. Educational Sciences: Theory & Practice, 20(3), 34-43.
- Zurman C., Hoffmann H. O., and Ruff-Stahl H. K. (2019): Difference in attitudes toward Crew Resource Management based on nationality. International Journal of Aviation, Aeronautics, and Aerospace, 6(4.(
- Zwedberg, S., Alnervik, M., Barimani, M., 2021: Student midwives' perception of peer learning during their clinical practice in an obstetric unit: a qualitative study. Nurse Educ. Today 99, 104785.